I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

U.S.D.J. Garage Parking Sticker, Space 88

Parking Permit Space 88

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

14 Jun 24, 1958

Very truly yours,

J. A. Sizoo
I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

D. C. Official Parking Permit, 6-30-59

RETURNED

D. C. Official Parking Permit, 6-30-58

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

J. A. SIZOO
July 10, 1950

PERSONAL

Dear Sizoo:

Today is your Twenty-third Anniversary with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and I did want to extend to you my heartiest congratulations. Over the years you have rendered devoted service to the ideals and objectives of the Bureau, and it is my sincere hope that you will continue your career in the Bureau for many more years.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo
Federal Bureau of Investigation,
Washington, D. C.

JEH: edm (3)

[Stamp: SENT FROM D. O.]
TIME 8:59 A.M.
DATE 7-10-50
BY Jw2
JOSEPH A. SIZOO
July 3, 1958

Mr. John Edgar Hoover
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Hoover:

At the end of this week I will have completed four weeks assignment on Mr. Boardman's desk. The purpose of this note is to express to you my appreciation for the opportunity of serving the Bureau in this capacity and at the same time to express my sincere regret for my inadequacies in connection with the dissemination of information which we received by radiogram from Honolulu concerning President Garcia of the Philippines.

I consider the assignment to relieve on Mr. Boardman’s desk as having been an opportunity to demonstrate my interest and capacity for additional responsibility and I am sure you will understand how sorry I am that it was necessary for you to take your time to discuss the failure of the Domestic Intelligence Division to more promptly handle the dissemination of the information from Honolulu and that it was necessary for you to address a letter of censure to me concerning this matter. You may be sure that I have kept in mind and will continue to be mindful of the comments which you made. I do hope that my discussion with you, together with my experience on Mr. Boardman's desk, will help me to do a better job in the Domestic Intelligence Division.

I would also like to take this opportunity to tell you that I have heard many favorable comments from Bureau personnel at all levels concerning the most expeditious way in which the retroactive-salary checks were distributed. You may be sure that the prompt receipt of these checks was beneficial to Bureau morale.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

JOSEPH A. SIZOO

[Stamp: SENT DIRECTOR 5-1-58]

[Stamp: H.C. 7-7-58]
Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sizoo:

I have received your note of July 3, 1958, concerning your temporary assignment on Mr. Boardman's desk and expressing your regrets for the failure of the Domestic Intelligence Division to promptly disseminate certain important information received from our Honolulu Office.

The motives prompting your writing to me as you did are appreciated and it was good to receive your assurance that such highly important matters will be given proper attention in the future.

I also appreciate your thoughtful comments concerning the Bureau's efforts to distribute the retroactive salary checks to all employees as promptly as possible.

Sincerely yours,

J. Edgar Hoover
RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

FBI Identification Card #S-08868

FILE

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Sizoo
September 10, 1958

MEMORANDUM TO MR. BELMONT
MR. CALLAHAN
MR. CONRAD
MR. EVANS
MR. MALLEY
MR. MCGUIRE
MR. MOHR
MR. NEASE
MR. PARSONS
MR. ROSEN
MR. SIZOO
MR. TAMM

In order for Bureau officials to receive a complete briefing on the operations of the New York Office with a view to better coordinating and integrating the activities of that office with those of the Bureau, I desire that each of the officials mentioned in the schedule below proceed to New York on the date indicated. It is estimated that the briefing and discussion involved will require six to seven hours.

9/15/58  Q. Tamm
N. P. Callahan

9/16/58  A. J. Belmont
J. A. Sizoo

9/19/58  A. Rosen
C. A. Evans

9/22/58  G. A. Nease

9/25/58  J. J. McGuire
I. W. Conrad

9/26/58  J. R. Malley

10/3/58  D. J. Parsons
J. P. Nunn

Very truly yours,

JEB

John Edgar Hoover
Director, F.B.I.
September 12, 1958

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sizoo:

I want to commend you for your excellent over-all supervision and guidance of a project of extreme importance to the Bureau in the security field.

This was truly a splendid accomplishment and I know your inspired and visionary leadership was a major factor in its success. Your effective services are deeply appreciated.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

1 - Mr. Belmont (Personal Attention)
Re: Solo, Internal Security - C.

LRH: cmt (4) 67-57045

Salutation per personnel file.
September 16, 1968

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Sizoo:

I have reviewed the results of a recent check by the inspection staff into the activities of Special Agent Supervisors assigned to the Domestic Intelligence Division and, as you know, a number of them were discovered engaging in improper practices after they had signed on attendance registers that they were commencing their official duties. The widespread abuses which were uncovered indicate a total lack of adequate supervision of these men on the part of you and the other executives in the Domestic Intelligence Division. You failed completely to measure up to your responsibility in this regard and this failure is inexcusable.

Accordingly, you are being placed in a probationary status. However, this is an interim action and I am reserving my decision as to the final administrative action to be taken in your case until I have received and reviewed the results of the complete inspection.

NOTE: Mr. Sizoo is in New York but is expected to return to Washington 9-17-58.

*NOTE: Mr. Sizoo should be closely supervised and at the expiration of 90 days a special performance rating report should be submitted concerning him together with a recommendation as to his removal from probation.

MAIL ROOM □ TELETYPE UNIT □
Memorandum

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: Mr. A. E. Bell

FROM: Mr. F. J. Bastian

SUBJECT: SOLO

INTERNAL SECURITY - C

This is to advise you for operation involving CC 5824-S as official liaison representative between Communist Party (CP), USA, and Soviet Union. Information developed in 1956 that Soviets and CPUSA both controlled better means of communication. Survey of this over-all matter reflected that CC 5824-S offered our best potential of penetrating any courier opposition which might be set up between CPUSA and Moscow. Through careful guidance afforded by Chicago Office and deft maneuvering on part of informant himself, CC 5824-S was ultimately selected by Eugene Dennis, CPUSA leader, to go to Moscow as official representative of CPUSA. This information was relayed to Soviets through Tim Buck, Canadian CP leader, and approval of CC 5824-S to act in this capacity was subsequently received from Moscow.

Dennis instructed informant he was on his own as far as technical problems and security aspects were concerned. Many personal and technical problems had to be surmounted prior to trip. Decision was made for informant and to establish new identities which necessitated obtaining such matters as new residence address, driver's license, bank account, birth certificates, passports, etc.

Invaluable assistance in over-all operation was afforded by NT 694-S, brother of CC 5824-S. NT 694-S has long been engaged in courier operations between CPUSA and Canadian CP. He was recipient of original information that liaison might be established between Soviets and CPUSA and he has played an extremely important part in ultimate selection of CC 5824-S as CPUSA courier.

All problems and obstacles were ultimately surmounted and CC 5824-S and his wife departed for Moscow on 4-24-56. They spent one month in Moscow, another month touring Russia and 12 days in China. During this period, CC 5824-S conferred with most of highest ranking officials in Russia and China. He returned to this country on July 21, 1956.

Date: 5-9-57

Page: 6 of 6

(Prepared for: Mr. D. L. Newton)
(Reviewed by: Mr. J. E. Thompson)
(Revised: 3 Sept 1958)
Memorandum to Mr. Belpont
RE: SOLO
100-428091

OBSERVATIONS:

1. The excellent and careful planning of this over-all operation is evident through the fact that at no time during this trip was the security of our informant questioned. It is noted that had any such question arisen in either Russia or China, our informant and his wife would undoubtedly have paid with their lives.

2. The information obtained by these informants is invaluable and cannot be quantified in dollars and cents. The information which they brought back is obviously unavailable through any other source and included such matters as the current thinking of two of our most formidable potential enemies, Russia and China, in various international matters including the attitude toward our country. Through this operation, concrete evidence of Soviet financial aid to CPUSA has been established; the first direct liaison between CPUSA and Russia and China has been established; the door has been opened for possible future contacts; and informant has arranged for communications between CPUSA and Russia and China to pass through him.

3. Highlights of information obtained by informant have been disseminated to Vice President Nixon, the White House, the Secretary of State and the Attorney General. Additional information will be divulged when the Director briefs the Cabinet in the near future.

4. The over-all outstanding success of this entire operation has once again solidified the Bureau's reputation as the outstanding intelligence agency in the world.

RECOMMENDATIONS BY SAC, CHICAGO:

By letter 8-22-58, SAC, Chicago, submitted following recommendations concerning the Solo operation:

1. That SA Carl H. Freyman, field supervisor in Chicago Office, and SA John E. Keating be afforded incentive awards in the amount of $1,000 each for their outstanding contributions to the over-all success of this operation. SA Freyman originally developed GG 8294-8 and in Spring of 1952. He handled and continued their development for approximately one and one-half years at which time he assumed supervisory duties. Since that time, these informants have been under his direct supervision. SA Keating has been assigned to handle these
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RS: SOLO
100-428091

The informants since March, 1955. SAC, Chicago, points out that both of
these Agents have worked tirelessly and have developed an outstanding
interpost and knowledge of Marxist-Leninist which is essential in the
successful maintenance of the services of these informants. The poor
health of the informants and many personal problems as well as the high
level at which they operate in the CP have constituted obstacles in
continuing to maintain their services and in obtaining valuable informa-
tion which they can furnish. To properly handle these informants
requires availability at all hours of the day and on a host of seven
days a week. Since informant's return to United States from Russia,
SAC Keating has worked day and night, seven days a week, in handling
the information brought back by the informant.

2. SAC, Chicago, further recommends that confidential clerk (stenography), be afforded an incentive award in the
amount of $150 for her valuable contributions to this operation. In
reporting the information obtained by CC 5824-S, performed
outstanding work during the period July 28 through August 19, 1958.
During this period she worked a total of 77 hours overtime in taking
dictation from the informant and transcribing, typing and putting this
information in final form for rapid transmittal to the Bureau. On one
occasion, the informant dictated from approximately 4:00 p.m. to midnight
without exception of one hour for dinner. On another occasion, the
informant dictated a total of 10 hours in one day. This dictation was
extremely complicated due to the use of many Marxist-Leninist terms as
well as involved names of persons and places in Russia and China. During
this period, transcribed and typed approximately 400 pages
of dictation. SAC, Chicago, points out that has demonstrated
an outstanding willingness to work long hours in order that this project
could be completed in the shortest possible time.

By memorandum Auerbach to Belmont dated 6-26-58, SAC, Chicago,
furnished the following additional recommendations:

3. That strong commendatory letters be directed over the
Director's signature to CC 5824-S and his wife and to NY 626-S with such
letters to be personally delivered by SAC, Chicago, for informants'
personal only end then returned to SAC's safe in Chicago as a security
measure. SAC, Chicago, feels this action will provide a distinct morale
booster for these informants and should be of considerable assistance in
their future handling. He also suggests that he personally deliver both
letters in Chicago since NY 626-S will be coming there in connection with
conferences with CC 5824-S, as esters.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RM: 30LO
100-492089

4. That CG 5824-S and HY 694-S be afforded incentive awards for their work. SAC, Chicago, indicated there is an excellent potential for future trips of this nature to be taken by informant and his wife. He also pointed out that through this action, the handling of these informants would be much easier.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION:

With regard to the foregoing recommendations of the SAC, Chicago, the Domestic Intelligence Division recommends:

1. That SA Carl H. Freyman and John E. Keating be afforded recognition in the form of incentive awards. It is felt, however, that the recommendation of the SAC, Chicago, in the amount of $1,000 each is rather high and that a more appropriate figure would be $500 each. It is noted that this operation is of major value and broad application to the entire Bureau which falls within the scale $500 to $725.

2. That confidential clerk (stenography), be afforded an incentive award in the amount of $150. It is felt that her contribution to this operation is of moderate value and broad application to the entire Bureau which falls within the scale $150 to $300.

3. That a personal letter over the Director's signature be directed to CG 5824-S and his wife and a similar letter be directed to HY 694-S. Rather than having SAC, Chicago, personally deliver each of these letters, it is felt that he should confine this matter to personal
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont

RE: SOLO

100-528091

Delivery of the letter to CO 5824-S and that the SAC, New York, should personally deliver the letter to NY 694-S in order to avoid any potential control problem over the handling of NY 694-S by New York Office. Do so that should NY 694-S receive his letter from the SAC, Chicago, the informant might possibly feel that the New York Office was not affording him the proper recognition for his services. In order to retain control of these letters, they will be exhibited to the informants but not turned over to them for security reasons. Following examination by informants, the letters will be placed in the safes of the Chicago and New York Offices until such time as their informant activities have been discontinued.

4. That CO 5824-S and NY 694-S be afforded incentive awards in the amount of $1,000. It is felt that these informants certainly merit recognition for their services in this operation above and beyond their usual remuneration. They have been most cooperative and have explicitly followed the Bureau's instructions in every instance since the inception of the Solo operation. Inasmuch as this operation placed their very lives in danger, their willingness and cooperation in consummating this trip to the Soviet Union is something which they could very well have hesitated to do. It is realized that the amount of $1,000 to each of these informants is merely a token award when the over-all value of this operation to the Bureau and the country as a whole is considered. This amount, however, is believed to be of sufficient substance as to let the informants know the value which we place upon their services. Through our granting of these awards, the control of these informants will certainly be enhanced and the way should be made easier for any future such trips of this nature.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
REF: SOLO
100-228091

5. That personal letters of commendation be offered SAC R. D. Murray and ASAC J. L. Schmidt of the Chicago Office under whose personal supervision and direction this operation has been brought to an exceedingly successful conclusion.

ACTION:

1. There is attached for your approval an appropriate communication to the SAC, Chicago, with copy to SAC, New York. Enclosed with this communication is a personal letter of commendation from the Director to CG 5824-S and his wife. Also enclosed for the New York Office is a similar letter directed to NY 694-S. Appropriate instructions are included regarding the manner in which these communications are to be made available to these informants. Instructions are also included to afford CG 5824-S and NY 694-S incentive awards in the amount of $1,000 each, which awards are to be paid in cash.

2. This over-all memorandum should be forwarded to the Administrative Division for handling relative to the above recommendations concerning incentive awards and commendation.

See addendum pages 6a and 6b.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RE: 5000
100-428091

ADDENDUM

F. J. BAUMGARDNER

who serves as Supervisor-in-Charge of the Communist Party of USA, Unit in the Internal Security Section, also has made a major contribution in this matter. He was instrumental in recommending the subject of developing an individual to act as Liaison between the Communist Party of the Soviet Union be included on the agenda for the Internal Security - Espionage Conference held at the Seat of Government October 22 and 23, 1955. He led the discussion at the conference on this subject and later sent a letter to all offices dated November 2, 1956, outlining the steps to be taken in our efforts to develop such a Liaison agent.

Then, in April, 1957, we learned the Russians wanted to talk to a representative of the Communist Party, USA, who recognized the opportunity and directed a letter to the Chicago Office dated April 30, 1957, instructing that every effort be made to take advantage of the opportunity of putting CG 5824-S in a position where he would be selected by the Communist Party, USA, to make the trip to Russia. During the entire course of this project, who supervised innumerable details, such as the cover name to be used on the passport; the time the trip should be made; the manner in which the informant would conduct himself when discussing this matter with Communist Party, USA, leaders; the amount of money the informant should take with him; the decision as to whether his wife should make the trip; and a thorough briefing to the informant concerning his security while he was out of the country. He exercised excellent judgment in following this case to insure that proper action was being taken at each stage of development so that ultimate success would be achieved. It is believed recognition of his services is warranted and it is, therefore, recommended he be granted an incentive award in the amount of $200. Form FD-255 is attached in support of this recommendation.

[Signature]

who serves in the capacity of secretary to the Communist Party, USA, Unit, has very capably handled stenographic and clerical operations and has been responsible for preparing high level dissemination made to date in connection with this matter. It is recommended she be afforded a letter of commendation.
Completion of this operation represents particularly noteworthy achievement in the security field. From the inception of this project, Section Chief F. J. Baumgardner, Internal Security Section, constantly directed the efforts being made to establish the liaison link between the Communist Party USA and the Communist Party of the Soviet Union. He analyzed the various problems and concentrated the Section’s efforts in the promotion of activity including attempts to set up PC USA-3, PC USA-4, PC USA-5, as well as the development of contacts needed for the continuation of legitimate business in the United States. Upon analysis of these efforts failed to produce the desired results, he pushed with considerable vigor the idea that CS-3084-8 had the potential and under proper guidance and direction could be placed in this liaison capacity. With my concurrence, he provided overall guidance and direction to this project and contributed many valuable suggestions and ideas throughout the course of the operation. His enthusiastic approach and energetic pushing of this project as well as the good judgment exhibited throughout constituted material contribution to the result achieved and is considered to be of sufficient magnitude to warrant recognition in the form of an incentive award. It is, therefore, recommended he be afforded an award in the amount of $200 and Form FD-255 in support thereof is attached.

Inspector Joseph A. Sasse, who is Branch Chief of the Internal Security-Surveillance Central Liaison Branch, also contributed much to the final outcome of this project. He provided over-all supervision, inspiration and energetically pushed the project to its successful conclusion. It is recommended that he be furnished a letter of commendation.
The success in this instance, without question, is probably the most outstanding that has been realized over a period of years in the Bureau's Internal Security work. It is due directly to the exceptional performance of everyone along the line. The greatest recognition, of course, is due to the two agents who developed and handled CG 5824-S. Incentive awards to them and to the others recommended for awards appear entirely justified.

It is noted SOG Supervisor has been recommended for an incentive award and his performance in this instance appears to justify same. It is noted, however, that when recently interviewed by he declared he was interested and available for advancement in the Bureau but expressed a desire to be immediately assigned to the field as an SAC or ASAC if he was to be considered for advancement. If not, he indicated he would prefer to be transferred to the field as an agent. This matter is being handled separately; however, it is not felt that it should have any bearing on the merits of his performance in this particular case.

HIS ATTITUDE IS EXCELLENT. BEING RECOMMENDED FOR ADVANCEMENT. SOG
BUREAU RECORDS OF PERSONNEL RECOMMENDED FOR INCENTIVE AWARDS:

SA Freyman, Chicago. EOD 3/2/40, GS-13, $11,000. During last three years has been censured twice and commended on five occasions. 1956 annual performance rating Excellent.
SA Keating, Chicago. EOD 8/28/41 as Clerk and 9/20/48 as SA, GS-13, $10,120. During last three years has been commended twice and on 10/5/55 received $150 incentive award and on 9/10/57 a $200 award for exceptional handling of security informant CG 5824-S. 1956 annual performance rating Excellent.
Confidential Clerk (Stenography), Chicago. EOD 6/22/53, GS-5, $4,490. Commended twice and on 3/18/58 received $125 incentive award for sustained above-average performance, primarily for transcribing material furnished by security informant CG 5824-S.
SOG Section Chief Baumgardner EOD 12/4/39, GS-15, $13,970. During last three years has been censured twice and commended on fourteen occasions. 1956 annual performance rating Excellent.
SOG Supervisor EOD 7/26/47, GS-14, $11,085. During last three years censured on three occasions, two of which were for errors appearing in communications. Commended on six occasions. 1956 annual performance rating Excellent.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION:

1. That SA Carl N. Freyman and John E. Keating at the Chicago Office be approved for incentive awards of $1,000 each for the major contributions made by them toward the outstanding results attained in this case.
2. That Confidential Clerk [name redacted] be approved for an incentive award of $150 for the sustained contributions made by her toward the success realized in this case.

3. That SSC Section Chief Fred J. Baumgarner and SSC Supervisor be approved for incentive awards of $200 each for the substantial contributions made by them in this over-all difficult operation.

4. That SAC R. D. Amerine and SAC J. L. Schmitt of the Chicago Office under whose personal supervision and direction this operation has been brought to a successful conclusion be commended.

5. That Inspector Joseph A. Sizzo, Branch Chief of the Internal Security - Subversive Control - Liaison Branch and Assistant Director Alan H. Belmont be commended for the over-all capable, energetic and inspirational way in which they directed this project to its successful conclusion.

6. That Secretary [name redacted] be commended for her capable handling of many important and urgent matters relating to this matter.
7. That favorable consideration be given to the recommendation of the Domestic Intelligence Division that CB 804-4 and R. Y. 804-4 be afforded meritorious awards in the amount of $1,000 each for their outstanding service to the Bureau and the country. Domestic Intelligence Division also recommended a personal letter over the Director's signature to CB 804-4 and the wife and a similar letter to R. Y. 804-4, that these letters be personally delivered to the informants by the respective DAs. In order to retain control of these letters they will be exhibited to the informants but not turned over to them at this time for security reasons. They will be placed in the Chicago and New York offices until such time as their informant activities have been discontinued. Appropriate letters to them are attached. Also attached is a cover letter to MAC, Chicago, with copy to MAC, New York.

Inspector H. L. Edwards, who is currently conducting an inspection of the Domestic Intelligence Division, concurs with the foregoing recommendations. He advises nothing has been developed during the inspection to impair the eligibility of any of the Domestic Intelligence Division personnel for the recognition recommended.
From the time we commenced our investigation of CPUSA, we have, of course, known that it was an integral part of the international communist conspiracy and completely subservient to the Soviet Union. In recent years, CPUSA has engaged in extensive campaigns seeking to portray itself as an independent organization with no external ties which wants only a peaceful transition to socialism through constitutional means. The success in this regard can be measured in large part by recent Supreme Court decisions in the security field, reversals of Smith Act convictions in the lower courts, statements favorable to communism by so-called "intellectuals" in this country and the like.

We have long realized that despite all the information we could assemble, nothing could take the place of evidence furnished by an individual who was an integral part of any direct liaison between the CPUSA and the Soviet Union. In order to achieve that goal, we instituted a program in the latter part of 1956 to explore the possibility of penetrating any such liaison between CPUSA and the Soviet Union with one of our established informants or possibly some other cooperative individual.

In 1956, we developed conclusive evidence that both the Soviets in Russia and Party officials in this country were dissatisfied with the system of communications between the Soviet Union and the CPUSA. In an attempt to capitalize upon this information, we conducted a survey of each of our field divisions in November, 1956, regarding the potential of each of our informants of being selected as a courier between the Soviets and the CPUSA. At the same time, we also considered the possibility that certain businessmen who are known to travel to Russia on legitimate business might offer a potential in this regard. Following this survey, the ultimate decision was made that 63 SM-2 afforded the greatest opportunity in this regard.
According to Mr. Belmore

Att 1959
100-29321

CASE OF CS 583E-1 JUNA MAHAR SINGH

Mr. 583-8 was a shorter member of the CPUSA. He broke with the Party from 1945 to 1950 during which period he was considered a disloyal. After re-entering Party activity, he was initially developed on a Bureau informant in 1953. Through careful direction and excellent guidance by the Chicago Office, he gradually worked himself back to a position of national prominence in Party circles. His activity in this regard were made doubly difficult in that during recent years, the Party has gone through a period of intense factionalism and it has been only through the most skillful maneuvering not only on the part of the informant himself but Agents of our Chicago Office that the informant has been able to remain in good favor with the Party's top leadership, especially Eugene Dennis who is now recognized as the leader of the CPUSA. The informant's rise to prominence resulted in his attendance at the Party's 16th National Convention in February, 1957, his subsequent selection as chairman of the Party's national affairs committee and his designation as one of two individuals to a national auditing committee which gave him access to information relative to the Party's reserve funds.

Through the extremely close supervision of the Chicago Office as well as the informant's own maneuvering, he was selected in April, 1957, to attend an important meeting of the Canadian CP as an official representative of the CPUSA. In May, 1957, in a similar capacity, he conferred with top-ranking leaders of the Mexican CP.

In July, 1957, Dennis selected CS 583E-8 as the person who should proceed to Moscow on the liaison representative between the CPUSA and the Soviets. This information was subsequently relayed to Dennis through Pin Back, Canadian CP leader, and word came back from the Soviets that the informant was approved by the Russians to come to the Soviet Union as an official representative of the CPUSA.

Although Dennis designated the informant to function in this liaison capacity, he told the informant that he could have to work out his own technical problems and that he was on his own in so far as security was concerned.

Many problems and obstacles had to be overcome in order to bring the informant's trip to reality. Various plans were considered and lengthy consultations were held with the informant and his wife, who in
One a Russian informant, to devise a plan which would not arouse Russian suspicions. It was decided that informant and his wife should assume non-identification. This involved three months of planning and necessitated the working out of minute details including obtaining of non-residence alien card, drivers licence, bank accounts, birth certificates, credit cards and, of course, the necessary passports. These obstacles were overcome in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with our Laboratory. Another problem which had to be considered was the financial health of informant and his wife, as well as the maintenance of their home while absent and the forwarding of local Illinois CP representation, so that no completion would date due to the long absence of informant and his wife.

The assistance of IF 661-4, who is a brother of IF 591-4, was invaluable in connection with the various problems regarding travel plans, travel papers, discussions with the back and other problems arising from the nature of this operation. It is noted that IF 661-4 has long been one of our most valuable informants and is utilized by the CPUSA in a secret operation between the CPUSA and the Russian CP.

All necessary preparations were ultimately completed and on April 21, 1958, informant and his wife left for Moscow. They spent about one month in Moscow, another month touring Russia and 12 days in Peking, China, communicating with the highest ranking Chinese officials. They returned to this country on July 21, 1958.

Results of Informant's Trip

The excellent and careful planning which went into this operation is clearly evidenced through the fact that the informant and his wife conferred with the highest ranking officials both in the Soviet Union and China without the slightest question being raised as to their security. The information which they brought back as a result of their discussions with the Russian and the Chinese officials could not be duplicated at this time by any other reconnaissance mission in the world.

The value and accomplishments of this operation are clearly evidenced when we consider the following factors:

1. The first direct liaison between the CPUSA and Russia and China to many years was accomplished by an NK informant.

2. Informant has arranged for communications between CPUSA and Russia and China to pass through him.

3. Future contacts with Soviets and Chinese are now a possibility.
4. The attitude and thinking of two of our greatest potential enemies, Russia and China, toward the United States have been obtained firsthand by an FBI informant.

5. Current advice of Soviet financial aid to OPEC has been established.

6. Highlights of information obtained by our informant have been furnished to Vice President Nixon, the White House, Secretary of State and the Attorney General. Further information concerning this operation will be divulged when the Director briefs the Cabinet in the near future.
TO: SAC, New York  
FROM: Director, FBI

PERSONAL ATTENTION

Reurlet 9/5/58 in which you suggested that the various Assistant Directors and Number One Men visit the New York Office in the near future to be given a complete briefing of the operations of the New York Office. It is understood that this briefing will require six to seven hours. The following Bureau officials will be in New York on the dates indicated to receive this briefing:

- **9/15/58**
  - Q. Tamm
  - N. P. Callahan
- **9/16/58**
  - A. H. Belmont
  - J. A. Sizoo
- **9/19/58**
  - A. Rosen
  - C. A. Evans
- **9/22/58**
  - G. A. Reese
- **9/25/58**
  - J. J. McGuire
  - I. W. Conrad
- **9/26/58**
  - J. R. Malley
- **10/3/58**
  - D. J. Parsons
  - J. P. Mohr
Subject: Early Morning Spot Check of Agent Supervisors Domestic Intelligence Division Inspection

(commencing 8 a.m.)

Prior to 9 a.m., 9/15/68, inspection staff made surprise spot check of Domestic Intelligence Division working areas detecting 9 agent supervisors who had shown signs in time indicating their official day had begun but were observed at their desks engaging in activities which appeared to be other than official duty, including: (a) 6 who appeared to be reading newspapers; (b) 1 was reading "U. S. News and World Report," admittedly not an official assignment; (c) I was in process of doing something with some personal correspondence; (d) I was devoting attention to FEBA spot score card representing golf tournament he had participated in preceding afternoon and was not engaged in any official work. A tenth agent supervisor was reading newspaper but section chief confirmed this was an official assignment. An eleventh agent had not yet signed in but was discovered at the desk of one of those who was signed in and on official duty, having gone there to borrow the latter's newspaper to look at the sports section hence was partially responsible for interrupting the official time of that agent. Separate memoranda submitted on all culpable agents with recommendations for appropriate administrative action. This memorandum covers the responsibility of Assistant Director Belmont, his Front Office Inspectors D. E. Moore and J. A. Hilico, and Section Chiefs F. J. Baumgardner (Internal Security), J. F. Eldon (Subversive Control), R. R. Rosch (Haisen), W. A. Branigan (Espionage), and J. B. Donahue (Nationalities Intelligence), such agent supervisors having been assigned to these sections.

Comments of Assistant Director Belmont:

Mr. Belmont's individual recommendations on the agent supervisor's discovered during the spot check are in their individual memos. It is significant that the most diligent action recommended by Mr. Belmont in even the most flagrant case was a direct memo to all Bureau Supervisors. Mr. Belmont states he regards regulations of the Bureau as rules to be strictly followed and upon learning of the results of the spot check on the morning of 9/15/68 he held a conference of all supervisors in the division and again made that fact known personally. In addition the Director's memo to all Bureau Supervisors was signed in for
duty and was observed reading a newspaper which was not one of his assignments and since he was receiving premium pay for overtime his actions were definitely improper. The Director instructed all division heads to insure that all agent personnel were advised of the impropriety of such actions; that any deviation would be dealt with vigorously; and division heads would be held personally accountable for full compliance by all employees assigned under their supervision. Inspector asked Mr. Belmont what action had been taken by him to insure compliance with those instructions. Mr. Belmont stated employees had been advised time and time again of those instructions; that spot checks have been made by the section chiefs in the mornings and evenings, also by the Front Office Inspectors; Mr. Belmont stated he had personally made spot checks although he was "frank to say that these have been in the evening rather than before 9 o'clock in the morning."

SURVEY BY INSPECTOR TO DETERMINE EXTENT OF DIVISION SPOT CHECKS:

Examination of Domestic Intelligence Division sign-in registers for 2-week period 8/25 through 9/5/58 reflected agent supervisors in Domestic Intelligence Division signed in before 8 a.m. 69% of the time.

Review of registers for past six months reflects Assistant Director Belmont, Front Office Supervisor Cleveland and Number One Man Inspector Sizoo, as well as Section Chief Bland did not sign in on any occasion during the six months prior to 8 a.m. Section Chiefs Roach and Donahoe signed in only once prior to 8 a.m. in this period; Section Chief Branigan and Inspector Moore signed in only twice before 8 a.m.; and Section Chiefs W. C. Sullivan and F. D. Baumgardner signed in only 4 and 7 times, respectively, before 8 a.m. Obviously, if the past six months period can be considered as representative then any checking done by Assistant Director, Inspectors or Section Chiefs has in the main been confined to a period after 8 a.m. Certainly where the pattern of overtime of the agent supervisors in the division shows that a majority of their sign-in time is prior to 8 a.m. the key executives in the division have not been alert to their obvious responsibility of spot checking during those earlier times to insure that the overtime for which these agent supervisors are claiming credit is productive and not being abused. The abuses discovered during the spot check of 9/12/58 (and it should be noted that this was during a current inspection of the division) would reasonably permit the inference that the practice is not uncommon. Certainly a serious question arises as to how much of the overtime of the agent supervisors in the Domestic Intelligence Division is productive and as to how many agent supervisory personnel could be released without necessitating the productive overtime increasing beyond what the record overtime is at present.

Although this is an understanding of the practice of overtime

[Signature]
RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. That Assistant Director Belmont be severely censured and placed on probation pending the completion of the divisional inspection at which time action against him will be re-evaluated in the light of the complete inspection findings; such action is to be based upon Mr. Belmont's obvious failure to keep alert to the possibility of abuses such as were discovered, to insure adequate spot checks are being made and further because of Mr. Belmont's palliating recommendations against the culpable agents in this instance. (In making this recommendation the Inspector realizes that the Director has recently indicated that there is to be no more probation on divisional heads but it is felt the "interim probation" in this instance is justified.)

2. That Inspectors Sizoo and Moore be severely censured and placed on probation for their failure to insure through appropriate spot checks that the abuses discovered were prevented.

3. That Section Chiefs Baumgardner, Bland, Branigan, Donahoe and Roach in whose sections were discovered these abuses, be severely censured and placed on probation for their failure to be alert to this and to have prevented same by regular sufficiently frequent spot checks.
4. That Assistant Director Belmont be instructed to immediately arrange for an adequate sufficiently frequent and regular system of spot checks, both morning and evening, to insure that all personnel on duty are engaging in essential productive work; that such a schedule be presented for prior Bureau approval; that the Training and Inspection Division have responsibility for making appropriate spot checks to insure it is being carried out.

A separate memorandum is being submitted covering the subject of "pattern of overtime" both in the field and Seat of Government, and appropriate corrective measures including an SAC Letter and instructions for the inspection staff will be submitted.
TO: Mr. Mohr

FROM: W. S. Tavel

SUBJECT: VISITS OF ASSISTANT DIRECTORS AND NUMBER ONE MEN TO THE NEW YORK OFFICE

By letter September 5, 1958, SAC Foster at New York suggested that each of the Bureau's Assistant Director's and their Number One Men visit the New York Office in the very near future at which time they would be given a complete briefing on the operation of the office in order to further integrate the activities of the office with those of the Bureau. He estimated that such a briefing would require six to seven hours. The Director approved this suggestion.

The following is a schedule for the various Assistant Directors and Number One Men:

9/15/58  Q. Tamm
          N. P. Callahan
9/16/58  A. H. Belmont
          J. A. Sizoo
9/19/58  A. Rosen
          C. A. Evans
9/22/58  G. A. Nease
9/25/58  J. J. McGuire
          I. W. Conrad
9/26/58  J. R. Malley
10/3/58  D. J. Parsons
          J. P. Mohr

Since the Identification Division has relatively little direct concern with the functioning and operation of the New York Office, it is not felt that it is necessary for Assistant Director Trotter or his Number One Man, Mr. A. K. Bowles, to go to New York for this briefing. Furthermore, it is not felt that Mr. Malone, the Number One Man of the Training and Inspection Division, need be scheduled for such a briefing.

Memo to Mr. Belmont
Mr. Callahan etc.

WST/akc & airtel to NY 9-10-58

(2) WST/akc - 1 - Original filed in 67-37651-379
Memo to Mr. Mohr
Re: Visits of Assistant Directors and Number One Men to New York Office

since he completed an inspection of the New York Office very recently. Mr. Belmont states that he does not feel that it is necessary for Mr. D. E. Moore, who is one of his Number One Men, to go to New York since Moore served as ASAC of that office up until October, 1956.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) That the above schedule be approved. If approved, the Assistant Directors and the Number One Men who are to go will be notified as well as the New York Office.

I agree JPM 9/9
OK H

(2) That approval be given for Assistant Director C. L. Trotter and Number One Men, A. K. Bowles, J. F. Malone, and D. E. Moore not be scheduled to go to New York at this time.

I agree JPM 9/9
O.K. H
TO: MR. A. H. BELMONT
FROM: B. B. ROACH

SUBJECT: INTERDEPARTMENTAL INTELLIGENCE CONFERENCE ALTERNATE TO MR. BELMONT

Mr. L. V. Boardman has served since 1955 as the alternate to Mr. Belmont in connection with IIC matters. Inasmuch as Mr. Boardman is no longer at the Seat of Government, it is suggested that a new alternate be named.

IIC matters are initially handled by the Liaison Section of the Domestic Intelligence Division and a Supervisor assigned to this section serves as the IIC Secretary. The Liaison Section, together with the Internal Security and Subversive Control Sections, is under the coordination of Inspector Sizoo, who is familiar with the problems being considered within the IIC and reviews and approves memoranda and letters pertaining to IIC work emanating from the Liaison Section before these are submitted to Mr. Belmont. He is, in addition, familiar with all other interdepartmental policy matters being handled by the sections under his supervision.

ACTION:

It is recommended that Inspector Sizoo be approved as the alternate to Mr. Belmont in connection with IIC matters, replacing Mr. L. V. Boardman.

GAD:shke
(4)

1-Mr. Belmont
1-Mr. Day
1-Liaison Section
In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D. C.

RE: SA JOSEPH A. SIZOO
(type or print plainly)

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of $10, payable to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of $10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

Name: Dorothy T. Sizoo
Relationship: Wife
Date: 11/7/58
Address: 3400 North Peary Street, Arlington, Virginia

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing $1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty:

Name: Dorothy T. Sizoo
Relationship: Wife
Date: 11/7/58
Address: 3400 North Peary Street, Arlington, Virginia

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
Special Agent
To: MR. TOLSON

From: A. H. Belmont

Subject: RELIEF SUPERVISORS,
FRONT OFFICE,
DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

In his memorandum of 10/10/58, Inspector Edwards recommended that this Division obtain prior approval for relief supervisors to be used in the front office, with the understanding that the use of relief men would be kept to a minimum. In keeping with this recommendation, approval is requested for the following:

1. DESK OF ASSISTANT DIRECTOR.
   In the absence of Assistant Director Belmont, Inspector J. A. Sizoo will take over the desk.
   In Sizoo's absence, Inspector D. E. Moore will handle it.

2. DESK OF BRANCH CHIEF, INTERNAL SECURITY-LIAISON BRANCH.
   In the absence of Inspector Sizoo, it is recommended that Section Chief F. J. Baumgardner of the Internal Security Section, handle this desk.
   If Baumgardner is not available, Section Chief J. F. Blund of the Subversive Control Section is recommended.
   These two Section Chiefs supervise investigative operations which comprise the bulk of the material emanating from the Internal Security-Liaison Branch.

3. DESK OF BRANCH CHIEF, ESPIONAGE-CENTRAL RESEARCH BRANCH.
   In the absence of Inspector Moore it is recommended that Section Chief W. A. Branigan of the Espionage Section take over.
   If Branigan is not available, it is recommended that Section Chief S. B. Donahoe, of the Nationalities Intelligence Section, handle this desk.
   These two Section Chiefs supervise investigative operations which comprise the bulk of the material emanating from this branch.

If you approve, the above will be put into effect.

cc Mr. Belmont
Mr. Sizoo
Mr. Moore
November 12, 1958

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Sisco
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Sisco:

The Domestic Intelligence Division inspection summary reported a number of inexcusable weaknesses in the Internal Security-Liaison Branch which is under your management. These conditions were all brought to your attention during the course of the inspection. Although corrective action has been taken to eliminate and prevent future recurrences of these weaknesses, I want you to know of my dissatisfaction with the obviously inept administrative leadership, and in many instances the complete lack of same, which you demonstrated.

Conditions found in the Liaison Section were utterly without justification. You obviously relied too much on the Section Chief rather than making it your regular business to conduct periodic spot checks and otherwise assure yourself that this section was being properly administered. Similarly, in the Internal Security Section you permitted an "evasive" system of counting technical surveillances to develop which could have proven extremely embarrassing to me and the Bureau. In the Subversive Control Section excess administrative overhead and surplus manpower existed and certainly it should not have been necessary for the Inspector to call attention to these deficiencies.

Noteworthy as many of the accomplishments of your branch in the technical field might be, they cannot make up for such aggravated administrative shortcomings. The fact that many of these weaknesses were the result of divisional policy does not relieve you because in your capacity as one of the two Front Office Inspectors and as Branch Chief to the Assistant Director you have a major share of responsibility for insuring that the administrative management of the division, and especially your branch, is given the emphasis which it needs.

You are being continued on probation until you have demonstrated completely acceptable administrative leadership in all matters coming under your direction and control. I shall expect you to immediately set about administering all the corrective action instituted during the inspection so that there will be no future weaknesses chargeable to you.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover

Based on Edwards to Mohr memo 11-11-58

HLE:jlj.
Inspector Sizoo should be supervised closely. In 90 days a special performance rating concerning him should be submitted together with an appropriate recommendation regarding his removal from probation.

1 - Movement Unit
1 - Personnel Actions Unit
1 - Domestic Intelligence Division Personnel File
PATIENT'S NAME-FIRST NAME-MIDDLE NAME

OSIZOO, JOSEPH A. FBI

(Above space for mechanical imprinting, if used)

Pertinent clinical history, operations, physical findings, and provisional diagnosis

RADIOGRAPHIC REPORT

10/22/58 CHEST: The lung fields are clear. The cardiac silhouette is within normal limits. The left hilar region opposite the pulmonary conus is rather prominent. JRC: ego

Department of Radiology
U.S. Naval Hospital
National Naval Medical Center
Bethesda 14, Maryland

S/ JRC

Signature: (Specify location of laboratory if not part of requesting facility)

Standard Form 519A (Rev. Aug. 1954)
Promulgated by Bureau of the Budget
Circular A-32 (Rev.)
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Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: MR. MOHR  DATE: 10/8/58
FROM: E. L. EDWARDS

SUBJECT: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION INSPECTION
Abolishment of Position Occupied by Supervisor
William V. Cleveland in Division Front Office

DETAILED MEMO (See separate synopsis)

During the current Domestic Intelligence Division inspection a careful
analysis was made by the Inspector of the necessity for the Special Agent Supervisory
position in Mr. Belmont's Front Office which has been in existence since 10/23/51 and
occupied by Special Agent William V. Cleveland, GS 15. The Inspector's findings were
furnished in an administrative memorandum (attached) to Mr. Belmont in which the
Inspector recommended the abolishment of this position as being no longer justified in
the light of the findings and as not being in the best interests of the present emphasis
on tightening up, maximum economy and streamlining. Mr. Belmont took no issue with
the Inspector's findings of fact but he disagreed with the abolishment of the position
contending that Mr. Cleveland "is acting as a screen for Belmont and, in effect, is
Belmont's alter ego on matters handled by him" (Belmont here is referring primarily
to the fact that Cleveland spends 60 to 70% of his time processing signature mail from
the various sections of the Domestic Intelligence Division, of which quantity he clears
approximately 60% directly to the Reading Room and Mail Room which means such mail
thus receives final approval for leaving the Bureau without any subsequent review and
the Inspector made the observation that if Cleveland can do this when he does not have
any supervisory responsibility over any of the 6 sections in the Domestic Intelligence
Division, it would appear logical that such mail could have been cleared at the Section
Chief level without even coming to the Front Office.) It is to be noted that Cleveland is
not a #1 Man to Mr. Belmont. Mr. Belmont has in his Front Office 2 GS-16 Inspectors
Inspector J. A. Sizoo who is the #1 Man and is in charge of the Internal Security
Liaison Branch comprising 3 sections (Internal Security, Subversive Control and Liaison)
and Inspector D. E. Moore who is in charge of the Espionage - Central Research Branch
also comprising 3 sections (Espionage, Nationalities Intelligence and Central Research).
The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize the Inspector's analysis of the duties
performed by Mr. Cleveland, also to set forth Mr. Belmont's observations and to
present this matter for final decision by the Bureau.

BACKGROUND:

Mr. Cleveland's position was established by memorandum from Mr. Tolson
to the Director 10/23/51 in which the Director approved Mr. Cleveland "as #1 Man to
Mr. Belmont to act in the same capacity as Special Agent Supervisor George Scatterday
in Mr. Ladd's Office." Mr. Scatterday at that time was primarily a reviewer of mail

HLE:JU
(6)
Enclosures
Memo H. L. Edwards to Mr. Mohr
Re: Domestic Intelligence Division Inspection

whose position was abolished in July, 1958, by the Director when he abolished Mr. Boardman's Office (Mr. Scatterday, who was in GS 15, was reassigned to the Domestic Intelligence Division and has just recently been approved by the Director, as result of the Inspector's recommendation, to serve as Section Chief of the newly established Name Check Section in the Domestic Intelligence Division which resulted from the consolidation of the Special Memo Unit formerly under the Liaison Section of the Domestic Intelligence Division and the Name Check Unit of the Investigative Division). It was never intended that Mr. Cleveland would be a #1 Man to the Assistant Director in the sense of acting in his absence and to avoid any confusion, the organisational chart (attached) shows Mr. Cleveland as "Supervisor" and subordinate to the 2 GS-16 Inspectors in Mr. Belmont's Front Office. As the Inspector's findings indicate, however, the duties and responsibilities which Mr. Cleveland is performing in processing outgoing signature mail, screening incoming nonsignature mail and coordinating other matters for the Assistant Director, he is, in effect, discharging a function which more properly should be handled by the 2 GS-16 Inspectors to the extent that such activities cannot be placed upon the Section Chiefs, or in some cases on the GS-7, GS-8 and GS-9 Front Office secretarial personnel.

DUTIES OF SUPERVISOR CLEVELAND:

Mr. Cleveland's duties are as follows:

A. Reviewing and processing outgoing signature (i.e., dictated) mail. This accounts for 60 to 70% of his time. He averages 85 pieces of mail daily, of which he gives final approval to 59 pieces (69%) sending them to the Reading Room or Mail Room for final clearance from Bureau. Of the remaining 26 pieces daily, he initials 19 pieces to Branch Chiefs Sizoo and Moore for their further divisional review and approval; and the remaining 6 pieces to Mr. Belmont for his further review and initialing (during the 8-day period of the mail count, Mr. Cleveland initialed 4 pieces or an average of 1/2 piece per day directly to Mr. Tolson's Office).

B. Reviewing, screening, and/or processing daily incoming nonsignature (i.e., mail on which action has already been taken or remains to be taken) mail. This requires from 30 to 40% of his normal day. Based on a mail count, Mr. Cleveland screens approximately 319 pieces of incoming mail daily (representing 75% of the daily total for the Front Office). He either takes final action on the mail such as initialing same for file or he routes it to the Assistant Director, Inspectors Sizoo or Moore, or the Section Chiefs and their further action. The incoming nonsignature mail, which first comes to the Front Office, includes 3 categories of mail which the Screening Unit of the Front the Bureau is instructed to send to the Front Office in addition.
C. The remainder of Mr. Cleveland’s duties (not exceeding from 5 to 10% of his time) consists of the following: (1) Relief on Branch Chief Moore’s desk; (2) Handling telephone calls from the field in the absence of Front Office officials or when the volume is heavy, also telephone inquiries from other divisions, such as those from Training and Inspection Division regarding In-Service curriculum, press inquiries concerning security matters and miscellaneous administrative matters; (3) Discussing matters before conferences of Section Chiefs held by Mr. Belmont, (These are for the purpose of refreshing Section Chiefs on something which should be done or giving them uniform instructions on matters. Inspectors Sisco and Moore also bring such matters up but Cleveland states he feels Mr. Belmont gives him the bulk of those assignments for the Front Office rather than having them handled by #1 Man Sisco or Inspector Moore); (4) Indoctrination of Night Agent Supervisors, (the Front Office of the Division has an Agent Supervisor serving on a 2-week rotating basis on the 4:00 p.m. to Midnight shift and Mr. Cleveland sees that the manual for their guidance is kept up to date and adequate); (5) Miscellaneous duties including coordinating reports for inclusion in monthly accomplishment report to the Attorney General, coordinating budget material for the division, conducting miscellaneous surveys for the division concerning stamp production, space, and monthly surveys of Bureau procedures under the Executive Order pertaining to classification of documents (EO Number 10601); coordination of the weekly, monthly and other error programs concerned with errors in outgoing mail; following items for the division which are on the Director’s tickler list and coordinating contacts with Mr. Holloman regarding same; administering the Great Plaza parking space assignments which is negligible and pertains to keeping track of such parking spaces allocated to the division and reassigning them in case of transfer or separation of the incumbent.

FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS OF THE INSPECTOR:

It will be seen that Mr. Cleveland’s duties are, in effect, a service for the Front Office of the division. The 69% of the outgoing signature mail which he gives final clearance to need not be reviewed further by Inspectors Moore, #1 Man Sizzo or Assistant Director Belmont. The remaining 31% which he decides should receive further review by one of these 3 officials receives the benefit of a prior review and initialing by Mr. Cleveland. Since this fraction alone takes from 50 to 70% of Mr. Cleveland’s working day, it should be thoroughly justified as being absolutely essential to the efficient functioning of the division. To the contrary, the Inspector feels that it cannot be justified
Home to Mr. Mohr from E. L. Edwards
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as essential. If this mail is important enough to come to the Front Office in the first place, the Inspector feels it should have the benefit of a review by one of the 2 GS-16 Inspectors who have supervisory responsibility over the sections which prepared the mail, or by the Assistant Director, depending on the importance of it. Since the bulk of this mail (69% or 59 pieces per day) is cleared to the Reading Room or Mail Room, it could, for the most part, be cut off at the Section Chief level. Section Chiefs are in Grade GS 15 (same grade as Mr. Cleveland) and in the interests of streamlining, keeping the Front Office from being bogged down by unnecessary nonpolicy mail, and placing responsibility for insuring the completeness and correctness of this mail on the Section Chief where it properly belongs, it is felt the division should require the Section Chiefs to assume this responsibility. This would relieve Inspectors Sizoo and Moore and Mr. Belmont of a bulk of detail with the understanding that they should make regular spot checks at sufficiently frequent intervals to insure Section Chiefs are properly discharging this responsibility (Mr. Belmont states that the bulk of the mail at present is cut off at the Section level and the 85 pieces which daily come to his office for Cleveland's review comprise items required by Bureau rules to go through Mr. Tolson's Office or items which are believed to require scrutiny above the level of the Section Chief, which latter function Mr. Cleveland performs by applying broad Bureau policy and thus handling them uniformly and coordinating the mail on a division basis. Once mail has left the Bureau, it would be too late to stop the action or to change it)

Mr. Cleveland's duties in screening and processing the nonsignature mail make up practically the remainder of his regular working day and take from 30 to 40% of his time. The Inspector concedes incoming nonsignature mail serves an initial purpose in helping the Front Office keep informed and thereby aids the executive direction and control of the operating sections; however, the volume of such incoming action mail should be kept to a minimum in order to avoid any delays or circuitous routing in having such mail promptly reach the sections where the action must be taken. Here again, the Inspector feels Section Chiefs should be required, wherever possible, to assume primary responsibility for screening the bulk of incoming mail for their respective sections and determining those items which warrant immediate notification to someone in the Front Office or higher up. If the Front Office relieves them of this responsibility by first reviewing and screening the mail, such action, in effect, serves as a "crutch" to the Section Chief and would result in failing to place primary responsibility where it properly belongs and at the same time would tend to bog down the Front Office with unnecessary detail. Even if some of the incoming mail should first go to the Front Office, there is no reason why it could not be screened by one of the GS-7, GS-8 or GS-9 secretaries to the Inspectors and Assistant Director. The secretary could immediately send to the Section Chiefs mail for action where no reason exists for the Front Office official to review it; see that the proper Front Office official promptly receives that which should be first seen by him; and the remainder which needs no action except initialing for the file, could be cleared out of the division by the secretary. This is certainly a function which is performed by much personnel in the office of the Director and Associate Director with no trouble, and should not be assigned to them regularly.
Memo H. L. Edwards to Mr. Mohr
Re: Domestic Intelligence Division Inspection

assume the responsibilities of at least this level, and extensive experience and close-working relationship with the Front Office officials should provide ample guarantee of their competence to relieve the higher grade officials of this mail screening responsibility. (Mr. Belmont feels the division would sustain a "loss of direction and control if some of the incoming mail categories were sent directly to the Section Chiefs. He states the Front Office has a more current and wide-spread knowledge of Bureau problems as a whole than the Section Chiefs; that the Front Office can give direction and guidance by first seeing potentially delicate matters rather than waiting for a problem to be called to its attention by the Section Chief; that having Front Office secretaries screen memoranda which come back for final initialing, as well as interdivisional items, would deprive the Front Office officials from knowing whether the action has been approved, whether there has been any change in the action, which items in the memorandum are considered of more significance than others and whether the memorandum is being routed to all sections having an interest. He feels this is a supervisory rather than a clerical function to handle such. The only items he concedes could be screened by the GS-7, GS-8 and GS-9 secretaries are magazines and periodicals (which merely need to be checked, for the most part, to Inspector Sullivan's Section for review). Concerning the remainder of Mr. Cleveland's duties (at the most 5 to 10%), the Inspector feels these could and should, for the most part, be handled by #1 Man Sizoo, Inspector Moore, or one of the Front Office high-grade secretaries. The only exception would be the necessity of an approved relief supervisor for the desks of Inspectors Moore and Sizoo, which Inspector Edwards feels should be handled by someone from one of the operating sections as a part of executive training and advancement for the Section Chiefs and that the division should obtain prior approval for a regular "chain of command" to insure selecting the best qualified and most logical man for this assignment; provided, however, that the use of relief men in the Front Office should be kept to a minimum and, wherever possible, temporary absences should be absorbed by remaining personnel. (Mr. Belmont agrees that relief men should be called to the Front Office only when absolutely necessary. He is reluctant to take a Section Chief off his operational desk except where necessary. He feels Mr. Cleveland is the best qualified relief because of his broad knowledge of division policy and can best relieve Moore because he works in the same office, which he feels keeps him in close contact with the activities of the 3 sections Moore supervises.) Mr. Belmont agrees the incoming telephone calls from the field should be handled by himself or the 2 Front Office Inspectors and claims Cleveland takes them only when it is not possible for the other 3 Front Office officials to do so. He sees no problem in following the Inspector's suggestion to have the #1 Man bring up divisional problems at the Section Chiefs' Conference, as well as having him assume responsibility for indoctrinating the Night Agent Supervisor. Concerning the remaining miscellaneous duties, Mr. Belmont states the clerical portion of these is now being performed by the Front Office secretaries and Cleveland takes them from what the Assistant Director would otherwise have to do. The remaining is not to be brought...
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Mr. Belmont rather than Mr. Cleveland should act for Mr. Belmont on these division-wide coordinating matters.

CONCLUSION:

There is no question concerning the fact that Mr. Cleveland has been fully occupied and has been performing in a completely satisfactory manner evidencing an excellent attitude. The sole issue is one of logical, proper administrative organization plus streamlining and economy. From these standpoints the facts clearly indicate that Mr. Cleveland's position is decidedly orthodox in the administrative structure because he has no assigned supervisory responsibility for any of the 6 sections in the Domestic Intelligence Division. He is subordinate in the chain of command to Inspectors Sizoo and Moore yet performs the bulk of the Front Office review and approval of outgoing signature mail emanating from all except the Liaison Section (Liaison mail is handled by Inspector Sizoo without going through Cleveland which Mr. Belmont states is because most of it must go through the Branch Chief as well as the Assistant Director, hence the screening by Cleveland is not deemed necessary). He performs the same function on the incoming action mail which has been or is to be handled by the operating sections. His miscellaneous duties are of a coordinating nature for the entire division, which one would logically expect would be the responsibility of the Assistant Director's #1 Man.

In the interest of economy and in the extremely important interest of placing primary responsibility where it properly belongs, the inspector feels that Mr. Cleveland's position should be abolished in the divisional Front Office. If this is approved, the division should make an analysis of the signature mail and submit recommendations for having as much of that cleared at the Section Chief level as possible, the yardstick being whether the Front Office can justify for some essential reason that all mail received by it must be reviewed beyond the level of the Section Chief. A similar analysis should be made of the incoming nonsignature mail to insure that as much of that, as possible, is sent directly to the Section Chief so as to get it as promptly as possible to the place where action need be taken; the remainder which the Front Office justifies continuing to receive, should be initially screened by the Front Office secretaries.

Should the abolishment of Mr. Cleveland's position be approved, the division will not lose the benefit of his broad experience and administrative abilities because there is a vacancy in the position of #1 Man to Section Chief Ralph Roach of the Liaison Section caused by the recent transfer of Norman Philcox to Paris. Philcox was in GS 14. The inspector feels that Cleveland should remain in GS 15 and this would be justified because he would be able to not only serve as #1 Man to the Section Chief but concentrate on guiding and directing the Foreign Liaison operations which has responsibility for all of the Bureau's 10 foreign offices. Such would materially strengthen the feet of Government control and administration over these 10 offices which need strong
To Mr. Mohr

Re: Domestic Intelligence Division Inspection

Administrative guidance in view of the unique problems and the remoteness of the offices from headquarters.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

See cover memo.

PERMANENT BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE OF WILLIAM V. CLEVELAND ATTACHED.
NAME: JOSEPH A. SIZOO  
PAYROLL #8868  
Non-Veteran  

TITLE: INSPECTOR  
GRADE GS-16, $14,910  
NOT ON PROBATION  

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR A. H. BELMONT:  

Mr. Sizoo has served as Inspector in charge of the Internal Security-Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division since August, 1955. Prior to that time he served in an official capacity with the Laboratory and the Training and Inspection Division, as well as the Records Branch. He has also had experience as assistant to Mr. Tolson.

Mr. Sizoo takes over the handling of the Domestic Intelligence Division in the absence of the Assistant Director, and in the past has served as substitute on the desk of Assistant to the Director Boardman.

He has an excellent background and knowledge of Bureau policy, is thoroughly loyal to the Bureau, and approaches his work in an aggressive, enthusiastic manner. He seeks responsibility, and has shown above average judgment in making not only the day-to-day decisions which are constantly arising in his work, but also in planning and organizing his branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division. He is thoroughly experienced, capable, and constantly looking out for the Bureau's interests.

He was criticized on June 19, 1958 for failing to see that certain information was promptly disseminated.

RATING: EXCELLENT  

INSPECTOR H. L. EDWARDS: HLE:jlj  

The Inspector, No. 1 Man, and numerous members of the staff had frequent occasion to deal with Mr. Sizoo throughout the inspection. Mr. Sizoo actually coordinated the various administrative write-ups, work papers, and many of the other problems which arose during the inspection. An evaluation of him has been submitted in the Inspection Summary which need not be repeated here.
TO: The Director  
FROM: J. P. Mohr  
SUBJECT: PROBATION REPORT

Following is a list of SACs and SOG officials on probation. There are no Legal Attaches on probation at this time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PROBATION DATE</th>
<th>DATE LAST</th>
<th>REASON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| J. A. Sizoo | 9-16-58 | 10-31-58 | Domestic Intelligence Division  
| & | 11-12-58 | Continued | Because Special Agent Supervisors were engaging in improper activities after signing in. Continued 11-12 as result of inspection.
PATIENT'S NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME

SIZOO, JOSEPH ALEXANDER FBI

PATIENT'S NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME

REGISTER NO. WARD NO. Staff Clinic

AGE SEX

(Check one)

BEDSIDE, WHEELCHAIR, OR STRETCHER

PATIENT AMBULATORY

EXAMINATION REQUESTED

REQUESTED BY

DATE OF REQUEST

PERTINENT CLINICAL HISTORY, OPERATIONS, PHYSICAL FINDINGS, AND PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS

FILM NO. DATE OF REPORT

5319-59

RADIOGRAPHIC REPORT

1/23/59 LEFT FOOT: No abnormality is identified. MWO/hcb 096

Department of Radiology
U. S. Naval Hospital
National Naval Medical Center
Bethesda 14, Maryland

NAME OF HOSPITAL OR OTHER MEDICAL FACILITY

4 FEB 5 1959

/R

SIGNATURE. (Specify location of laboratory if not part of requesting facility.)


RADIOGRAPHIC REPORT
TO: MR. MOHR
FROM: H. L. EDWARDS
SUBJECT: DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION INSPECTION
INSPECTOR H. L. EDWARDS
JULY 23 - OCTOBER 31, 1958

SYNOPSIZED SUMMARY (DETAILED SUMMARY ATTACHED)

OFFICIALS: A. H. Belmont, Assistant Director since 9/30/51 (previously Inspector in Charge of Division from 2/27/50); Inspector J. A. Sizoo, No. 1 Man and Branch Chief (Internal Security - Liaison Branch) since 9/15/53; Inspector D. E. Moore, Branch Chief (Espionage - Central Research Branch) since 10/14/56. All on "interim probation" since 9/16/58 for "newspaper reading" and similar abuses discovered in early morning check.

LAST GENERAL INSPECTION: 9/6 - 27/56; former Inspector W. H. Buys

BACKGROUND: In addition to complete Division inspection, Director instructed Inspector to (1) analyze complete setup re technical surveillances in division to insure all productive and properly administered; (2) emphasize reducing agent personnel, economy and streamlining; (3) check whether Division derelict in not earlier detecting weaknesses of former Madrid Legat Joseph E. Presley who was transferred to Havana (a larger office) 1/23/58 but demoted and removed to domestic field for unfitness July, 1958.

FINDINGS

PHYSICAL CONDITION AND MAINTENANCE. GOOD

Division submitted 90 requests for repairs, equipment, etc., within two-week period before inspection (18 involving no Bureau cost, 72 requiring Bureau expenditures) indicating Division should stress essential housekeeping on regular rather than sporadic basis. Several requests should have been deferred for economy reasons. Espionage Section unnecessarily requested two fireproof safe cabinets (cost over $200 each) when similar equipment already available in same section; corrective centralized division control instituted to insure future screening of requisitions for nonexpendable equipment and memos declaring nonexpendable equipment surplus. Security check revealed "top secret" manual in unlocked drawer of locked desk of Espionage Section Chief Branigan. Corrected by keeping classified material in more secure place and repairing defective desk lock. Other material found which by nature should be secured although not classified. Mainly due to reduction in personnel and consolidating two steno pools Inspector arranged complete space

Enclosures
HLE: jlj (6) 34

Original filed in 67-149000-1-163x3

2 FEB 16 1959
realignment giving each of six sections in Justice Building contiguous space eliminating undesirable condition of widely separated units on several floors and personnel at far corners of building.

II. INVESTIGATIVE OPERATIONS

Current Division case load 16, 423 or 28% of Bureau total. Since last inspection (8/31/58) total pending investigative matters in Security field declined 11%, major factor being 26% decline in "100" classification (Security Matter - Communist). Some important classifications increased. (Espionage, from 384 to 551 or 43%; Internal Security-Nationalistic Tendency, from 3,291 to 4,515 or 37%). Delinquency in Security cases 9/30/58 was 8.5% comparing favorably with over-all Bureau delinquency 7.2%. Division holds tight control over delinquency. Communist Party (CP, USA) membership declined past fiscal year from 11,504 to 8,150. Case load decline not due to lessening security threat but to factionalism in Party causing withdrawal from active membership, less active participation in organizations and front groups, tenor of recent court decisions, rising tide of public apathy and complacency, legislation, propaganda, etc. Bulk of Division's work arises from numerous programs which with exception of certain administrative weaknesses (reported later) were determined essential and properly handled. During inspection several new programs initiated by Division and others intensified or redirected to meet current challenges.

Division has firmly established Bureau's pre-eminence in domestic intelligence field. Programs and field conferences have achieved excellent Seat of Government and Field teamwork in security matters. Many noteworthy accomplishments since last inspection. Examples: (1) Intensification Program identified 112 intelligence agents and enabled 19 selected agents to be placed in contact with Soviets; (2) double agents increased 57% (23 to 36); (3) investigations identified 50 foreign agents past year (43 in U. S.); Security informants increased from 948 to 1017, of whom 408 (all time high) members of CP; (4) sensational information secured this year from top-level CP informants re future of CP, USA, and Soviet financial aid to and interest therein; Bureau penetrated national Party financial structure, obtained identities and amounts re Party's "financial angels"; (5) technical surveillances enabled Bureau to disseminate to White House, State, our UN delegation, and other interested agencies valuable advance intelligence during Middle East and other recent crises. Numerous other accomplishments outlined in attached detailed summary.

CONCLUSIONS RE INVESTIGATIVE OPERATIONS: Despite significant accomplishments, cannot rate investigative operations higher than "very good." Division cannot escape fact that incidents arose necessitating personal action of Director and Mr. Tolson such as initiating evaluations Division should have made; alerting Division to need for examining procedures, planning, streamlining, reducing
personnel. Inspection revealed administrative weaknesses (now corrected - see below) which should guarantee significant strengthening of investigative operations and help achieve maximum potential in security matters.

III. ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATIONS

Major division weaknesses were administrative. Agent manpower reduced during inspection from 148 to 128 (drop of 20 agents or approximately 14%). Division did not initiate realistic reduction before inspection despite Director's repeated urgings and emphasis on "Spartan" economy.

Inspector made many substantial changes to streamline, tighten administrative control and promote efficiency: (1) Name Check work removed from Investigative Division, combined with Special Memo Unit from Liaison Section and made into Name Check Section in Domestic Intelligence Division under Section Chief George Scatterday; all placed in Identification Building close to Bureau's administrative files. (2) Racial Matters Unit removed from Internal Security Section and consolidated with Civil Rights Unit of Investigative Division, eliminating previous duplication of effort and pre-existing danger of essential action not being taken when dual interest and supervision existed. (3) Director approved abolishing GS-15 position of Supervisor W. V. Cleveland in Mr. Belmont's front office who spent almost full time screening and processing incoming and outgoing mail. Position nonessential as functions should have been handled by Branch and Section Chiefs and front office secretaries thus eliminating unnecessary routing and facilitating faster handling of mail. Cleveland transferred as No. 1 Man to Liaison Section Chief Roach which will strengthen administrative direction and control of foreign offices. (4) Survey showed need to reduce volume of outgoing mail reaching Mr. Tolson's Office after deadline. Corrected. (5) Several weaknesses noted in supervisory structure in Sections (e.g. using men for relief on No. 1 Man's and Section Chief's desks who were not next in logical chain of command; Espionage Section was improperly using a "floating" relief man as a training position for inexperienced supervisors on important Espionage desks; confusion existed in Liaison Section re duties of Supervisor in Charge resulting in "no man's land" and other weaknesses; Subversive Control Section had excessive administrative "overhead" 1/5 of 29-man Staff.) All weaknesses corrected. (6) Mr. Belmont and staff not maintaining adequate closeness to operations evidenced by surprise morning check of space which disclosed newspaper reading, performance of personal business, and coffee drinking. Other failures found such as (a) lack of spot check of work on individual desks, case reviews with agents, check of ticklers, etc. (b) Division lacked uniform essential policy for periodic formal analysis and evaluation of programs. (c) Case supervisors tended to repeatedly send field follow-up (O-1 forms) without taking positive action to prevent recurrence. All corrected by strong specific division instructions.
remote control (resulted in administering foreign liaison from his
front office); looked at mail and reports on daily basis but failed
to probe and evaluate actual operations, Moynihan had primary responsibility for Madrid desk from August, 1955, to July, 1958; cited
Presley for individaul weaknesses but failed to " follow through.*'.
He advised Messrs. Philcox, Roach, Sizoo, and Belmont of general
instances and suspicions of Presley's failings but no one initiated
complete evaluation.
Philcox shares blame as No. 1 Man to Roach.
Section relied on Madrid Inspection reports in evaluating Presley, yet
Inspector Teague relied on erroneous assumption that SOG had evaluated
many items of Presley's performance, (another instance of a "no man's
land"). Branch Chief Sizoo and Assistant Director Belmont culpable,
but not to extent of Roach. They properly delegated primary responsibility to Roach as Section Chief but inadequately checked
compliance. Recommendations for administrative action at end.
Other minor administrative weaknesses in Roach's section
corrected by Inspector to strengthen Domestic Liaison and Defense
Plans.

Miscellaneous administrative weaknesses developed ; all
corrected. Most minor; some division-wide, others confined to one
or more sections.
Included duplicating newspaper clippling functions;
excessive errors in daily overtime computation by agents in Espionage
Section caused by carelessness; lack of uniform use made u in Division
of desirable form designed to help supervisors follow sick leave
without burdensome records; low Division stenographic ..and typing production (2*1 pages per hour versus 2.5 for SOG); 6 form and 6 substantive errors out of 203 cases reviewed (3$ each type.;- substantive
errors high, form errors low); several cases where constructive
suggestions made; minor delinquencies in programs dealing with ticklers,
policy folders, manual provisions, condition of files, deadlines, review of cases, and extent of investigation. Inspector suggested and
Division agreed to form a Division Streamlining Committee to assist as
needed in analyzing matters affecting more than one section. Inspector
analyzed present administration of Emergency Detention Program (EDP),
including Security Index and proposed several steps to strengthen same.
To be separately presented to Executives Conference in' view of technical aspects.
IV; PERSONNEL MATTERS .
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UNSATISFACTORY

.

Upon initiating inspection justification requested for
existing personnel and after analysis Division agreed to reduce agents
from l4o to i32. inspection effected reduction of 7 to 125, but adjusted final figure to 128 after Division picked up net gain of 3
agents by receiving Name Check work from Investigative Division and
losing Racial Matters Unit. Thus over-all reduction effected of 20
agents (l4$). Agent staff now adequate guaranteeing full but: not excessive assignments. Daily agent overtime average in Division com(September: Division - 2* 25"; SOG - 2'
pares favorably with SOG.
35") •
All sections reflect substantial equality in overtime average
1

~
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except Central Research Section (2' 5"); agent reduction in Division shared by all sections except Central Research where work load justi-

fied retaining present personnel. Division clerical personnel had
gross reduction during inspection from 161 to 145 (about 10%), how-
ever, receipt of Name Check function added 29 clerks making total
assigned 174. *Three justified clerical vacancies exist. Salary sav-
ings about $275,000 annually at SOG.

Morale now very good. Temporarily upset by "newspaper read-
ing" incident and ensuing gossip which distorted spirit and letter of
instructions issued thereon. Inspection revealed no factual basis for
gossip, and no later instances noted. Mr. Belmont 'took to heart'
Director's serious concern and is determined to restore Director's
complete confidence in Division.

V. CONTACTS AND MISCELLANEOUS. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . EXCELLENT

Division has maintained outstanding contacts through liaison
activities in domestic field. Those maintained through foreign lia-
ison activities also extremely valuable and except for isolated ins-
tances (previously noted) satisfactorily handled. No weaknesses noted
in Division's dealings with Department or in Division's handling of
numerous interagency committee responsibilities.

*Per HLE, needs have been satisfied. WBH 11/24/58

EVALUATION OF KEY PERSONNEL

I. Assistant Director Belmont: (EOD 11-30-36; GS 18, $17,500; Dom-
estic Intelligence Division since 2-27-50; as Assistant Director
since 9-30-51; age 51. Mr. Belmont was severely censured and placed
on "interim" probation 9-16-58 for abuses discovered in surprise
morning check of division.) Mr. Belmont has outstanding technical
knowledge; is loyal; dedicated to security field; and deserves credit
for technical accomplishments. He frankly admits the inspection dis-
closed a serious weakness in his failure to show sufficient concern
for administrative essentials; now recognizes there is no substitute
for sound, tight administrative leadership to prevent weaknesses in
the working levels and insure maximum efficiency. He has exhibited
some "softness", tending to palliate shortcomings of subordinates
and rely on their complete dedication and efficiency rather than
conduct realistic spot checks and maintain controls to guarantee full
compliance. He deplores the adverse reflections on division morale
which shook Director's confidence. He assured Inspector that if
Director sees fit to continue him in present position he can apply
the lessons learned to achieve greater accomplishments and completely
restore Director's confidence in him and division. Inspector Edwards
is convinced of Mr. Belmont's sincerity. Inasmuch as all weaknesses
discovered have been corrected, Edwards favors giving him opportunity
to prove administrative leadership with the understanding, however,
that he will be retained on probation until he has proved it beyond

-5-
doubt. (Recommendation at end.)

2. Inspector - No. 1 Man, Joseph A. Sizoo: (EOD 7-10-35 (Clerk); 11-1-38 (SA) GS 16, $14,910; in division since 9-15-53 (except temporary assignment Mr. Tolson's Office 6-1-54 - 8-25-55); age 48. Censured and placed on "interim" probation 9-16-58 for abuses disclosed in morning divisional check in his branch.) Is Branch Chief of Internal Security-Liaison Branch, assigned to Mr. Belmont's Front Office and acts in his absence. Liaison Section had inexcusable weaknesses for which Section Chief Roach primarily to blame. Sizoo relied too much on Roach rather than spot checking and seeing for himself. All three sections under Sizoo had "newspaper" offenders in surprise check. Internal Security Section had technical surveillance control unit where "evasive" system of counting surveillances and other weaknesses existed. Subversive Control Section had excessive administrative overhead and manpower was reduced from 29 to 24 agents. Mr. Sizoo cannot escape responsibility for these administrative weaknesses but to large extent they were divisional policy for which Mr. Belmont primarily responsible. Mr. Sizoo fully appreciates corrective action taken; is anxious to wholeheartedly and capably administer the changes made. Edwards favors giving him a chance to prove it, but should be retained on probation until his actions produce conclusive results. (Recommendation at end.)

3. Inspector - Branch Chief Donald E. Moore: (EOD 3-10-41; GS 16, $14,910; in present position since 10-14-56; age 40. Censured and placed on "interim" probation since 9-16-58 because of abuses in his branch discovered in early morning divisional check.) Is Branch Chief of Espionage - Central Research Branch. Central Research Section had no major weaknesses and no abuses in the morning check. Abuses were found in the other 2 sections (Espionage and Nationalities Intelligence) in addition to the type of general administrative weaknesses discovered in the division. The Espionage Section had numerous weaknesses of various types, mostly administrative. Although primarily the fault of Section Chief Branigan, Inspector Moore cannot escape responsibility as Branch Chief for not detecting such by proper penetrative spot checks, administrative devices and controls. Corrective measures taken during inspection should prevent recurrences. Vigorous application of these controls will be required, especially in Espionage Section. Inspector Moore is considered thoroughly capable and wants a chance to show he can manage the hard, tight administrative controls the inspection instituted. Edwards favors giving him a trial. Meantime, keep him on probation until he proves beyond doubt he can administer his branch and secure the potential benefits expected from the espionage work. (Recommendation at end.)

4. Section Chiefs Fred J. Baumgardner (Internal Security); W. A. Branigan (Espionage); J. F. Bland (Subversive Control); S. B. Donahoe (Nationalities Intelligence); R. R. Roach (Liaison); all put on probation 9-16-58 because of abuses discovered during early morning check.
Chief Inspector W. C. Sullivan of Central Research Section had no major weaknesses and since no abuses in early morning check attributable to his section he is not on probation.

Weaknesses discovered during inspection mainly due to basic divisional administrative weaknesses for which Mr. Belmont is primarily accountable. Some sections worse than others -- degree of variation attributable to differences in leadership and executive qualities of Section Chiefs. Inspector discussed findings with these men relating to their sections. All admit seriousness of findings and realize they must assume primary responsibility for sections. They considered the corrective measures constructive, essential and hope for opportunity to prove to Director their ability to implement the corrections. Particular stress was placed on the weaknesses in the Espionage and Liaison Sections where the findings were more serious. Despite the numerous commendable accomplishments in Espionage and Liaison these men now realize that their administrative weaknesses detract from the value of them and rightfully raise questions in the Director's mind as to whether they have fully exploited the potential in their fields. Messrs. Belmont, Sizoo and Moore were specifically alerted to the necessity of concentrating on the Espionage and Liaison Sections to insure that these sections are given needed impetus in carrying out all of the administrative controls. Giving weight to fact that most major administrative weaknesses were result of following division pattern, and giving proper credit for excellent accomplishments of these sections, Edwards feels the most constructive good and best interests of Bureau would be served by granting them chance they request to assist Mr. Belmont in restoring division to full confidence of Director. (See recommendations.)

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Severe censure for Mr. Belmont, continue on probation until his actions conclusively prove his desire and ability to forcefully manage administrative responsibilities. If approved, attached letter summarizing inspection will handle.

I agree. H. L. EDWARDS
JPM
11/12

"Yes." "H"

2. Severe censure and continued probation for Branch Chiefs Sizoo and Moore until they demonstrate completely acceptable administrative leadership over their branches. Letters attached.

I agree. H. L. EDWARDS.
JPM
11/12

"Yes." "H"
3. Severe censure and continued probation for Section Chiefs Baumgardner, Bland, Branigan, Donahoe, and Roach, with stern warnings to Branigan and Roach that any substantial indication of future administrative weakness will result in immediate removal from Section Chief Position. Letters attached.
I agree. H. L. EDWARDS
JPM
11/12
"Yes."
"H"

4. Severe censure for former Madrid desk supervisor Special Agent Cornelius A. Moynihan (now at Memphis); former Liaison Section No. 1. Man Norman Philcox (now at Paris); and former Inspector Lee Teague (now SAC, Miami) -- for their respective deficiencies in failing to detect weaknesses of Presley.
I agree.
JPM
11/12
"Yes."
"H"

Letters will be prepared by Admin. Division.
Done JPM
11/17

5. Recommend approval for resuming previous policy of bringing Legal Attaches back to SOG annually (same as SACs) -- one year for In-Service; alternate year for conferences and home leave.
I agree. H. L. EDWARDS
JPM
11/12
"Yes."
"H"

6. Recommend recheck in 90 days (February 12, 1959) to determine whether the Division is in completely satisfactory condition and whether Mr. Belmont and the others should be removed from probation.
I agree. H. L. EDWARDS.
JPM
11/12
"Yes."
"H"

Belmont and his assistant should be completely ashamed of the conditions discovered in this inspection.

"These conditions are shameful and what is both distressing and disheartening is that I have again and again warned Belmont and expressed my concern but he completely disregarded my views as if I did not know what I was talking about and as if it was none of my business."
"H".
February 13, 1959

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Sizoo:

In the recent past there was a failure on your part to discover a number of nonsubstantive errors that appeared in official correspondence reviewed and approved by you. You were remiss in failing to give more adequate attention to the review of these items of correspondence.

There is no acceptable excuse for such errors appearing in official mail and in the future you will be required to demonstrate greater thoroughness and closer attention to detail in reviewing correspondence.

Very truly yours,

J. Edgar Hoover
Director

Based on memo Belmont to Tolson AHB:eb 2-11-59.
Office Memorandum - UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: MR. TOLSON

FROM: A. H. BELMONT

SUBJECT: ERRORS

In accordance with instructions contained in a memorandum to all Bureau officials and Supervisors dated April 4, 1957, records have been maintained of the errors in correspondence and of the reviewing officials who approve correspondence with nonsubstantive errors contained therein. During the period October 9, 1958, through February 10, 1959, Inspector Joseph A. Sisoo had ten such errors charged against him. A review of the errors reflected that most were typographical and grammatical errors.

I have discussed these errors with Inspector Sisoo and have emphasized the necessity of his reviewing mail even more carefully in the future.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that this memorandum be forwarded to the Administrative Division and that a letter of censure be prepared and addressed to Inspector Sisoo.

---

67-57045-332
Received 1959

[Signature]
The Director

FROM: J. P. Mohr

SUBJECT: PROBATION REPORT

Following is a list of SACs, SOG officials and Legal Attachees on probation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PROBATION DATE</th>
<th>DATE LAST INSPECTION</th>
<th>DATE LAST RECHECK</th>
<th>REASON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Savannah</td>
<td>6-5-57</td>
<td>9-24-58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12-19-57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-28-58</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-6-58</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-31-58</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. E. Crosby</td>
<td>1-15-59</td>
<td>12-9-58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. McCabe</td>
<td>4-18-58</td>
<td>8-22-58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-27-58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-16-58</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-6-59</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - Mr. Tolson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Because of delinquencies found in his division during inspection of the New York Office. Continued 6-27 as result of recheck inspection of New York Office. Continued 9-16 because he has only 6 months in the New Haven Division 12-16, 9-31-58. (Continued 1-6-59 in view of the letter of censure directed to him on 9-24-58 and will be reconsidered for removal in March, 1959.)
Because of accumulation of facts relating to improper assignment of men, and his overall responsibility regarding improper assignment of correlation employees. Continued 6-27 as a result of the recheck inspection of the New York Office.

Because of the atrocious handling by him and his supervisory staff of the Espionage investigation on 3-12-58. Continued 4-18 in view of results of inspection. Continued 6-27 in view of results of recheck inspection of the New York Office. Continued per memo 10-17-58.

Failure to detect and correct inexcusable weaknesses found during special inspection of the Deserter Fugitive Unit under his supervision while assigned to SOG. Per memo 11-3 recommend continued and reconsidered for removal after the results of next inspection.

Because of the demoralization in his supervision of the investigation into the bombing of a place of religious worship on 10-12-58. Continued 11-3 as a result of inspection.

Because of the one way in which he handled the personnel situation involving Special Agent William J. Fitzpatrick.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-13-59</td>
<td>Call to</td>
<td>Training and Inspection Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q. Vann</td>
<td>6-28-59</td>
<td>6-12-56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Moore</td>
<td>6-26-59</td>
<td>Bogen 10-29-58</td>
<td>Investigative Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. H. Belmont</td>
<td>9-16-58</td>
<td>10-31-58</td>
<td>Domestic Intelligence Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. A. Sisco</td>
<td>9-16-58</td>
<td>10-31-58</td>
<td>Domestic Intelligence Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. E. Moore</td>
<td>9-16-58</td>
<td>10-31-58</td>
<td>Domestic Intelligence Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. A. Cunningham</td>
<td>1-15-59</td>
<td>5-23-58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because of the mishandling by the Dallas Office of the Interstate Transportation of Motor Vehicle Case involving

Superficial and inadequate inquiry in the Deserter Fugitive Unit by an Inspector under his supervision. Continued 11-6-58 until after results of next inspection.

Failure to detect and eliminate weaknesses found during special inspection of Deserter Fugitive Unit in his division and failure to emphasis old pending Deserter Fugitive Cases. Continued 11-6-58 until after results of present inspection.

Because of his dereliction in the handling of security regulations in his office.
Name: JOSEPH A. SIZOO
Payroll #: 8868
EOD: 7/10/35

Title: Inspector
Grade: GS-16, $14,910
On Probation
Nonveteran

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR BELMONT:

Mr. Sizoo has continued to serve as Inspector in charge of the Internal Security-Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division. In this capacity he directly supervises the Internal Security, Subversive Control, Liaison, and Name Check Sections. In the absence of the Assistant Director, Mr. Sizoo takes over the running of the Division.

Mr. Sizoo is a thoroughly experienced Bureau executive. He has had not only field experience, but assignments in other divisions in the Bureau and as assistant to Mr. Tolson. Consequently, he has a wide knowledge of Bureau policy. He is energetic, willingly accepts responsibility, makes decisions readily with good judgment, and is completely loyal to the Director and the Bureau.

On 9/12/58 he was commended by the Director for his excellent over-all supervision and guidance of a project of extreme importance to the Bureau in the security field.

On 9/16/58 he was placed on probation, inasmuch as personnel under his supervision were engaged in improper activities (such as reading newspapers) after signing in for official duty in the morning. He was continued on probation by letter dated 11/12/58, as a result of weaknesses discovered during the inspection.

Mr. Sizoo has taken to heart the matters called to his attention by the Director, and has bent every effort to remove these weaknesses and to insure that the Internal Security-Liaison Branch and, indeed, the entire Division measure up to necessary standards. He has applied himself assiduously to this end. His attitude has been excellent.

Rating: EXCELLENT

SEE PAGE TWO
INSPECTOR J. F. MALONE: Mr. Sizoo was interviewed on 3/4/59 as he was on probation. He makes an excellent appearance. He is intelligent and has an excellent knowledge of the over-all operations of the Domestic Intelligence Division. The reasons for which Mr. Sizoo was originally placed on probation were discussed in detail with him. He stated that all weaknesses in the division have been eliminated and that he has taken steps to insure that the administrative operations of all sections are now being tightly controlled. He stated that the suggestions and ideas initiated during the previous inspection are all in effect and are working satisfactorily. He admits that the over-all operation of the division has been materially improved as a result. He stated that at first he was strenuously opposed to some of the suggestions for what he considers sound reasons. However, in all fairness to the Inspector he agreed to try the new system as suggested, and now is the first to admit that the Inspector's system is working better than the one in effect at that time. His attitude is excellent.

Mr. Sizoo understands that it would be necessary to continue the same tight controls presently in existence if the Domestic Intelligence Division is to operate at peak efficiency at all times. He has assured that the entire staff is completely behind Mr. Belmont and the Director and that the desires as to the proper handling of the work in the Domestic Intelligence Division will be carried out.

Letter to Mr. Sizoo
3-11-59 removing him from probation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICE</th>
<th>MO.</th>
<th>YR.</th>
<th>HS</th>
<th>PPC</th>
<th>SG</th>
<th>.30</th>
<th>MG</th>
<th>GAS</th>
<th>RD</th>
<th>QUALIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 - 55</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td></td>
<td>241</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 - 55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>241</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>255</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>MAR 14 1956</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>263</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>260</td>
<td>MAR 27 1957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td>RD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>262</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>266</td>
<td>FEB 18 1958</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>254</td>
<td>MAR 3 1958</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>DT</td>
<td></td>
<td>9/27 1958</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>265</td>
<td>11/30 1958</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Make up: 92, 91, 11
- 9/27/58
- 9/27/58

67 NOT RECORDED
1 MAR 5 1959
RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

11-30-59

I certify that I have returned the following Government property for official use:

Remington Portable Typewriter #QT 2345233

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

(Written Signature) Joseph A. Sizzo
(Typed Signature) Joesph A. Sizzo by

Dana N.
March 11, 1959

Mr. Joseph A. Sizzo
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Sizzo:

The Bureau is pleased to advise that you are being removed from a probationary status.

Sincerely yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Based on memo Q. Tamm to Mr. Tolson 3-9-59 JFM:wmj.
Office Memorandum - UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: MR. TOLSON  
FROM: MR. Q. TAMB

DATE: March 9, 1959

SUBJECT: RECHECK INSPECTION  
DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION  
INSPECTOR JOHN F. MALONE  
2/16/59 to 3/6/59

SUMMARY

OFFICIALS: A. H. Belmont, Assistant Director since 9/30/51; Inspector J. A. Malone, No. 1 Man and Branch Chief (Internal Security - Liaison Branch) since 9/15/53; Inspector D. E. Moore, Branch Chief (Espionage - Central Research Branch) since 10/14/56. All on probation since 9/16/58 for "newspaper reading" and similar abuses discovered in early morning check during previous inspection.

LAST INSPECTION: 7/23/58 to 10/31/58.

EVALUATIONS:

(1) PHYSICAL CONDITION AND MAINTENANCE . . . . . . VERY GOOD

Previous deficiencies corrected. Recheck reflects few new minor housekeeping deficiencies, all corrected. Over-all space appearance very good; no space needs and no security violations detected. Space changes previously recommended, now completed and more contiguous space has benefited division.

(2) INVESTIGATIVE OPERATIONS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . VERY GOOD

Division case load 20,459 as of 1/31/59, increase of 24.6% since last inspection, due mainly to program of reopening and reevaluation of Communist Index cases instituted 10/2/58. No substantive errors and only one form error (0.8%) found in 126 files reviewed. Previous deficiencies concerning technical surveillances all corrected, 22% of existing technical surveillances reviewed and found productive and justified. Intensification Program streamlined and essential work being handled with minimum manpower. All programs reviewed, justified and producing desired results.

Enclosure 3-10-59
1 - Mr. Mohr (Attention: W. S. Tavel) (Sent separately)
6
1 - Mr. Belmont (Sent separately)
JFM:wmj
Memo for Mr. Tolso
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Results. Slight decrease in number of informants offset by wider Communist Party coverage. Since last inspection, two Communist Party informants continue outstanding performance under division's guidance, one serving as courier between Communist Party, USA, and Communist Party, Soviet Union, with other producing evidence of financing linking Communist Party, USA, to Communist Party, Soviet Union.

(3) ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATIONS .... VERY GOOD

Streamlining procedures instituted last inspection working satisfactorily and beneficial to division. Consolidated Name Check and Special Memorandum Section now handling more work with less personnel. Abolishment of W. V. Cleveland's position in Front Office resulted in Section Chiefs assuming more responsibility, reduced mail flow to Front Office, allowing greater freedom for other administrative duties and planning. Administrative spot checks regularly made by Mr. Belmont and staff, to guarantee overtime devoted to official business. Mr. Belmont accompanied by Inspector on one such check and no deficiencies noted. All delinquencies discovered last inspection now corrected and new procedures instituted found beneficial to division. Tighter control being maintained over work of Legal Attachés; Legal Attache Manual to be completed within 6 months, as well as Bureau War Plans Manual. Stenographic and typing production increased from 2.1 pages per hour during last inspection to 2.5 pages per hour, now equal to Seat of Government average. Survey reflects 9.3% of pages retyped due to stenographic errors or changes by dictator or reviewing officials. Suggestions made and instructions issued for improvement. Time and attendance record procedures satisfactory; decided improvement on accuracy and uniformity since last inspection.

(4) PERSONNEL MATTERS .... VERY GOOD

Personnel considered adequate but not excessive. During previous inspection Agent personnel reduced 14%, with approximately 10% reduction in clerical personnel. Since previous inspection work load increased 24.6% and only personnel increase has been two clerical employees with one additional clerical employee request pending action by Administrative Division.

Voluntary overtime average for division increased from 2 hours, 19 minutes (6 months' average - January - June, 1958) to 2 hours, 41 minutes (3 months' average - November - January, 1959). Overtime analysis indicates
Memo for Mr. Tolson
Re: Recheck Inspection
Domestic Intelligence Division

all section averages have increased and overtime being equitably shared. Morale excellent. Availability check 2/16/59 determined all supervisors available.

(5) CONTACTS . . . . . . . . . . EXCELLENT

During previous inspection the division was rated excellent on contacts. During recheck inspection it was noted that 51 new contacts with outside sources have been developed and program is continuing on an excellent basis.

CONCLUSIONS BASED ON RECHECK:

All delinquencies discovered during last inspection now corrected. Procedures instituted operating smoothly and beneficially. Efficiency, manpower savings and other economies demonstrated. Corrective and strengthening action approved by Director proven beneficial. Mr. Belmont and staff now functioning as smooth team and have eliminated weaknesses.

EVALUATION OF KEY PERSONNEL:

1. Assistant Director Belmont

(EOD 11/30/36; GS-18, $17,500; Domestic Intelligence Division since 2/27/50; as Assistant Director since 9/30/51; aged 52. Mr. Belmont was severely censured and placed on "interim" probation 9/16/53 for abuses discovered in surprise morning check of division. He was continued on probation until he could conclusively prove his desire and ability to forcefully manage administrative responsibilities.) Inspection findings indicate that Mr. Belmont has definitely proven his desire and ability to properly administer the Domestic Intelligence Division. Mr. Belmont has an excellent attitude. He has a thorough knowledge of operations of his division. He has personally and conscientiously followed the recommendations of the previous inspection to see that all suggestions were meticulously followed. He has tightened up on the soft spots found in the division. He no longer relies completely on his subordinates to insure efficient administration of the office but maintains proper controls and spot checks. Inspector feels Mr. Belmont should be removed from probation.

2. Inspector - No. 1 Man, Joseph A. Sizzo

(EOD 7/10/35 (Clerk); 11/1/38 (SA), GS-10, $14,910, in division since 2/15/53 (except temporary assignment Mr. Tolson's Office 9/1/54 - 8/25/55); aged 48. Censured and placed on "interim" probation 9/16/53 for abuses
Memo for Mr. Tolson  
Re: Recheck Inspection  
Domestic Intelligence Division

disclosed in morning divisional check in his branch. Censured and continued  
on probation as of 11/12/58 until he demonstrated completely acceptable  
administrative leadership over his branch. Mr. Sisco is capable and enthusiastic  
about his work. Although opposed to some changes recommended by the  
Inspector for what he considered at the time sound reasons, he wholeheartedly  
administered the changes recommended and admitted that the new system is  
working satisfactorily. Mr. Sisco checks his branch periodically. Other  
administrative weaknesses detected during the inspection have been eliminated  
and the recheck inspection indicates that Mr. Sisco's branch is now functioning  
smoothly and efficiently.

3. Inspector - Branch Chief Donald E. Moore

(EOD 3/10/41; GS-16, $14,910; in present position since  
10/14/56; aged 40. Censured and placed on "interim" probation since 9/16/58  
because of abuses in his branch discovered in early morning divisional check.  
Continued on probation as of 11/12/58 until he demonstrated completely  
acceptable administrative leadership over his branch.) The delinquencies for  
which Inspector Moore had been placed on probation were carefully checked  
during the inspection and very commendable improvement had been noted. During  
the interview with Mr. Moore he displayed an excellent attitude and a thorough  
knowledge and background of the work he is supervising. He makes proper  
spot checks of his branch before, during and after regular hours and has initiated  
administrative devices and controls to enable him to properly maintain the tight  
supervision necessary over the operations of his branch. Inspector Moore  
enthusiastically applied the suggestions made by the Inspector during the  
previous inspection and stated that the division has benefited materially from  
some of these suggestions.

4. Section Chiefs Fred J. Baumgardner (Internal Security); W. A.  
Branigan (Espionage); J. F. Bland (Subversive Control); S. B. Donahoe  
(Intelligence); R. R. Roach (Liaison).

(All were placed on probation 9/16/58 because of abuses  
discovered during early morning check. As of 11/12/58 they were continued on  
probation with stern warnings to Section Chiefs Branigan and Roach that any  
substantial indication of future administrative weakness would result in immediate  
removal from the position of Section Chief.) The inspection findings in the  
sections supervised by the above-indicated Section Chiefs were found to be in  
satisfactory condition. Morale of the employees was high. The Section Chiefs
Memo for Mr. Tolson  
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possessed an excellent attitude and enthusiastically adopted the suggestions left with them as a result of the previous inspection. The administrative weaknesses have been eliminated and the value of the work handled on the respective desks has been considerably enhanced. The inspection findings further indicate that Section Chiefs Branigan and Roach are capable of handling the position of Section Chief in their respective sections.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. That Assistant Director Belmont be removed from probation. If you approve, attached letter will suffice.

2. That Inspectors Sizoo and Moore be removed from probation. If approved, to be handled by the Administrative Division.

3. That Messrs. Baumgardner, Bland, Branigan, Donahoe and Roach be removed from probation. If approved, to be handled by the Administrative Division.

4. Recommendations as to other personnel handled separately.

PERMANENT BRIEFS OF BELMONT, SIZOO, MOORE, BAUMGARDNER, BLAND, BRANIGAN, DONAHOE AND ROACH ATTACHED.
1. **LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME**
   - SIZOO, JOSEPH A.

2. **GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION**
   - INSPECTOR

3. **IDENTIFICATION NO.**
   - 21.

4. **HOME ADDRESS**

5. **PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION**
   - annual

6. **DATE OF EXAMINATION**
   - 2-16-59

7. **SEX**
   - Male

8. **RACE**
   - White

9. **TOTAL YRS. GOV'T. SERVICE**
   - 23

10. **DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE**
    - 41.

11. **ORGANIZATION UNIT**
    - NNMC

12. **DATE OF BIRTH**
    - 8-28-40

13. **PLACE OF BIRTH**
    - Woodstock, Minnesota

14. **NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN**

15. **EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS**
    - NNMC

16. **OTHER INFORMATION**

### CLINICAL EVALUATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Head, face, neck, and scalp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>Nose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Sinuses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Mouth and throat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Ears—general (Trauma, discharge, hearing)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Drums (Perforation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Ears—general (Visual acuity and refraction under items 22 and 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Ophthalmoscopic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Pupils (Equality and reaction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Ocular motility (Associated parallel movements, nystagmus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Lungs and chest (Include breasts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Heart (Systolic, diastolic, rhythm, sounds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Vascular system (Varicosities, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Abdomen and viscera (Include hernia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Anus and rectum (Hemorrhoids, fistulas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Endocrine system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>G-U system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Upper extremities (Ulnar, radial, range of motion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Feet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Lower extremities (Except foot) (Strength range of motion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Spine, other musculoskeletal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Identifying body marks, scars, tattoos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>Skin, lymphatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>Neurologic (Equilibrium tests under item 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>Psychiatric (Specify any personality deviation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Females only**

- 43. Pelvic
- 44. Vaginal
- 45. Rectal

### DENTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O—</td>
<td>Removable teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I—</td>
<td>Nonremovable teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXX—</td>
<td>Replaced by dentures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 X 0—</td>
<td>Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### URINALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>Sp. Gr. 1.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LABORATORY FINDINGS

- **Chest X-Ray** (Place, date, film number, result) 052933C - Negative 2 MAR 26 1959
- **Blood Type and Rh Factor**
- **Other Tests**

**Meets dental standards**
Cardiology check because of T wave changes Mar. 1958 - 

36. Plantar wart - under care of dermatology

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

Cholesterol & Choles. Esters - specimen noted, 3-17-59

77. EXAMINEE (Check) 
[ ] IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR

78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER

79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)

82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY

76. PHYSICAL PROFILE

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1953—O-243413  10—62288–1
PATIENT'S NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME

SIZOO, JOSEPH ALEXANDER   FBI

(Above space for mechanical imprinting, if used)

PERMITT CLINICAL HISTORY, OPERATIONS, PHYSICAL FINDINGS, AND PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS

FILM NO.  5319-59

RADIOGRAPHIC REPORT

1-23-59 LEFT FOOT: No abnormality is identified. MWO/hcb 096

SIGNATURE: (Specify location of laboratory if not part of requesting facility)

Standard Form 519A (Rev. Aug. 1954)
Promulgated by Bureau of the Budget
Circular A—32 (Rev.)

GPO  e9—16—56068—57

NAME OF HOSPITAL OR OTHER MEDICAL FACILITY

ENCLOSURE  67-57 045-340 8

1/  mbm
This FBI SA appeared this date for his annual physical examination and it was noted he has never been afforded an audiogram. Please do audiogram for record purposes.

Thank you,

PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS

The hearing is essentially normal.

Thank you,
ATTACHMENT TO STANDARD FORM 88, REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION
FOR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE OF MEDICAL EXAMINER

Name of Examinee:  SIZ00  JOSEPH  A.

(Type or print)  Last  First  Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

| 2 | 62 |
| 3 | 65 |
| 11 | 67 |
| 14 | 68 |
| 17 | 69 |
| 46 | 71 |
| 48 | 72 |
| 49 |

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.

48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.

49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.

71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible.

FOR ALL EXAMINEES, WHETHER CLERICAL OR SPECIAL AGENT APPLICANTS OR EMPLOYEES:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee [X] is  [ ] is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

TO BE ANSWERED IN THE CASE OF ALL MALE EMPLOYEES AND MALE APPLICANTS:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?
   [X] No  [ ] Yes. If "yes" please specify defects.

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?
   [X] No  [ ] Yes. If "yes" please specify defects.

ENCLOSURE
## Weights for Males

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height Feet-Inches</th>
<th>SMALL FRAME</th>
<th></th>
<th>MEDIUM FRAME</th>
<th></th>
<th>LARGE FRAME</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Desirable</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>Desirable</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>Desirable</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 4</td>
<td>121-131</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>129-139</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>136-148</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 5</td>
<td>124-134</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>132-142</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>140-152</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 6</td>
<td>128-138</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>136-146</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>144-157</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 7</td>
<td>131-142</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>140-151</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>148-161</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 8</td>
<td>135-146</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>144-155</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>152-165</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 9</td>
<td>139-150</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>148-159</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>156-170</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 10</td>
<td>143-154</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>152-163</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>160-175</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 11</td>
<td>147-159</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>156-168</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>164-180</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 0</td>
<td>152-164</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>161-173</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>169-185</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 1</td>
<td>158-170</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>166-179</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>174-191</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 2</td>
<td>163-175</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>171-184</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>179-197</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 3</td>
<td>168-180</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>176-189</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>184-202</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 4</td>
<td>174-186</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>182-195</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>190-208</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 5</td>
<td>180-191</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>188-201</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>196-214</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Examinee's frame is □ small □ medium □ large

4. Considering above weight table the examinee's frame and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight □ Satisfactory □ Excessive □ Deficient

5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should □ lose ___ pounds □ gain ___ pounds

Remarks: ____________________________________________________________________________________________

(Signature of Medical Examiner)

Mar. 17, 1959 (Date)
REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: JOSEPH A. SIZOO

Where Assigned: Domestic Intelligence Division, Front Office
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Inspector  GS-16

Rating Period: from 4/1/58 to 3/31/59

ADJECTIVE RATING: Satisfactory
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Rated by: [Signature] Assistant Director
Title  4/10/59
Date

Reviewed by: [Signature] Assistant Director
Title

Rating Approved by: [Signature] Assistant Director
Title
Date

TYPE OF REPORT
(X) Official
(X) Annual

Administrative
( ) 60-Day
( ) 90-Day
( ) Transfer
( ) Separation from Service
( ) Special
PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE
FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL
(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee: JOSEPH A. SIZOO
Title: Inspector
Rating Period: from 4/1/58 to 3/31/59

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee’s performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared. Rate items as follows:

+ Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
+ Excellent.
+ Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.

Guide for determining adjective rating:
1. “Outstanding” adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be “+” and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.
2. “Excellent,” “Satisfactory” or “Unsatisfactory” adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated “Excellent” he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factor on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated “Excellent” or “Outstanding” on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.
   A. Any element rated “Unsatisfactory” must be supported by narrative comments.
   B. An “official” adjective rating of “Unsatisfactory” must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- (1) Personal appearance.
- (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts.
- (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load).
- (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina).
- (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity.
- (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required.
- (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives.
- (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility.
- (9) Planning ability and its application to the work.
- (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail.
- (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties.
- (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee’s control.
- (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and “know how” of application.
- (14) Technical or mechanical skills.
- (15) Investigative ability and results:
   (a) Internal security cases
   (b) Criminal or general investigative cases
   (c) Fugitive cases
   (d) Applicant cases
   (e) Accounting cases
- (16) Physical surveillance ability.

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

B. Specify employee’s most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):

Desk man - Inspector - Executive

C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes [ ] No [ ]
(2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes [ ] No [ ]

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No [ ] Yes [ ]
   2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No [ ] Yes [ ]

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incident to his official duties? Yes [x] No [ ]
If answer is “yes,” personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator’s license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: SATISFACTORY
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE’S INITIALS
PART I - GENERAL COMMENTS

Inspector Sizoo has continued, during the rating period, as Inspector in charge of the Internal Security-Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division. In the absence of the Assistant Director he has run the Division very capably. In both of these capacities he has borne a heavy responsibility, inasmuch as he has been the focal point for major decisions involving the Bureau's handling of investigations and the gathering of intelligence in the security field.

Mr. Sizoo makes an excellent appearance and has the poise and personality to represent the Bureau in any capacity. His judgment is definitely above average. Because of his experience and background in all types of Bureau activities, and his well-balanced approach to Bureau problems, he can be relied upon to properly analyze and decide on the numerous problems which face him daily. At the same time he can and does adjust himself to change, and enthusiastically assists in devising and applying new procedures so necessary in meeting changing conditions in the security field. Mr. Sizoo seeks out responsibility and is always ready and willing to put aside his personal convenience for the benefit of the Bureau. He is thoroughly loyal to the Director and the Bureau.

On 9/12/58 Mr. Sizoo was commended for excellent, over-all supervision and guidance on an extremely important project in connection with the work of the Bureau in the security field. It is also recognized that he has had an essential part not only in the individual important cases which have been handled successfully in the Division, but in the implementing and administering of the programs so vital to our success in the security field.

Mr. Sizoo is being rated SATISFACTORY solely because of the fact that he was placed on probation for a period of time during the rating period. Aside from this, his performance has been excellent in every respect.

Employee's initials
PART II - SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. Justification for Any Minus Ratings Given
   N.A.

2. Experience and Ability as Inspector's aide
   Mr. Sizoo is an Inspector.

3. Participation in Informant Programs
   In an administrative capacity, he has participated in the
development and handling of informants, with excellent results.

4. Testifying Experience and Ability
   N.A.

5. Disciplinary Action
   On 6/19/58 he was censured for participation in improper evaluation
   of information received re activities of American citizens abroad.
   On 2/13/59 censured re nonsubstantive errors in correspondence
   reviewed by him.
   From 9/16/58 to 3/11/59 he was on probation as a result of
delinquencies discovered during the inspection of the Division. In
view of the correction of these weaknesses, and the excellent attitude
of Mr. Sizoo, he was removed from probation on 3/11/59.

6. Accounting Information
   N.A.

7. Police Instruction
   N.A.

8. Sound Training
   N.A.

Employee's
initials
PART II - SPECIAL COMMENTS (Continued)

9. **Resident Agents**
    
    N. A.

10. **Foreign Language Ability**
    
    French (poor)

11. **Administrative Advancement**
    
    a) Agent is interested in administrative advancement – Yes XX No__
    
    b) Agent is completely available for administrative advancement – Yes XX No__
    
    c) Agent is considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement, including experience, ability, personality & appearance – Yes XX No__
    
    d) Agent has potential for future administrative advancement – Yes XX No__

    This man is a thoroughly loyal, highly qualified, and experienced Bureau executive, fully capable of further advancement.
PAST SAFE DRIVING RECORD CERTIFICATION

NAME OF OPERATOR (PRINT - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL)  SIZZOO, JOSEPH A.  6/1/59

DIVISION AND SECTION ASSIGNED  Domestic Intelligence Div. - Front Office

POSITION TITLE  Inspector

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT I PRESENTLY  ☑  HOLD  □ DO NOT HOLD A VALID MOTOR VEHICLE OPERATOR'S PERMIT OR DRIVER'S LICENSE.

PERMIT ISSUED BY:  Virginia

PERMIT NUMBER  119976

PERMIT EXPIRES  8/31/60

THIS IS AN UNRESTRICTED ☑ PERMIT. ☑(IF RESTRICTED, EXPLAIN BELOW)

(SHARE OUT ONE)

THIS FURTHER CERTIFIES THAT DURING THE PAST THREE YEARS I HAVE DRIVEN A MOTOR VEHICLE (GOVERNMENT OR PERSONALLY OWNED) APPROXIMATELY 30,000 MILES. DURING THIS TIME (A) I  ☑  HAVE ☑ HAVE NOT RECEIVED A TRAFFIC VIOLATION TICKET; (B) ☑  HAVE ☑ HAVE NOT BEEN HELD AT FAULT** AS THE DRIVER OF A MOTOR VEHICLE INVOLVED IN A TRAFFIC ACCIDENT. IF AFFIRMATIVE ANSWER, PLEASE EXPLAIN IN ADJACENT SPACE GIVING NUMBER AND DATES OF OFFENSES.

* "AT FAULT" MEANS ANY CASE IN WHICH RESPONSIBILITY IS CONCEDED BY EMPLOYEE OR HIS INSURANCE COMPANY OR LIABILITY IS FIXED BY DULLY CONSTITUTED AUTHORITY.

SIGNATURE OF OPERATOR

NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL (PRINT - LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL)  BELMONT, ALAN H.

POSITION TITLE  Assistant Director  6/1/59

THE PERSONNEL FILE OF THIS EMPLOYEE HAS BEEN REVIEWED AND REFLECTS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION CONCERNING THE OPERATION OF A MOTOR VEHICLE ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS DURING THE PAST THREE YEARS:

☑ CONTINUOUS SAFE DRIVING RECORD

☐ INVOLVED IN TRAFFIC ACCIDENT AND FOUND AT FAULT **

I CERTIFY THAT THIS EMPLOYEE IS:

☑ QUALIFIED ON THE BASIS OF HIS SAFE DRIVING RECORD TO OPERATE MOTOR VEHICLES ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS.

☐ NOT QUALIFIED AND MUST DEMONSTRATE HIS QUALIFICATIONS BY SATISFACTORILY PASSING A ROAD TEST EXAMINATION BEFORE OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE ON OFFICIAL BUSINESS.

REMARKS:

67-Not Recorded  8 Jun 1 1959

** "AT FAULT" MEANS ANY CASE IN WHICH THE BUREAU HAS TAKEN DISCIPLINARY ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION AGAINST THE EMPLOYEE.

(SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL)
I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

D. C. Official Parking Permit, Expires 6-3-60

D. C. Official Parking Permit, Expires 6-30-59

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Vigo

(Written Signature)

Joseph A. Vigo

(Typed Signature)
July 10, 1959

PERSONAL

Dear Sizoo:

Today is your Twenty-fourth Anniversary with the Bureau, and I did want to extend to you my congratulations and very best wishes on this occasion. I appreciate your loyalty and devotion to duty and hope you may celebrate many more anniversaries with the Bureau.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

JEH: edm (3)
Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: THE DIRECTOR

FROM: A. H. Belmont

SUBJECT: JOSEPH A. SIZOO
Inspector, GS-16
Domestic Intelligence Division

Mr. Sizoo is Inspector in charge of the Internal Security-Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division. In this capacity he is responsible for four sections of the Division—Internal Security, Subversive Control, Liaison, and Name Check Sections. Thus, Sizoo bears a heavy responsibility, not only in the investigative field, but in the area of Bureau policy, including the supervision of our Legal Attaches abroad. In the absence of the Assistant Director, Sizoo runs the Division, and thus shoulders all of the responsibilities inherent in the Division.

Sizoo is a career employee. He has served the Bureau in many capacities, not only in the field but here at the seat of government, having been assigned in the past to the Director's office, Mr. Tolson's office, the Laboratory, the Records Division, and the Training and Inspection Division. He has far above average grasp of Bureau policies and the experience to back up numerous daily decisions which confront him. He is outstanding as to willingness, enthusiasm, and loyalty to the Director.

Over the past few years he has contributed heavily to the successful development of the programs so essential to putting the Bureau in the forefront in its fight against subversion in this country.

At such time as funds permit, I respectfully suggest that consideration be given to reallocating Mr. Sizoo to Grade GS-17.

Enclosure

AHB:CSH (2)
ADDENDUM OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION - EJI:gt - 7/9/59

Mr. Sizoo entered on duty as a Special Agent on 11/1/38 and is presently in Grade GS-16, $14,910. He has been in GS-16 since 12/6/53. He has an above average record in the Bureau with varied assignments both in the field and at the Seat of Government. On 9/16/58 he was censured and placed on probation because of the activities of Special Agent Supervisors in the Domestic Intelligence Division who had been discovered engaging in improper practices after they had officially signed the attendance registers. On 11/12/58 he was censured and continued on probation for weaknesses noted in the inspection of the Domestic Intelligence Division. He was removed from probation 3/11/59 following a recheck inspection by Inspector Malone. The Inspector commented that all weaknesses in the division had been eliminated, that Inspector Sizoo had displayed an excellent attitude and had frankly admitted the value of the suggestions and controls instituted by the Inspector.

On 3/31/59 Mr. Belmont rated him Satisfactory and commented most favorably regarding his ability, judgment, initiative and loyalty. He stated his performance had been excellent in every respect. The over-all rating of Satisfactory resulted from the fact that he had been on probation during a substantial part of the rating period.

The latest physical examination report for Mr. Sizoo dated 2/16/59 reflects he was 5'8" tall, weighed 146 pounds and had a medium frame. The desirable range for one of his height and frame is 144 to 155.

RECOMMENDATION

The Administrative Division agrees with the recommendation of the Domestic Intelligence Division that Mr. Sizoo be promoted to Grade GS-17 at this time.

PERMANENT BRIEF OF SA SIZOO'S FILE IS ATTACHED.
I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

Parking permit for space #87

(1 returned)

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

9 AUG 6 1959

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Sizoo

(Written Signature)

(Typed Signature)
August 7, 1953

Mr. Alan H. Belmont
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Belmont:

I am pleased to commend, through you, my associates in the Domestic Intelligence Division who made such material contributions to the success of an operation in the security field of extreme importance to our country.

I was very impressed with the aggressive, alert and competent manner in which they discharged their responsibilities of directing and guiding the activities of the field in order that this undertaking could be carried out with the highest degree of success. They displayed outstanding judgment and intelligence in meeting and overcoming the many difficulties which are inherent in an operation of this nature and their services were in keeping with the finest traditions of the Bureau. I want you to convey my sincere appreciation to them.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

1-Mr. Belmont (Personal Attention)
Re: [Redacted]

AFH:swc
(C)
Mr. Alan E. Belmont
Washington, D. C.

Place copy of this letter in files of following personnel:

Otto A. Bazell
M. V. Rohrte
William A. Branigan
D. E. Moore

Joseph A. Sizoo
Affirmative recommendations for incentive awards and
certification for active duty of this office who partic-
apipated in the operation of

A total of five employees participated in this opera-
tion on the

Specific letters of
correction are being recommended for these Agents who
did outstanding work. Other Agents who handled routine
assignments during the operation, but whose efforts con-
tributed to its success, are having this fact noted in
their personnel folders. While they did good work, it
is not felt their performance was such that would
necessitate special recognition by the Bureau.

A specific recommendation is attached concerning the out-
standing performance of [ ] of the

Bureau Health Service.

I wish to acknowledge and express my sincere appreciation
for the excellent cooperation, assistance and guidance
afforded this office by employees and officials of the
Administrative, Domestic Intelligence and Laboratory
Divisions. Employees and officials in these various
divisions gave unsparingly of their time and efforts in
order to make this operation a success. Without their
assistance and guidance, this operation could not pos-
sibly have been carried out. It is respectfully suggested
that the heads of these Divisions make recommendations
concerning commendations and awards.

For the information of the Bureau, I personally handled
the over-all supervision and participated in the planning
of instant defection operation on [ ] During
the pertinent period, I was with SA [ ]
and LANDON L. McDOWELL in the panel truck surveilling
and together with SA [ ] obtained

certain intelligence information

by the informant. This was obtained by
me under the circumstances and conditions as set forth
in the write-up on [ ]

7 Bureau (Enc. 14)
2 WFO
JHG: VIN

SENT DIRECTOR

ADDENDUM PAGE 2
ADDENDUM CJV: skw IR-29-59:

Operation involving informant began February, 1957. He was 
with another employee of Anonymous, and was having an illicit affair 
by Special Agents of FinI and sent to Czech Embassy which it was later 
determined triggered his decision not to return to. A fictitious double agent operation 
was instituted early in this operation wherein informant advised military intelligence headquarters that he had developed a U.S. Army 
officer and was operating him as an agent. Through this fictitious 
operation, military information which Joint Chiefs of Staff desired 
to be planted in the Soviet bloc was passed. Informant was able from 
with very valuable information regarding 
military plans and operations. During the entire operation, his 
marital life has been one series of violent explosions which necessi-
tated clever and at times ingenious handling on the part of Bureau 
personnel involved in this operation. In January, 1959, it was 
determined he would, within a matter of weeks, be ordered to return 
permanently to, and a plan was devised whereby thereby leaving him as the 
only [ ] in the U.S. and prolonging his 
utilization by the Bureau for at least an additional six months of 
operation. Informant was successfully [ ] on the morning of 
and departure 
from were performed under the most dangerous and arduous 
conditions requiring excellent judgment and cool and clear thinking 
at all times.

From its inception, the contacting agent with the informant 
was SA Landon McDowell who, by his guidance, understanding and 
ability to completely win the confidence of the informant, was able 
him in a manner which resulted in producing maximum intelli-
gence benefits to the U.S. Government.

From February, 1957, until October, 1958, SA Malcolm G. 
Mueller was the alternate contact with the informant. He won the 
confidence of the informant and was of inestimable value in relieving 
SA McDowell of many administrative details re handling of case. He 
served as the "double agent" in the fictitious intelligence operation 
which was set up for the informant and in the final phases of this 
operation was utilized as a passenger in the taxicab which picked up 
informant as he departed from the with a number of 
valuable documents in his possession.
From October, 1958, until informant's
SA _______________ was assigned as the alternate contact with
informant and served as the "double agent" in the fictitious
operation. He participated in numerous contacts with the informant, 
was of inestimable value in relieving SA McDowell of many administra-
tive details and was responsible for analyzing and preparing material
disseminated in this matter. He too participated during the night of
informant's _______________ assisted in the planning of the over-all
operation and was an important factor in the settling of informant,
informant's wife and children at the safe house upon consummation
of this operation.

SA _______________ is the security coordinating
supervisor in WTO and has had the over-all supervision of this case
since its inception. He has displayed great ingenuity in devising
some of the phases of this operation, made innumerable suggestions
of inestimable value, provided expert instruction and direction
in the handling of this case, and he assisted in the _______________
area of voluminous material taken out by the informant. b7D
Under the most trying conditions, he functioned in a cool, calm and
collected manner which assured the successful _______________ of the
informant.

SA _______________ assumed the duties of staff supervisor
in April, 1959, which included the immediate and personal supervision
of this operation. He participated in drawing up the detailed plans
of the _______________ he has been responsible for following detailed
operational matters in connection with this case on a day-to-day basis
and for organizing the numerous phases of the operation surrounding
informant's _______________. This included preparation for the safe house
to take informant and his family to, extensive surveillances of all
personnel and coordination and maneuvering of the 69 Special
Agents and one matron involved in the operation during the night of

During the critical hours of the informant's departure
from _______________ he played a key role in the general vicinity of
the _______________ and showed exceptional alertness and boldness in assisting
informant after he dropped out of the window from the _______________. By
bold and alert action, he personally supervised the successful removal
of informant's personal possessions from his residence during daylight
hours and after informant's _______________ without incident.

SA John V. DeNeale drew up the surveillance plan, surveyed
the automotive and technical needs for the operation during the night
of _______________ and participated in the removal of the personal effects
of the informant from his former official residence. SAs _______________
and _______________ were in charge of moving the informant's
personal property from his former residence, entered it surreptitiously
and removed items belonging to the informant and his family under
trying conditions and without incident. SA __________ was in charge of the lookout and was responsible for the control and assignment of the 26 separate Bureau cars involved in physical surveillances handled throughout the day prior to and throughout the night and immediate period following the _________. He was in charge of all communications involved in this _________ and for the maintenance of appropriate logs and records of this operation. His mission was successfully accomplished and without perfect communications this operation could not have been successfully consummated. SA Peter J. Murphy, Jr., prepared the safe house for the arrival of informant and his family, purchased the necessary food and made it attractive to the informant's wife and family in order that they might feel at home and relaxed after making a break with their former country, which naturally resulted in a great emotional crisis for the informant's wife and children. His outstanding personality and understanding of the problems of this family made for a successful transition by the informant and his family and has resulted in very amicable relations being established. SAs Robert L. Scharf and Ernest J. Landreville were responsible for the processing of the voluminous material obtained by the informant. They devised a system of sorting and indexing and with the assistance of the Bureau's Mechanical Section completed photographing and developing over 50,000 documents in less than twelve hours.

In order to fully protect the informant and the Bureau's interest in this operation, it was necessary to institute surveillances of all personnel during the daylight hours of ________ and to maintain such surveillances throughout the period the informant was within the ________ and immediately following his departure with the valuable documents. This was a tedious and exacting assignment which had to be successful in order that no personnel could drop in at the ________ without warning and compromise the informant and the acts which he was performing under our guidance. The agents who displayed outstanding surveillance ability during this period are the following: ________ and ________.

RECOMMENDATION OF SAC, WFO:

SAC, WFO, makes the following recommendations re incentive awards: SA McDowell $500, SA Mueller $200, SA __________ $300, Supervisor __________ $500 and SA __________ $400. In addition, SAC, WFO, recommends the following agents receive letters of commendation: SA __________ SA __________ SA __________ SA Nichols, SA Pittman, SA Rawls, SA DeNeale, SA Murphy, SA Brown, SA __________, SA __________ and SA Landreville. - 4 -
RECOMMENDATIONS OF DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION:

Domestic Intelligence Division concurs with recommendations of SAC, WFO, relative to the above-mentioned incentive awards and letters of commendation.

In addition to the above recommendations the SAC, WFO, recommended a $250 incentive award for SA [________] of the Domestic Intelligence Division. SA [________] was the staff supervisor in WFO who had immediate supervision of this case from August, 1958, until his transfer to JCO on 4-2-59. During that period he demonstrated an exceptional quality of perceptiveness and analytical thinking. It was under his guidance and supervision that the majority of the code and cipher material was obtained in the Fall of 1958. He closely supervised and kept this case under control without incident or embarrassment to the Bureau during the delicate periods when an [________] persona non grata action was taken against informant's [________] and could have compromised this case; and during the period when [________]

In view of his sound guidance, control and supervision of this case while a WFO supervisor, Domestic Intelligence Division agrees with SAC, WFO, recommendation that SA [________] be awarded $250.

From its inception, SA [________] Kuhrtz of Liaison has been instrumental in successfully and effectively coordinating activities pertaining to this operation between ACSI and USIB. Informant contacted General Robert Schoo, ACSI, at the time he decided to cooperate with the U.S. Government and SA Kuhrtz exercised good judgment and displayed initiative and tact in arranging for the Bureau to handle informant as a double agent. In recent weeks, SA Kuhrtz has effectively handled liaison with the USIB and even though a large number of high-ranking persons in the U.S. Government had to be advised in advance of informant's [________] no leaks occurred. This is directly attributable to the excellent manner in which SA Kuhrtz handled his duties. Therefore, it is recommended SA Kuhrtz receive a letter of commendation.

[________] of the Bureau's Health Service was designated to act as nurse-matron at the "safe house" during the period [________] When the informant's children arrived at the house, [________] detected that the three year old was ill and suffering from a throat and ear infection. She administered to the child and recommended a doctor be called. This was done and by the following day the child was well on the way to recovery. [________] refused relief until the child's condition was normal. She assisted
materially in calming informant's wife and in giving care and attention to his family in an hour of great emotional strain and transition from a captive communist society to a free society.

In view of her high devotion to the principles of the nursing profession and her outstanding performance which contributed greatly to the success of this operation, it is recommended to receive a letter of commendation.

SAC James M. Gale took charge of [redacted] on 5-11-59. Since that time, he has taken an active part in the formulation of the plans leading up to the informant's [redacted] and has contributed timely and pertinent suggestions and taken a positive and aggressive approach to the problems at hand, which approach instilled an air of confidence and assurance in all personnel involved and led to the successful consummation of this [redacted]. SAC Gale personally handled the over-all supervision and participated and gave on-the-spot supervision at the time of defection. He was in the panel truck near the [redacted] throughout the operation during the period [redacted] under close, uncomfortable and trying conditions, but despite these handicaps gave excellent direction and guidance. SAC Gale personally took part in moving the material the informant dropped out of the [redacted] into the panel truck under the most trying conditions and without any incident.

In view of SAC Gale's splendid performance in the case, it is recommended he be granted an incentive award of $300.
ADDENDUM (OAE; hmm 7/29/59): The successful of this informant has evoked many commendatory statements from high-ranking officials of other Government agencies indicating that the information received and disseminated from this operation was of outstanding value to the U.S. Government, was well written and apparently prepared with great care. This operation was the most sensitive and most valuable in our operations in the Soviet-bloc category. The informant was operated as which represents the ultimate in intelligence objectives. This with the wealth of material obtained, represents a distinct accomplishment for the Bureau.

There is attached a memorandum from Branigan to Belmont dated 7/28/59 covering in detail the excellent supervision of this operation at the Seat of Government on behalf of Supervisor recommending (1) that he be considered for promotion to grade GS-14, and (2) if this is not possible at this time, be given an incentive award in the amount of $400.

This case, since its inception, has been handled in the Satellite Unit of the Espionage Section under the immediate supervision of Special Agent Joseph J. Meehan, Supervisor in Charge. Meehan has made many constructive suggestions and given close analytical thinking to this delicate operation based on his keen incite and detailed knowledge of satellite intelligence, including During the early morning of July 25, 1959, Meehan acted as coordinator in the handling of numerous documents informant obtained, being alert for pertinent material requiring prompt attention.

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend a letter of commendation be forwarded to SA Meehan.

ADDENDUM (OAE; hmm) 7/29/59: Section Chief William A. Branigan has had over-all responsibility for the supervision and direction of this delicate operation as far as the Espionage Section is concerned. He has afforded this unique operation his personal supervision, working closely with field personnel to protect
ADDENDUM (AHB:mm) 7/29/59 (Continued):

the security of the operation as a [ ]' He has participated in
conferences, exhibiting a clear analysis of the over-all situation, being constantly
aware of Bureau policies and objectives in helping to set policy with constructive
guidance. Throughout this operation Branigan has endeavored always to seek the
ultimate net advantage to U. S. intelligence, yet skillfully staying within the
confines of the jurisdiction of the Bureau.

Close supervision and constructive suggestions, particularly with
regard to dissemination have been made by Supervisor Otho A. Ezell, the No. One
Man of the Espionage Section. While the Section Chief was on leave during the
week preceding the this operation from Section level was under direct
supervision by Ezell. He took hold with enthusiasm to see that over-all planning
was in order and that advance dissemination matters be prepared where possible.
He was on duty beginning 5:15 a.m. [ ] during the final stages rendering
assistance and participating in the evaluation of the problems that arose during
the day.

Inspector D. E. Moore in charge of Espionage and Central Research
has likewise afforded this operation close personal direction, has participated
in conferences voicing many worthwhile suggestions. Mr. Moore has always
seriously considered the unusual and aggressive recommendations made by the
Espionage Section carefully, weighing the risks involved with expected results,
balancing the possibilities of embarrassment and international repercussions
should something go wrong before approving such recommendations. Moore
afforded this operation his personal direction during the early hours of 7/25/59,
prior to his departure on annual leave, being constantly on the alert to any
situation which might cause embarrassment to the Bureau.

Inspector Joseph A. Sizoo, in my absence, maintained the Division
supervision over this operation which required close coordination of activities
with the Washington Field Office together with Seat of Government supervisory
interests in this and other Divisions. He passed on and made Bureau decisions
on Saturday [ ] and Sunday [ ] relating to problems arising with the
Washington Field Office and [ ] protest matters with the State Department
as this operation was breaking.
RECOMMENDATION:

For the reasons set forth above, I recommend that letters of commendation be directed to Supervisor O. A. Ezell, Section Chief William A. Branigan and Inspectors Donald E. Moore and Joseph A. Sizoo.
TO:  MR. MOHR
FROM:  W. S. TAVEL

SUBJECT:  INCENTIVE AWARD AND
COMMENDATION MATTER

PURPOSE:  To advise of recommendations received from Washington Field
office (WFO), Domestic Intelligence Division (DID), and the Laboratory
for 6 incentive awards and 29 letters of commendation for contributions
to the development of captioned informant as a double agent and his
eventual on 7-25-59.

BACKGROUND:  This operation began in February, 1957, when it was deter-
mmed that informant was having illicit affair with Anonymous letter prepared by Special Agents of Bureau
sent to Embassy which subsequently triggered his decision not to return to
double agent operation was instituted whereupon informant advised his
superiors that he had developed a U. S. Army officer and was operating
him as an agent.  Through this operation military information which Joint
Chiefs of Staff desired to be planted in Soviet bloc was passed.  In-
formant provided Bureau with valuable information regarding
During this entire operation his marital life was one series of violent explosions which necessitated clever and ingenuous handling on the part of Bureau personnel.  His stay in U.S. was prolonged by ingenuous plan to have one of his
He successfully with over 50,000 documents.  Departure performed under most dangerous and arduous conditions requiring judgment and cool, clear thinking on part of entire personnel participating in

JUSTIFICATION FOR INCENTIVE AWARDS:  The following agents made the most
substantial contributions to the development and handling of this double
agent and his The files of these agents have all been reviewed and reflect that they all have very fine records and there
appear no reason why they should not be approved for incentive awards.
The amount of awards recommended by SAC, WFO and/or DID is parenthetically set out opposite their names.  SOG Supervisor was recommended for promotion to grade GS-14 and if not possible was recommended for and

CRD:  jma
ENCLOSURES
Memorandum Tavel to Mohr
RE: 

SA LANDON L. McDOWELL ($500): Contacting agent with informant since inception. His guidance, understanding, and persuasiveness were primarily responsible for informant's (Received $500 incentive award 1-29-58 for outstanding work in original development of this informant).

SA MALCOLM G. MEULLER ($200): From 2/57 until 10/58 was alternate contact with informant. Was of inestimable value in relieving SA McDowell of many administrative details. Served as "double agent" in fictitious, intelligence operation and was utilized as passenger in taxicab which picked up informant as he departed from

SA ___ ($300): From 10/58 to 7-25-59 was assigned as alternate contact with informant and served as "double agent" in fictitious operation. Participated in numerous contacts with informant and was of inestimable value in relieving SA McDowell of many administrative details. Assisted in planning over-all operation and was important factor in settling of informant, his wife and children at safe house.

SA ___ ($500): Security Coordinating Supervisor, WFO, has had over-all supervision of case since inception. Has displayed great ingenuity in devising some phases of operation. Made innumerable suggestions of inestimable value and provided expert instruction and direction. Assisted in removal of voluminous material from when informant

SA ___ ($400): Assumed duties of Staff Supervisor in WFO April, 1959, which included immediate and personal supervision this operation. Participated in drawing up detailed plans and was responsible for following detailed operational matters on day-to-day basis and organization of numerous phases of operation surrounding informant's By bold and alert action he personally supervised successful removal of informant's personal possessions from his residence after informant

SA ___ ($250): SOG Supervisor, was Staff Supervisor in WFO and had immediate supervision of case from 8/58 to 4/2/59. Demonstrated exceptional perceptiveness and analytical thinking. Under his guidance and supervision material was obtained through informant in Fall, 1958. He closely supervised and kept case under control without incident or embarrassment notwithstanding major activities during period of supervision.

SAC JAMES H. GALE ($300): Since assignment as SAC, WFO, on 5-11-59 has taken an active personal part in formulation of plans leading up to informant's Has contributed timely and pertinent suggestions and has taken positive and aggressive approach to problems at hand.
Responsible for instilling air of confidence and assurance in all personnel involved and led to the successful consummation of this Memorandum Tavel to Mohr RE: 

SOG SUPERVISOR ($400): Has had direct personal supervision of this case since 8-28-58. Has demonstrated sound judgment in maintaining security of informant as a[ ] and at the same time has attained the delicate balance between security and maximum utilization and dissemination of data obtained. Handled matter most skillfully in spite of problems involved. Has furnished sound and original thinking in the field for its guidance. Responsible for tremendous volume of disseminated material in this case through White House, Vice president, and other high-placed Government officials. He proposed a general[ ] with details of which were worked out in conference and put into operation on the morning of[ ] Success of operation attributed in a large measure to[ ] capable supervision.

JUSTIFICATION FOR COMMENDATION: SAC, WFO recommended 15 agents for commendation each of whom played a prominent part in this major operation. These recommendations appear justified. Also recommended commendation for[ ] Bureau nurse, who acted as nurse-matron at the "safe house" during period.

DID recommended commendation for Section Chief William A. Branigan, Supervisor Otho A. Ezell, and Inspectors D. E. Moore and Joseph A. Sizoo. Also recommended for commendation was SA M.W. Kuhrtz of the Liaison Section who has been instrumental in successfully and effectively coordinating activities between ACSI and USIB. Each of foregoing played prominent part in handling of Bureau's responsibilities in this operation and appear justified.

Assistant Chief of Staff-Intelligence
U.S. Intelligence Board
Laboratory recommended commendation for two agents, one photographer, one Laboratory Aide, three Translators and Section Chief Downing for vital contributions to handling of material procured as a result of informant's [ ]. Each appears deserving.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. In view of the major contributions made by SA Landon L. McDowell of the WFO in the handling and direction of this informant, it is recommended that he be approved for a cash award of $400.

I agree I agree I agree CKS reg 4 30 pm down
JP M 7/30 OK T pe ERC M 9 Ro: en. 8/4-59 1h
7/30 7/30 7/30 3-9

oks rec'd 3 30 pm 8/6/59 1h
Memorandum Tavel to Mohr
RE: [Blank]

2. In view of the material contributions made by the following, it is recommended that each be approved for a cash award of $200:

I agree I agree I agree Letter & Authorization
JPM 7/30 OK T per ERC 8-7-59 afh

3. Inasmuch as SOG Supervisor has been a Bureau supervisor for less than three years and was censured in 1958 for delinquencies detected during inspection of DID, it is recommended that he not be approved for promotion to Grade GS-14 at this time but be approved for a cash award of $200.

I agree I agree I agree Letter & auth
JPM 7/30 FH H 7/30 8-7-59 afh

4. That the following agents of the WFO be commended for their substantial contributions made by them to the success of this operation:
SA Densil E. Moore, SA Charles E. LeClair, SA J. Murphy, Jr., SA John V. DeNeale, SA Peter B. Scharf, and SA Ernest J. Landreville.
Suggest they all be commended as a team operation by letter to SAC.

I agree I agree
JPM 7/30 yes H Done 8-7-59 afh

5. That the Bureau Health Service in the Administrative Division be commended for the devotion to duty manifested by her in her delicate nurse-matron assignment.

I agree I agree I agree
JPM OK FH T per ERC 8-7-59 afh
Memorandum Travel to Mohr

RE:  

6. That SAs Supervisor Otho A. Essell, Liaison Agent M. W. Kuhrtz, Section Chief William A. Branigan, and Inspectors D. E. Moore and Joseph A. Sizoo of the DID be commended.

suggest one letter to Belmont commending staff.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPM</th>
<th>yes</th>
<th>I agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/30</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>FH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30</td>
<td>7/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

suggest one letter to Parsons commending staff.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPM</th>
<th>yes</th>
<th>I agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/30</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>FH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/30</td>
<td>7/30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Send separate letter to N. Y. since assigned.  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RPM</th>
<th>Done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/11</td>
<td>8/11/59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERMANENT BRIEFS OF THE FILES OF THOSE RECOMMENDED FOR INCENTIVE AWARDS ARE ATTACHED.

Detached
RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

September 18, 1959

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

Inspectors' Manual # 627
(Issued August 17, 1959)

RETURNED:

Inspectors' Manual # 171
(Issued August 15, 1956)

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge
is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care
of it and returning it when its use has been completed.
DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN
ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Sizoo

(Written Signature)

(Typed Signature)
Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of $10, payable to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of $10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

Name  Dorothy T. Sizoo   Relationship  Wife   Date  10/16/49
Address  3400 Peary Street North, Arlington, Virginia

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing $1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty.

Name  Dorothy T. Sizoo   Relationship  Wife   Date  10/16/49
Address  3400 Peary Street North, Arlington, Virginia

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Sizoo
Special Agent
**PAYROLL CHANGE DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BASE PAY</th>
<th>OVERTIME</th>
<th>GROSS PAY</th>
<th>RET.</th>
<th>FEDERAL TAX</th>
<th>BOND</th>
<th>F.I.C.A</th>
<th>STATE TAX</th>
<th>GROUP LIFE INS.</th>
<th>NET PAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**

11. Appropriation(s)

12. Prepared by

13. Audited by

14. Effective date: 11-29-59

15. Date last equivalent increase:

16. Old salary rate: $14,910

17. New salary rate: $15,150

18. Performance rating is satisfactory or better.

19. LWOP data (fill in appropriate spaces covering LWOP during following periods): [ ] No excess LWOP. Total excess LWOP.

[ ] Pay adjustment [ ] Other step-increase

Period(s): [ ] In pay status at end of waiting period.

[ ] In LWOP status at end of waiting period.

Initials of Clerk: [ ]

*Signature or other authentication:

[Redacted for privacy]*
Office Memorandum  UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: December 14, 1950

To: Mr. Mohr
From: W. S. Tavel

SUBJECT: SOLO, INTERNAL SECURITY - C INCENTIVE AWARDS AND COMMENDATIONS MATTER

Solo is code name of operation involving CG 5834-S as official Union representative between Communist Party (CP), USA, and top level leaders of CPs of Soviet Union, Red China and other iron curtain countries.

By letter 12-3-59 SAC Chicago recommended incentive awards for SAO John E. Fecting and Carl M. Freyman of $1000 each and $50 for stenographer in outstanding performance in connection with Solo missions two and three. Also recommended bonus of $1000 for informant and letter from Director in appreciation of his services. Domestic Intelligence Division (DID) concurred in recommendations of SAC but suggested $50 each for SAO Fecting and Freyman. DID also recommended incentive award of $250 for Andrew A. Doctor, Jr., and $150 for secretary for handling of SAC.

Recommended commendation for SAC Lopez for over-all supervision in Chicago and for Doctor J. A. Mauro and Section Chief F. J. Baugardner for over-all supervision at CP.

In Solo #3 informant attended 21st Congress, CP of Soviet Union (CPSU) in May and February, 1959, as CPUSA official representative and conferred with high party officials of the Soviet Union and other CPs. In Solo #3 informant returned to US 11-23-58 on journey to Red China and Russia where he conferred with top leaders of CPs in those areas as well as Latin America, Czechoslovakia, Spain and Japan. Outstanding information developed in these missions is of inestimable value to bureau and US. Information developed not available to any other source and represents the most outstanding achievement by bureau's Internal Security field. Information developed promptly disseminated to top cabinet officials including the President and Secretaries for their use in dealing with other nations.

SA Fecting handled informant in preparing for, during and after Solo missions and SO Doctor handled all dictation and transcribing of Solo missions. Doctor had supervision of SGG and was instrumental in developing information which resulted in obtaining of birth certificate and passport for informant assisting that mission #9 could be carried through. SO Doctor handled all dictation and transcription of Solo missions.

SAC Doctor handled all dictation and transcription of Solo missions. Doctor had supervision over SO Doctor and handling of Solo missions which resulted in obtaining of birth certificate and passport for informant assisting that mission #9 could be carried through.

SO Doctor handled all dictation and transcription of Solo missions. Doctor had supervision over SO Doctor and handling of Solo missions which resulted in obtaining of birth certificate and passport for informant assisting that mission #9 could be carried through.

SO Doctor handled all dictation and transcription of Solo missions. Doctor had supervision over SO Doctor and handling of Solo missions which resulted in obtaining of birth certificate and passport for informant assisting that mission #9 could be carried through.

SO Doctor handled all dictation and transcription of Solo missions. Doctor had supervision over SO Doctor and handling of Solo missions which resulted in obtaining of birth certificate and passport for informant assisting that mission #9 could be carried through.

SO Doctor handled all dictation and transcription of Solo missions. Doctor had supervision over SO Doctor and handling of Solo missions which resulted in obtaining of birth certificate and passport for informant assisting that mission #9 could be carried through.

SO Doctor handled all dictation and transcription of Solo missions. Doctor had supervision over SO Doctor and handling of Solo missions which resulted in obtaining of birth certificate and passport for informant assisting that mission #9 could be carried through.
Re: Dale, Internal Security - C

Incentive Awards and Commandments Matter

and success realized in Case 01. Received each award 10-8-57 of $600 for development of highly confidential source. 100% annual performance rating Excellent.

PA Deputy, Chicago, BGD 0-18-49, grade GS 11, $10,970. During past three years in career, two commendations, the last, 4-8-59, for success in Case Operation 01. Received each award 0-10-57 of $600 for handling of informant involved in Case Operations and on 0-18-57 of $600 for handling of informants involved in Case Operations and success realized in Case 01. 100% annual performance rating Excellent.

Chicago, BGD 0-22-49, grade GS 9, $444. No commendations, commanded on three occasions, the last, 4-8-59, for work on Case 01. On 3-16-58 received $120 each award primarily for transmitting material relating to informants in Case Operations and on 0-18-59 of $120 for work in transmitting material relating to Case 01. 100% annual performance rating Excellent.

PA Deputy, BGD, BGD 10-26-49, grade GS 14, $11,898. Since transfer to BGD 0-11-57 he has been commended on five occasions and on 0-10-58 he was censured and placed on probation for mismanagement. Since transferred to BGD he has been commended for full time and attention to official assignments although he had indicated his inability to perform his duties.Recommended for reassignment to BGD 10-6-59. Rated Satisfactory on 100% annual performance rating in view of administrative action taken against him.

BD, BGD 0-22-49, grade GS 8, $464. Commanded on four occasions, the last, 4-8-59, 0-18-58 and 4-8-59, for transmissions of material relating to Case 01 and Case 02. Commanded 10-17-53 for nonsubstantive errors in correspondence. 100% annual performance rating Excellent.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) That SAs: Carl N. Frazzini and John E. Judd, Chicago Office, be approved for incentive awards of $500.00 each for the major contributions made by them toward the outstanding results achieved in Case Operations 01 and 02.

(2) That Confidential Clerk (Demography), Chicago Office, be approved for an incentive award of $100.00 for the substantial contribution made by her toward the success realized in Case Operations 01 and 02.

(3) That Confidential Clerk (Demography), Chicago Office, be approved for an incentive award of $100.00 for the substantial contribution made by her toward the success realized in Case Operations 01 and 02.
RECOMMENDATIONS: (Continued)

(3) That SA Andrew J. Docherty, Jr., SCC Supervisor, be approved for an incentive award of $300.00 for contributions made by him to success of Solo Operations #3 and #9.

(4) That Secretary (Cryptography), DID be approved for an incentive award of $152.62 for substantial contributions made by her in connection with Solo Operations #3 and #9.

(5) That SAC Julian M. Karon, Jr., Chicago SCC, be commended for his overall supervision of Solo Operation #9.

(6) That Inspector J. A. Greg and Section Chief P. J. Farnsworth be commended for their overall supervision of Solo Operations at SCC.

(7) Favorable consideration be given to recommendation of DID that CG 5924-5 be afforded a bonus payment of $1000.00 for outstanding service to PCB and SCC. DID also recommended personal letter from Director to CG 5924-5 and his wife to be personally delivered to informants by SAC Chicago. In order to retain control of letter # will be attached to informant who must turn over to him for security reasons. Letter will be placed in Chicago office safe until such time as informant's activities have been discontinued. Attached is appropriate cover letter to SAC Chicago together with the letter to informant and his wife.
Memorandum to Mr. Mehl
Re: Sale, Internal Security - C
Incentive Awards and Commandments Matter

RECOMMENDATIONS: (Continued)

(3) Since this entire operation was handled under the direct supervision and direction of Assistant Director Elmant, it is also recommended that he be commended for his contributions to its success.
December 18, 1959

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sizoo:

It is indeed a pleasure to commend you for your over-all supervision at the Seat of Government in connection with the handling of an extremely confidential source of information of vital significance to the Bureau in the security field.

I have been very impressed with the outstanding manner in which this delicate matter has been handled and I know that your superior intelligence and ability were of major consequence to the success achieved. This operation is an exceptional achievement of the Bureau and has done a great deal to enhance our reputation in the intelligence field. I want you to know I am most appreciative of your services.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

[Signature]

[Stamp: B.J. with date: 1959]

[Stamp: SENT TO THE DIRECTOR FOR SIGNATURE AND MAILING]

[Handwritten note: 1 = Mr. Belmont (Personal Attention) Re: SOLO; Internal Security - C.]

[Handwritten note: AFH: crt (4) 67-57045]

Salutation per file.

[Stamp: MAIL ROOM TELETYPY UNIT]
Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: Mr. Mohr
FROM: W. S. Tavel
SUBJECT: JOSEPH A. SIZOO
#1 Man
Inspector in Charge; Internal Security-Liaison Branch
Domestic Intelligence Division
GS-16, $15,150
Non-Veteran; Not in Reserve
NOT ON PROBATION

The following is a brief and concise summary of Mr. Sizoo's record for the Director's use.

Mr. Sizoo entered on duty as a Special Agent in GS-10 on 2-5-39. Since that time he has served in various field offices and divisions at the Seat of Government. On 8-25-55 he was transferred to the Domestic Intelligence Division as Inspector in Charge, in which position he is presently assigned. He receives $15,150 per annum in GS-16 and he was promoted to GS-16 on 12-6-53.

Since January, 1958, he has been commended 4 times, the last being on 8-7-59 for his material contributions to the success of an operation in the security field of extreme importance to our country. During this same period he has been censured 3 times, the last being for failure to discover a number of nonsubstantive errors in official correspondence reviewed and approved by him on 2-13-59. On 9-16-58 he was CENSURED AND PLACED ON PROBATION as a result of a check by the inspection staff into the activities of Special Agent Supervisors assigned to the Domestic Intelligence Division. A number of them were discovered engaging in improper practices after they had signified on attendance registers that they were commencing their official duties. On 11-2-58 he was CENSURED AND CONTINUED ON PROBATION for a number of inexcusable weaknesses noted during a recent inspection of the Domestic Intelligence Division, which reflected inept administrative leadership on his part. On 3-11-59 he was REMOVED FROM PROBATION.

During a recheck inspection of the Domestic Intelligence Division, Inspector Malone said he was interviewed on 3-4-59 and the reasons for which he was originally placed on probation were discussed in detail with him. He stated that all weaknesses in the division had been eliminated and he had taken steps to insure that the administrative operations of all sections were now being tightly controlled. His attitude was excellent.

On 3-31-59 Mr. Belmont rated him SATISFACTORY and stated that his judgment was definitely above-average, sought out responsibility and was thoroughly loyal to the Director and the Bureau. His performance had been excellent in every respect.

[Signature]

His is assigned to the Domestic Intelligence Division in GS-13, $9890 per annum and he entered on duty as an Agent on
His services are considered excellent.

His daily average overtime for the past six months has been June, 2 hours 17 minutes; July, 2 hours 19 minutes; August, 2 hours 23 minutes; September, 2 hours 10 minutes; October, 2 hours 13 minutes and November, 2 hours 18 minutes.

Since February, 1959, he has not listed any office of preference.
Solo is the code name for the operation involving CP-CPSU-C which involves the official liaison representative between the Communist Party (CP), CPSU, and top-level leaders of the CPs of the Soviet Union, Red China and other Iron Curtain nations.

Chicopee letter 12-3-59 recommends incentive awards to be given to John H. Houston and Carl H. Freeman in the amount of $1,000 each. The letter states that Houston and Freeman have performed outstanding services in connection with the operation of Solo missions Number 2 and 3. In addition, Chicopee recommends that an informal bonus of $2,000 be paid to the Director in appreciation of his services and contributions.

In connection with Solo Number 2, Houston established contact with the Soviet Union (CPSU) in January and February of this year to provide information and assistance to the CPUSA, the historical and political principles. Houston's work was conducted as efficiently as possible and has contributed to the CPUSA's intelligence and security operations. Houston's contributions have been instrumental in the successful completion of Solo missions Number 2 and 3.
Through discussions with Lin Biao-chi and other top Chinese officials, additional valuable intelligence information regarding Chinese foreign policy, the attitude toward Soviet Russia, the differences existing between China and the Soviet Union and China's attitude toward membership in the United Nations. As a result of informant's contacts with leading representatives of the CPE of Latin American nations as well as leading CP representatives of Spain and Japan, informant developed excellent intelligence information concerning the activities of these CPE such as theoğlu infiltration by Cuban communists into the Cuban Cabinet and World Peace, the past existing between CP of Argentina and the FCP, and successfully established methods for maintaining future contacts with these CPE, thus ensuring the Bureau of a flow of important intelligence information in the future.

2. The outstanding information developed as a result of this mission number 3 is due to a large extent to the excellent and careful planning of this operation both in the field and at the Seat of Government. In accordance with the informant's departure on this mission, the Seat of Government cooperated with the Director's approval specific targets for the informant to accomplish which resulted in our obtaining this outstanding and special information.

3. This information is of inestimable value to the Bureau and Command not only from an intelligence standpoint but also from the standpoint of the economy of Chinese in our country's work. The credit to those participating the Chinese Union, American, etc,for providing this information would not be available if any other source had accurately represented the same information. In the Chinese intelligence gathering field, the information and much valuable on the attitude attitude of Russia and the Chinese Communist leadership reached, and which was not published in the country, as informed by the CP of Japan against Russia. In the Treaty between the U.S. and Japan, and CP activity in this field.

4. In order to provide the best intelligence possible, the informant's contacts with the informant could not be accurately represented, the same problems had accurately represented the same information.
4. The information developed during this Solo operation has been disseminated with the Director's approval to the Vice President, the White House, the Secretary of State, the Director of Central Intelligence Agency and the Attorney General under a "Top Secret" classification.

5. The outstanding success of this operation and our ability to disseminate information of this type to high-level Government officials without any delay certainly enhances the Bureau's reputation as the outstanding intelligence agency in the world.

RECOMMENDATIONS BY SAC, CHICAGO:

By letter 12-3-59 SAC, Chicago, submitted the following recommendations concerning Solo missions 2 and 3:

1. That SAs Carl N. Freyman, field supervisor, Chicago Office, and John E. Keating be afforded incentive awards in the amount of $1,000 each for their outstanding contribution to the over-all success of this operation. CO 5824-3 and _______ were originally developed by SA Freyman. When he was designated a field supervisor, the development and handling of these informants was assigned to SA Keating who has continued to handle and utilize these informants and has done so under the personal supervision of SA Freyman. Both Agents have consistently shown great imagination, tact, planning and judgment in arranging the details of Solo missions 2 and 3. The many problems involved were anticipated and overcome by their tireless efforts. The planning of operations, the instructing of informant, the arranging of necessary details of travel and subsequently the detailed interviews with informant have required many long hours of overtime being performed by these Agents. In frequent instances, they worked day and night, seven days a week, until late hours analyzing, digesting and channelizing the valuable information obtained and transmitting to the Bureau. The personal problems and health problems of these informants have raised many obvious difficulties and these obstacles have likewise been minimized and overcome by the ingenuity, imagination and tireless efforts of these two Agents.

2. That Stenographer _______ be afforded incentive award in the amount of $150. _______ handled all of details from SA Keating and CO 5824-8 after both Solo missions and displayed expert ability in taking such complicated dictation. On many occasions through late hours of night, she worked heroically transcribed the vital information obtained. Her work is completely accurate and she has handled very delicate matters with discrimination between Russian and Chinese phrases which are involved.
3. That a personal letter of commendation over the Director's signature be directed to the informant and his wife to be personally delivered by the SAC, Chicago, for informants' perusal only and then returned to the SAC's safe in Chicago as a security measure. SAC, Chicago, notes that in view of the continued personal and physical difficulties of informants that this letter will be a definite morale booster and will be to the psychological benefit of the Bureau.

4. That CG 5824-S be afforded a bonus payment in the amount of $1,000 based upon his recent extraordinary service and accomplishments in connection with Solo Number 3 which involved personal sacrifice, which endangered his life and that of his wife in securing the outstanding information which he has furnished. In view of informant's personal situation involving the serious and possibly fatal illness of his wife, this bonus would be particularly appreciated.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION:

With regard to the foregoing recommendations of the SAC, Chicago, the Domestic Intelligence Division recommends:

1. That SAs Carl N. Freyman and John E. Keating be afforded recognition in the form of incentive awards. It is felt, however, that the recommendation of the SAC, Chicago, in the amount of $1,000 each is rather high and that a more appropriate figure would be $500 each. It is noted that this operation is of major value and broad application to the entire Bureau which falls within the scale $500 to $725.

2. That Stenographer be afforded an incentive award in the amount of $150. It is felt that her contribution to this operation is of moderate value and broad application to the entire Bureau which falls within the scale of $150 to $300.
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3. That a personal letter of commendation over the Director's signature be directed to CG 5824-S and his wife. This letter will be delivered to informant by the SAC, Chicago, for perusal and then returned to the Chicago Office and retained in the safe.

4. That CG 5824-S be afforded a bonus payment in the amount of $1,000. It is believed informant certainly merits recognition for his outstanding services in this operation above and beyond his usual remuneration. Informant has been cooperative and implicitly followed the targets prepared by the Bureau for this mission, thus assuring its outstanding success. It is recognized that this operation placed the informant's life in danger and that he undertook this mission at a time when

5. That a personal letter of commendation be afforded SAC Julius M. Lopez, Jr., of Chicago, under whose personal supervision and direction this operation has been brought to a successful conclusion.

ACTION:

1. There is attached for your approval an appropriate communication to the SAC, Chicago. Enclosed with this communication is a personal letter of commendation from the Director to CG 5824-S and his wife. Appropriate instructions are included regarding the manner in which this communication is to be made available to the informant. Instructions are also included to afford the informant a cash bonus payment of $1,000.
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2. This over-all memorandum should be forwarded to the Administrative Division for handling relative to the above recommendation concerning incentive awards and commendation.

SEE ADDENDUM, NEXT PAGE
ADDENDUM: (12/9/59)

Seat of Government Personnel

In addition to the above, SA Andrew J. Decker, Jr., supervisor of the Communist Party (CP), USA Unit in the Internal Security Section to whom the Solo case is assigned, also made a major contribution to the outstanding success realized in this operation. He was instrumental in handling arrangements which resulted in the last minute obtaining of the birth certificate and passport for informant's wife which assured that this mission could be carried through. He personally supervised the innumerable details which preceded this Solo operation, including coordinating the preparation of specific targets for the informant which, to a large measure, was responsible for the outstanding success of this mission. The specific target assignments were forwarded to Chicago by Bureau letter 7/29/59. SA Decker followed this case closely on a day-to-day basis and exercised excellent judgment to assure that proper action was taken at each stage. Upon informant's return from Solo mission number 3, he was responsible for the analysis at the Seat of Government of the tremendous volume of information received and its very prompt dissemination to high-ranking Government officials as well as the preparation of memoranda bringing the high lights of information obtained to the attention of the Director. To insure prompt handling of this matter, SA Decker worked on Veterans Day and Thanksgiving. It is believed recognition of his services is warranted and it is, therefore, recommended that he be granted an incentive award in the amount of $250. Form FD-255 attached in support of this recommendation.

In addition, who serves in the capacity of secretary to the CPUSA Unit, has contributed outstanding services which, in no small way, have been responsible for the ultimate success of Solo missions 1, 2, and 3. She has handled in a very capable manner all stenographic and clerical operations incidental to the three Solo operations and has been responsible for preparing the high-level dissemination made in connection with all three Solo missions. She has consistently displayed an outstanding, cooperative attitude and on several occasions voluntarily worked long hours beyond her regular workday in order to handle
the various phases of this operation. She has shown expert ability in taking the necessary complicated dictation and her work has been completely accurate. She has handled very difficult names and most difficult Marxist terms which were involved in this matter. It is believed that recognition of services is warranted and it is, therefore, recommended that she be granted an incentive award in the amount of $150.

Form FD-255 attached in support of this recommendation.

ADDENDUM A. H. BELMONT (12-10-59)

Inspector J. A. Sizoo and Section Chief F. J. Baumgardner had the over-all responsibility under the Assistant Director for guiding and directing the policies to be followed in connection with this entire mission. During the entire period of preparation, these men carefully evaluated each step in the over-all plan to insure that the policy followed would be in the best interests of the Bureau. They made many pertinent suggestions and gave this matter their personal attention during the entire period. The outstanding success of this venture reflects most creditably on their over-all supervision. In view of the competent manner in which they handled this matter and the outstanding benefits which have been reaped by the Bureau, I recommend they be afforded letters of commendation.

AHB:td
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DETAILS:

By letter 12-3-59, SAC, Chicago, recommended incentive awards in the amount of $1,000 each for SAs John E. Keating and Carl N. Freyman and an award in the amount of $150 for Stenographer Keatin. These recommendations are based on the outstanding performances of these individuals in connection with the completion of Solo operation numbers 2 and 3. In addition, SAC, Chicago, recommended a bonus payment of $1,000 to CG 5824-8 together with a letter of commendation to informant over the Director’s signature.

The Solo operation pertains to liaison activities of CG 5824-8 between the Communist Party (CP), USA, and the CPs of the Soviet Union, Red China and other Iron Curtain nations. Informant was in the Soviet Union during January and February, 1959, in connection with the 21st Congress of the CPSU. While in Russia he conferred with many top-ranking Soviet functionaries including members of the Presidium and the Central Committee of the CPSU and obtained such pertinent information as the Russian attitude toward Berlin.

In connection with the most recent phase of this operation, the informant returned to the U.S. on 11-12-59 following a seven-week stay in Russia and Red China. Upon his return, informant was exhaustively interviewed by the Chicago Office and the results furnished to the Bureau. This information has been carefully analyzed by the Bureau and disseminated under a "Top-Secret" classification to high-ranking Government officials. While the information obtained during Solo missions 1 and 2 was extremely valuable, the information developed during the most recent operation was outstanding and of inestimable value to the Bureau and the U.S. Government not only from an intelligence standpoint but of a considerable aid to the President and the Secretary of State in our nation’s dealings with other countries, particularly the Soviet Union, Czechoslovakia, Japan and Latin American countries. The tremendous success of Solo trip number 3 is due to a large measure to the Bureau’s decision to prepare specific targets or missions for the informant to accomplish during his trip to the Soviet Union and Red China. A detailed study of this matter was made by appropriate sections within the Domestic Intelligence Division under the coordination of the Internal Security Section. The final objectives were approved by the Director and forwarded to Chicago by letter dated 7-29-59 so that the informant could be properly briefed in advance. The objectives which included matters
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RE: SOLO
100-428091

relating to the CPUSA as well as matters relating to international affairs were followed closely by the informant and resulted in our obtaining the specific valuable information furnished by informant.

Immediately prior to the scheduled departure of informant for Solo number 3, for some time worsened and informant was naturally reluctant to travel for an extended period of time without her. This problem was resolved by the Bureau through the preparation of a suitable birth certificate for informant's wife and through arrangements which enabled her to receive a passport almost immediately.

During Solo mission number 3, informant developed specific and detailed information concerning activities and plans of CPs in a number of countries. He met and had intimate discussions with such top-level communist leaders as Liu Shao-chia, president of Red China; Tang Ming-chao of the International Liaison Department, Central Committee, CP of China; Wang Chia-hsien, head of the International Department, CP of China; Mao Tse-tung, head of the CP of China; Li Fu-chun, vice-chairman of China; Otto Kuusinen of the Presidium of the CPSU; Boris Ponomarev, head of the International Department, CPSU; Mikhail Suslov, member of the Presidium, CPSU; and Nikolai Mostovets, head of the North and South American Sections, International Department, CPSU. In addition, informant had personal contact with Harry Pollitt, chairman, CP of Great Britain; Luiz Carlos Prestes, CP of Brazil; Apostolos Grozos, chairman of CP of Greece; Khaled Bakdash, leader of the CP of Syria; Tzvi Breitste of the CP of Israel; George Firanis of the CP of Bulgaria; Doloros Ibarurri, secretary general of the CP of Spain; and Anibal Escalante, secretary of the CP of Cuba; Victorio Codovilla of the CP of Argentina; and Gustav Saucel, head of the International Department of the Central Committee of the CP of Czechoslovakia.

The following are some of the specific results of Solo number 3:

1. Informant established himself as the CP's international contact with the CPSU, Chinese CP and other CPs of the world and established means of contact with many CPs for communication purposes.

2. Informant re-established direct contact in the U.S. between Soviets and the CPUSA for transmittal of communications and funds which contact had been discontinued earlier by the Soviets. A contact was made as a result of this arrangement on 11-25-59.
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3. The informant has completed preliminary steps for an apparatus for direct contacts with the CP of China at Prague, Czechoslovakia, and for direct contact between the CP of China and the CPUSA for funds. This apparatus is under consideration by the CPUSA and will possibly involve our second top informant, NY 694-S, if the CPUSA contact apparatus is to be in Prague.

4. Informant determined that the Soviet Union will furnish $200,000 to the CPUSA for 1960 and that the CP of China has offered to furnish $100,000 to the CPUSA within the next six months.

5. As a result of lengthy discussions with top-ranking Chinese officials, informant developed information which should be of assistance to the President during his trip to Southern Europe and Southeast Asia.

6. He determined through his visit to China and the Soviet Union the Chinese attitude toward the Soviets and circumstances indicating that there are definite differences between these countries as they relate to foreign policies and internal affairs. He was able to determine that the Chinese foreign policy is, "Do not give the imperialist a respite."

7. He determined through contact with the secretary of the CP of Cuba that the Cuban revolution is fundamentally deeper than it appears and that the left is in the Armed Forces and it is making inroads into the Cabinet.

8. As a result of discussions with Soviet leadership he determined that the Soviets consider the most important problem that of developing a new relationship between the USA and the U.S.S.R. and that they are willing to make concessions in a diplomatic sense but not in an ideological sense.

9. Informant determined that President Eisenhower will get a tremendous reception in Moscow and that the Soviet people are genuinely fond of the President.

10. He determined through conversations with the chairman of the CP of Japan that this CP is initiating a campaign in coalition with the Socialist Party against the Japanese - American security treaty and against U.S. military bases on Japanese soil.
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II. He ascertained through his conversations with a leading CP member from Argentina that this Party is joining forces with the Peronists and in the event the Peronists overthrow the Argentinian Government, the CP of Argentina will demand a coalition Government.

The above examples certainly indicate the extraordinary value of the information developed by our informant during this third Solo mission. It is impossible to put a money value on the information received as it would not be available through any other source. This information which has been disseminated on a high level will certainly enhance the Bureau's reputation as the world's leading intelligence agency.
**United States Department of Justice**

**Federal Bureau of Investigation**

In Reply, Please Refer to

File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of $10, payable to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner:

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of $10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy T. Sizoo</td>
<td>Wife</td>
<td>3/3/60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Address

3400 North Peary Street, Arlington, Virginia

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing $1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy T. Sizoo</td>
<td>Wife</td>
<td>3/3/60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Address

3400 North Peary Street, Arlington, Virginia

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Joseph A. Sizoo
Special Agent
RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

3-22-60

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

Foreign Operations Policy Manual #26

READ,

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

[Typed Signature]

March 24, 1960
CLINICAL RECORD

CONSULTATION SHEET

REQUEST

TO: ENT
FROM: STAFF CLINIC
DATE OF REQUEST 2/17/60

REASON FOR REQUEST (Complaints and findings)

DETERIORATION VISION OD 20/30 OS 20/100
F.P.I.
EXAM & REFRACTION

PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS
REFRACTIVE ERROR

CONSULTATION REPORT

3-2-60 C, 1300
3-2-60 C, 0900
REFRACTION:
OD - Corrected to 20/15 to Sph -0.50
OS -
20/15 to Sph -0.75
OPTICAL SCOPIC: NORMAL
BY GIVEN

(CONTINUED ON REVERSE SIDE)

SIGNATURE AND TITLE
SI ZO0, JOSEPH A.

PATIENT'S IDENTIFICATION (For typed or written entries give: Name—last, first, middle, grade, date, hospital or medical facility)

REGISTER NO.

CONSULTATION SHEET
Standard Form 513
## Clinical Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Normal/Abnormal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head, Face, Neck, and Scalp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinuses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouth and Throat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ears—General (incl. right and left)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drums (Evacuation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes—General (visual acuity and refraction under 40, 50, and 60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmoscopic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lungs and Chest (include breasts)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart (throat, size, rhythm, sounds)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular System (varicosities, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen and Viscera (include herniae)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anus and Rectum (hemorrhoids, fistulas)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-U System</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Extremities (strength, range of motion)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Extremities (except hands)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine, Other Musculoskeletal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying Body Marks, Scars, Tattoos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin, Lymphatics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic (equilibrium tests under item 75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric (significant personality deviation)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic (Females only) (Check box below)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes
- Enter pertinent item number before each comment. Continue in item 73 and use additional sheets if necessary.

## Dental Examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Restoreable</th>
<th>Non-Restorable</th>
<th>Missing</th>
<th>Fixed Bridge, Brackets to Include Abnormalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Remarks and Additional Dental Defects and Diseases
- Meets Dental Standard

## Laboratory Findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>1.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>1.2 g/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscopic</td>
<td>0.1 g/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Type and Rh Factor</td>
<td>WNL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### X-Ray
- Chest - 2-15-60 (144x177) 68.5-4-60 N.L. 6/17-10-60

### Other Tests
- ENT - Sub acute

---

**Identifying Notes:**
- Medical History
- Physical Examination
- Laboratory Tests
- X-Ray Reports

**Remarks:**
- Meets Dental Standard.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>51. HEIGHT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)</th>
<th>58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A SITTING</td>
<td>140/80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C STANDING</td>
<td>130/80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E STANDING</td>
<td>130/80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>59. DISTANT VISION</th>
<th>60. REFRACTION</th>
<th>61. TEMPERATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT 20/30 CORR. TO 20/</td>
<td>S.</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEFT 20/60 CORR. TO 20/</td>
<td>OX</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>62. HETEROPIA (Specify distance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>63. ACCOMMODATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>64. COLOR VISION</th>
<th>65. DEPTH PERCEPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEST used and result</td>
<td>UNCORRECTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1944</td>
<td>1950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>66. FIELD OF VISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>67. NIGHT VISION</th>
<th>68. RED LENS TEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEST used and score</td>
<td>UNCORRECTED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>70. HEARING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>71. AUDIOMETER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>73. NOTES (Continued) AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

59 - With both eyes, vision is 20/20 - without lenses.
61 - With both eyes, near vision is 20/20 - without lenses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

57. Slight myopia.
59. Visual Acuity Right 20/30
     Left 20/60

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(e) NEED OPHTHALMOLOGICAL EXAM FOR EYES
(2) RECHECK B.P. ON W/D DENT.

77. EXAMINEE (Check) 
A. ☐ IS QUALIFIED FOR 
B. ☐ IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR 

78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER 

79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### REPORT OF MEDICAL HISTORY

This information is for official use only and will not be released to unauthorized persons.

1. **LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME**
   - Sizoo Joseph A.

2. **GRADE AND POSITION**
   - Inspector

3. **DEPARTMENT, AGENCY, OR SERVICE**
   - Annual

4. **DATE OF EXAMINATION**
   - 7/12/60

5. **SEX**
   - M

6. **RACE**
   - W

7. **PLACE OF BIRTH**
   - Woodstock Minn.

8. **DATE OF BIRTH**
   - 8/18/10

9. **TOTAL YRS. GOVT. SERVICE**
   - CIVILIAN

10. **EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS**

11. **STATEMENT OF EXAMINEE'S PRESENT HEALTH IN OWN WORDS. (Follow by description of past history, if complaint exists)**
   - Good

12. **FAMILY HISTORY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RELATION</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>STATE OF HEALTH</th>
<th>IF DEAD</th>
<th>CAUSE OF DEATH</th>
<th>AGE AT DEATH</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>RELATION(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FATHER</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Stomach ulcer</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>HAD TUBERCULOSIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOTHER</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOUSE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROTHERS</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SISTERS</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHILDREN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. **HAS ANY BLOOD RELATION (Parent, brother, sister, other) OR HUSBAND OR WIFE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. **HAD ANY OF FOLLOWING DISORDERS?**

- Scarlet fever, Erysipelas
- Diapherina
- Rheumatic fever
- Swollen or painful joints
- Mumps
- Whooping cough
- Frequent or Severe headache
- Dizziness or fainting spells
- Eye trouble
- Ear, nose or throat trouble
- Running ears
- Chronic or frequent colds
- Severe tooth or gum trouble
- Sinusitis
- Hay fever
- Painful or "trick" shoulder or elbow
- Any reaction to serum, drug or vaccine

15. **HAVE YOU EVER HAD OR HAVE YOU NOW?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. **IS THERE ANY HISTORY OF DISEASE IN YOUR FAMILY?**

- Scars or scars from surgery
- Allergies
- Tobacconism
- Admittance to hospital
- Leg amputation
- Breasts
- Artificial eyes
- Artificial ears
- Artificial teeth
- Uterus removed
- Stomach removed
- Kidney removed
- Bladder removed
- Nerve damage
- Skin disease
- Cancer

17. **HOW MANY JOBS HAVE YOU HAD IN THE PAST THREE YEARS?**

24. **WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD YOU HELD ANY OF THESE JOBS?**

25. **WHAT IS YOUR USUAL OCCUPATION?**

26. **ARE YOU (Check one)**

- Right hand
- Left hand

---

16—02289-3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES NO</th>
<th>CHECK EACH ITEM YES OR NO. EVERY ITEM CHECKED &quot;YES&quot; MUST BE FULLY EXPLAINED IN BLANK SPACE ON RIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ A. SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ B. INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ C. INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ D. OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (IF YES, GIVE REASONS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH SCHOOL STUDIES OR TEACHERS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(IF YES, GIVE DETAILS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF YOUR HEALTH? (IF YES, STATE REASON AND GIVE DETAILS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED LIFE INSURANCE? (IF YES, STATE REASON AND GIVE DETAILS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU HAD, OR HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TO HAVE, ANY OPERATIONS? (IF YES, DESCRIBE AND GIVE AGE AT WHICH OCCURRED)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT (COMMITTED OR VOLUNTARY) IN A MENTAL HOSPITAL OR SANATORY? (IF YES, SPECIFY WHEN, WHERE, WHY, AND NAME OF DOCTOR, AND COMPLETE ADDRESS OF HOSPITAL OR CLINIC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ILLNESS OR INJURY OTHER THAN THOSE ALREADY NOTED? (IF YES, SPECIFY WHEN, WHERE, AND GIVE DETAILS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU CONSULTED OR BEEN TREATED BY CLINICS, PHYSICIANS, HEALERS, OR OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS? (IF YES, GIVE COMPLETE ADDRESS OF DOCTOR, HOSPITAL, CLINIC, AND DETAILS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU TREATED YOURSELF FOR ILLNESSES OTHER THAN MINOR COGS? (IF YES, WHICH ILLNESSES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REJECTED FOR MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (IF YES, GIVE REASON AND DATE FOR REJECTION)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED FROM MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (IF YES, GIVE DATE, REASON, AND TYPE OF DISCHARGE, WHETHER HONORABLE, OTHER THAN HONORABLE, FOR UNFITNESS OR UNSUITABILITY)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>HAVE YOU EVER RECEIVED, IF THERE PENDING, HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR, OR DO YOU INTEND TO APPLY FOR PENSION OR COMPENSATION FOR EXISTING DISABILITY? (IF YES, SPECIFY WHAT KIND, GRAINDED BY WHOM, AND WHAT AMOUNT, WHEN, WHY)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE FOREGOING INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.
I AUTHORIZE ANY OF THE DOCTORS, HOSPITALS, OR CLINICS MENTIONED ABOVE TO FURNISH THE GOVERNMENT A COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MY MEDICAL RECORD FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING MY APPLICATION FOR THIS EMPLOYMENT OR SERVICE.

**Type or Printed Name of Examinee**

[Signature]

40. PHYSICIAN'S SUMMARY AND ELABORATION OF ALL PERTINENT DATA (Physician shall comment on all positive answers in Items 20 thru 39)

[Signature]

No data of medical significance at this time.
Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner

Name of Examinee
(Type or print)

41200
Last
Joseph
First

Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2
3
4
9
11
14
17
62
55
67
68
69
72
76

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.

48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.

49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.

71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible.

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee: ☐ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

☐ No ☐ Yes. If "yes" please specify defects.

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

☐ No ☐ Yes. If "yes" please specify defects.

If examinee has defective vision, should he wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? ☐ Yes ☐ No

See 88 form, 15. The both eyes vision is 20/20. No further action necessary.

6/7/60

FD-305 (Rev. 2-9-60)
### Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Small Frame</th>
<th>Medium Frame</th>
<th>Large Frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5' 4&quot;</td>
<td>117 - 125</td>
<td>123 - 135</td>
<td>131 - 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 5&quot;</td>
<td>120 - 129</td>
<td>126 - 139</td>
<td>134 - 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 6&quot;</td>
<td>124 - 133</td>
<td>130 - 143</td>
<td>138 - 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 7&quot;</td>
<td>128 - 137</td>
<td>134 - 148</td>
<td>143 - 162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 8&quot;</td>
<td>132 - 141</td>
<td>138 - 152</td>
<td>147 - 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 9&quot;</td>
<td>136 - 146</td>
<td>142 - 156</td>
<td>151 - 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 10&quot;</td>
<td>140 - 150</td>
<td>146 - 161</td>
<td>155 - 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 11&quot;</td>
<td>144 - 154</td>
<td>150 - 166</td>
<td>160 - 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6'</td>
<td>148 - 158</td>
<td>154 - 171</td>
<td>164 - 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 1&quot;</td>
<td>152 - 163</td>
<td>158 - 176</td>
<td>169 - 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 2&quot;</td>
<td>156 - 167</td>
<td>163 - 181</td>
<td>174 - 195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 3&quot;</td>
<td>160 - 171</td>
<td>168 - 186</td>
<td>178 - 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 4&quot;</td>
<td>164 - 180</td>
<td>172 - 196</td>
<td>188 - 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 5&quot;</td>
<td>174 - 185</td>
<td>182 - 202</td>
<td>192 - 216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Examinee's frame is □ small □ medium □ large

4. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight □ Satisfactory □ Excessive □ Deficient

5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should □ lose _______ pounds □ gain _______ pounds

Remarks: ________________________________________________________________________________________________

(Date) 19 Feb 1960
RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
4-4-60

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

Flash-0 Zipper brief case

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Sizoo

(Received Signature)
(Received Signature)

(Translated Signature)
May 17, 1960

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Sizoo:

An important outgoing communication dated May 12, 1960, was reviewed and approved by you, at which time you failed to discover a serious error in the document. Your failure indicates that you did not give this correspondence the careful attention it deserved.

Consequently, you should review such correspondence in the future with greater thoroughness and attention to detail so that such errors may be eliminated.

Very truly yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

1 - Mr. Belmont (Personal Attention)
2 - Domestic Intelligence Division Personnel File

Based on memo I.D. MacLennan to Mr. Parsons 5-13-60 IDM:hcw.
NOTIFICATION OF PERSONNEL ACTION

1. NAME (LAST [CAPS]—First—Middle—Mr.—Miss—Mrs.)
   SIZZIO, JOSEPH A. (MR.)

2. DATE OF BIRTH
   8-28-10

3. IDENTIFICATION (optional)
   #08868

4. THIS IS AN OFFICIAL NOTICE OF THE PERSONNEL ACTION DESCRIBED BELOW, WHICH AFFECTS YOUR EMPLOYMENT. GENERAL INFORMATION CONCERNING YOUR EMPLOYMENT APPEARS ON THE REVERSE SIDE OF THIS FORM.

5. NATURE OF ACTION (standard terminology must be used)

   PROMOTION

   FROM—
   Inspector
   GS 16
   $15,150 per annum

   TO—
   Inspector
   GS 17
   $15,615 per annum

6. EFFECTIVE DATE OF ACTION
   6-13-60

7. CIVIL SERVICE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY

   EXCEPTED BY LAW

   TO—
   Inspector
   GS 17
   $15,615 per annum

12. APPORTIONED POSITION
   Yes

13. VETERAN PREFERENCE
   X 5-pt.
   10-pt. Other

14. TENURE GROUP
   Competitive Service

15. POSITION OCCUPIED IS IN THE:
   X Excepted Service

16. APPROPRIATION
   From: S. & E., FBI
   To: SAME

17. PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS
   CSE
   FICA
   FEDLS

18. DATE OF APPOINTMENT
   AFFIDAVITS (accessions only)

19. REMARKS:
   a. Subject to completion of 1 year probationary (or trial) period commencing
   b. Service counting toward career (or permanent) tenure from:
   Separations: Show reasons below, as required.
   Check, if applicable:
   a. During probation
   c. From appointment of 6 months or less
   d. From.
   
   This promotion is temporary and will remain in effect only for the duration of present assignment.

   Basis for this position is Section 505 (e) of the Classification Act of 1949 as amended.

   67-NOT RECORDED
   27 JUN 15 1350

20. EMPLOYING DEPARTMENT OR AGENCY
    U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
    FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

21. OFFICE MAINTAINING OFFICIAL PERSONNEL FOLDER (if different than item 10, above)
    FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
    WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

22. SIGNATURE (or other authentication) AND TITLE
    Director

23. DATE
    6-10-60

4. PERSONNEL FOLDER COPY.
REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: JOSEPH A. VISZOO

Where Assigned: Domestic Intelligence Division, Front Office
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Inspector GS-16

Rating Period: from 4/1/59 to 3/31/60

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Rated by: Assistant Director
Signature Title Date

Reviewed by: Assistant Director
Signature Title Date

Rating Approved by:
Signature Title Date

TYPE OF REPORT

X) Official
(X) Annual

( ) Administrative
( ) 60-Day
( ) 90-Day
( ) Transfer
( ) Separation from Service
( ) Special
PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE
FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL
(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee: JOSEPH A. SIZOO
Title: Inspector
Rating Period: from 4/1/59 to 3/31/60

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

Rate items as follows:

- Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
- Excellent.
- Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.

No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

1. "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all rated elements be "4" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD 185.

2. "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.

A. Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.

B. An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

Personal appearance.
- (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts.
- (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenability and willingness to equitably share work load).
- (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina).
- (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity.
- (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required.
- (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives.
- (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility.
- (9) Planning ability and its application to the work.
- (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail.
- (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties.
- (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on or completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control.
- (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application.
- (14) Technical or mechanical skills.
- (15) Investigative ability and results:
  - (a) Internal security cases
  - (b) Criminal or general investigative cases
  - (c) Fugitive cases
  - (d) Applicant cases
  - (e) Accounting cases
- (16) Physical surveillance ability.

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.):

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):

Desk man - Inspector - Executive

C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
(2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No. 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than amount of sick leave earned during such period? No. (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? Yes No
If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Past safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory
EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS
RE: INSPECTOR JOSEPH A. SIZOO

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

During the rating period, Inspector Sizoo has been the Inspector in charge of the Internal Security-Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division. In the absence of the Assistant Director, he has taken over the running of the Division, and has shown himself to be highly competent in this respect.

Mr. Sizoo presents an excellent personal appearance and this, coupled with his fine personality, makes him a highly effective representative of the Bureau in making contacts. His attitude has been most commendable; he is always ready to sacrifice his personal convenience for the benefit of the Bureau, and he seeks out responsibility, rather than attempting to avoid it. His judgment is definitely above average, and he has met almost hourly problems arising in the Division with resourcefulness and an aggressive approach.

Mr. Sizoo has excelled in looking ahead and planning his work in advance, and is consistently accurate. He handles a large volume of work in an energetic manner. Due to his more than twenty-four years' service in the Bureau, including fifteen years at the seat of government in various official capacities, he has an outstanding knowledge of Bureau policies and procedures.

He has shown very real executive ability, and a constant and intense interest in the work of the Bureau as a whole, with the result that he is constantly alert for suggestions to improve the work.

During the rating period he has been commended twice by the Director, once on August 7, 1959 for material contributions to the successful development of a double agent, who was an attache of a Soviet bloc embassy; and again on December 18, 1959 for his over-all supervision of the handling of an extremely confidential source, which produced information of vital significance to the country.

Mr. Sizoo is an exceptionally well qualified and loyal executive of the Bureau. He can be counted on to consistently

Employee's initials

[Signature]
produce work of high quality. His position during the rating period carried heavy responsibility, requiring the handling of personnel, as well as continually making decisions of serious import. His aggressive, imaginative approach has been highly beneficial to the important and essential work performed by the Bureau, particularly in the security field.

Inspector Sizoo has performed his work in a superior fashion during the rating period, and is being rated as EXCELLENT.
PART II - SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY MINUS RATINGS GIVEN

   N.A.

2. EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY AS INSPECTOR'S AIDE

   Mr. Sizoo is an Inspector.

3. PARTICIPATION IN INFORMANT PROGRAMS

   In an administrative capacity, he has participated in the development and handling of informants, with excellent results.

4. TESTIFYING EXPERIENCE AND ABILITY

   N.A.

5. DISCIPLINARY ACTION

   None

6. ACCOUNTING INFORMATION

   N.A.

7. POLICE INSTRUCTION

   N.A.

Employee's initials
PART II - SPECIFIC COMMENTS (Continued)

8. SOUND TRAINING
N.A.

9. RESIDENT AGENTS
N.A.

10. FOREIGN LANGUAGE ABILITY
Language in which proficient ______ None ______
Completed language school ______ Yes [ ] No [ ]
Fluent to extent Agent can handle typical investigative
problems as follows:
1) conversation form - Yes [ ] No [ ]
2) written form - Yes [ ] No [ ]
Agent's __________ language ability is rated:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Read:</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Very Good</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Unsatisfactory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Write:</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speak:</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frequency ______ language ability was used during rating
period:

11. ADMINISTRATIVE ADVANCEMENT

a) Agent is interested in administrative advancement -Yes [ ] No [ ]

b) Agent is completely available for administrative advancement
-Yes [ ] No [ ]

c) Agent is considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement including
experience, ability, personality and appearance -Yes [ ] No [ ]

d) Consider qualifications very good, , excellent ,
outstanding __ XX __.

e) Agent has potential for future administrative advancement -Yes [ ] No [ ]

Employee's initials
DR. PARSONS

May 10, 1960

R. D. McCLELLAND

CONFIDENTIAL MEMORANDUM FOR THE
WHITE HOUSE, DATED MAY 10, 1960

By letter dated May 12, 1959, there was forwarded to Major
General Wilton B. Perons at the White House a summary memorandum
containing the results of an applicant-type investigation regarding
This summary was dictated by Special Agent J. Wright
Brown of the Name Check Section, Domestic Intelligence Division.

It is noted that Mr. Tolson detected an error on page one
of this summary memorandum wherein [redacted] who was born at
Wheeler, Wisconsin, was incorrectly called [redacted]

Mr. Tolson has instructed that letters of censure be directed
to the personnel listed below, who bear responsibility for this error:

J. Wright Brown
Donald R. Roderick
George H. Scattered
Joseph A. Pike
Alan H. Belmont

RECOMMENDATION

That this memorandum be forwarded to the Administrative
Division for preparation of appropriate letters.

1-Administrative Division

IRS/CHV

1-Personnel File, J. Wright Brown
1-Personnel File, Donald R. Roderick
1-Personnel File, George H. Scattered
1-Personnel File, Joseph A. Pike
1-Personnel File, Alan H. Belmont

4: MAY 20 1960
Memorandum

TO: MR. PARSONS
FROM: A. H. Belmont
SUBJECT: JOSEPH A. SIZOO
Inspector, GS-16
Domestic Intelligence Division

On July 1, 1959, Inspector Sizoo was considered for reallocation to GS-17, and it was suggested that this be reconsidered on January 1, 1960.

His work during the past year, as reflected by the efficiency rating submitted 3/31/60, was definitely superior. (For administrative purposes it should also be noted that he meets the Bureau's weight standard requirements, and his overtime record has been consistently well above the Division average.)

It is respectfully recommended that he be considered for promotion to GS-17 at this time.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Mohr

FROM: W. S. Tavel

DATE: 6-10-60

SUBJECT: JOSEPH A. SIZOO
Number One Man
Inspector in Charge - Internal Security - Liaison Branch
Domestic Intelligence Division
EOD as Special Agent 11-1-38; GS-16, $15,150

Mr. Belmont has recommended that Mr. Sizoo be promoted to Grade GS-17 and Mr. Parsons concurs. Such a promotion would have no effect on the number of super grade jobs (GS-16, 17, and 18) which are filled since this would be a promotion from one super grade to another. We now have 28 such super grade jobs filled in the field and 34 at the Seat of Government with 13 vacancies. He has been Inspector in Charge of the Internal Security-Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division since August, 1955. He has been in Grade GS-16 since December, 1953.

Inspector Sizoo entered on duty as an agent on 11-1-38, is in GS-16, $15,150, 49 years of age, married with 2 children, and has Degrees of Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Laws. Prior to his present assignment, Mr. Sizoo has held other important posts such as Inspector in Charge in the Records and Communications Division, Inspector in Charge in the Training and Inspection Division, and positions in Mr. Tolson's and the Director's Offices. In September, 1958, he was censured and placed on probation as a result of an inspection check which reflected that agent supervisors in the Domestic Intelligence Division had been engaging in improper practices after they had signified on attendance registers that they were commencing their official duties. As a result of the inspection he was continued on probation in November, 1958, due to weaknesses noted in the Division. He was censured in February, 1959, for errors in correspondence. During the recheck of the Domestic Intelligence Division in March, 1959, Mr. Malone interviewed him and stated he made an excellent appearance and his attitude was excellent. As a result of the recheck he was removed from probation in March, 1959. He was considered for Grade GS-17 in July, 1959, but it was approved that he be reconsidered at a later date. He was commended in August, 1959 and December, 1959, and on his annual performance rating Mr. Belmont rated him EXCELLENT and stated he handled his duties in a highly competent manner and in the absence of the Assistant Director had taken over the running of the Division.

BRIEF OF PERSONNEL FILE ATTACHED
He made an excellent appearance, was highly effective in making contacts his attitude had been most commendable, and his judgment was definitely above average. He was consistently accurate, handled a large volume of work in an energetic manner, and had an outstanding knowledge of Bureau policies and procedures. He was considered an exceptionally well qualified and loyal executive of the Bureau, was completely available, interested in, and qualified for administrative advancement and his qualifications in this respect were considered OUTSTANDING. On 5-17-60 he was censured for approving a communication containing an error. He is within desirable weight limits.

RECOMMENDATION: That Mr. Sizoo be promoted to Grade GS-17.
June 13, 1969

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sizoo:

I am indeed pleased to advise you of your promotion to the position of Inspector, $15,018 per annum in Grade GS 17, effective June 13, 1969.

For your information, this promotion is temporary and will remain in effect only for the duration of your present assignment.

Sincerely,

Edgar Hoover

1 - Mr. Parsons (PERSONAL ATTENTION)

1 - Mr. Belmont (PERSONAL ATTENTION)

1 - Miss 

1 - Movement

Special salutation per Reading Room is Dear Sizoo.

Mr. Sizoo placed in second step of GS 17 as first step would not afford him equivalent of step increase as required by pay regulations.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Callahan

FROM: C. R. Davidson

DATE: 6-15-60

SUBJECT: JOSEPH A. SIZOO
#1 Man - Inspector in Charge
Internal Security - Liaison Branch
Domestic Intelligence Division
EOD 7-10-35 (Messenger), 11-1-38 (SA)
GS-17, $15,615

The following is a brief, concise summary of Mr. Sizoo's record for the Director's use.

On 6-13-60 he was promoted to $15,615 per annum in Grade GS-17, in the position of Inspector, which designation he received on 4-15-51, when he was assigned to Mr. Tolson's Office. He had been in Grade GS-16 since 12-6-53. In his present position, Mr. Sizoo is the Inspector in Charge of the Internal Security - Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division and in the absence of Assistant Director Belmont acts in this capacity in the running of the Division.

Inspector Sizoo entered on duty as an Agent on 11-1-38 and served in the Detroit, Huntington and New York Offices prior to his first Seat of Government assignment on 6-15-42 to the National Defense Division. On 6-1-45 he was designated ASAC at Memphis where he served until 2-1-46 when he transferred to the Laboratory Division. On 12-27-49 he transferred to the Training and Inspection Division and was assigned inspection duties. On 8-15-50 he transferred to Mr. Tolson's Office and while in assignment there received the designation of Inspector on 4-15-51. In August of that year he received the designation of Inspector in Charge of the Records and Communications Division in which capacity he had also served in the Training and Inspection Division and since 8-25-55 in his present assignment.

He has been COMMENDED on numerous occasions. Since 2-19-58 he has received 5 commendations, the latest being 12-18-59 for his supervision of an extremely confidential source of information of vital significance to the Bureau in the security field. Since 1-3-58 he has been CENSURED on 6 occasions. On 9-16-58 he was Censured and PLACED ON PROBATION as a result of an inspection check which reflected that Agent supervisors in the Domestic Intelligence Division had been engaging in improper practices after they had signedified on attendance registers that they were commencing their official duties. As a result of this inspection he was CONTINUED on probation in November, 1958, due to weaknesses noted in the Division. On 3-11-59 he was REMOVED FROM PROBATION. His most recent letter dated 5-17-60 cited him for reviewing and approving an outgoing communication dated 5-12-60 which contained an error.

Enclosure - Permanent Brief
FDH: mak (2)
Inspector Sizoo served in the Director's Office from 12-12-52 until 9-8-53, and since this time has seen the Director on 9-9-53, 12-2-53, 7-10-55 on the occasion of his Twentieth Bureau anniversary, and on 9-7-55 subsequent to his present assignment.

On his 1960 annual performance report Mr. Belmont rated him EXCELLENT and stated he handled his duties in a highly competent manner, made an excellent appearance, was highly effective in making contacts, and his attitude had been most commendable, his judgment definitely above average. He was consistently accurate, handled a large volume of work in an energetic manner, and had an outstanding knowledge of Bureau policies and procedures. He was considered an exceptionally well qualified and loyal executive of the Bureau. He was completely available, interested in, and completely qualified for administrative advancement. His qualifications in this respect were considered OUTSTANDING.

He is within the desirable weight limits as of June, 1960.

Since February, 1960 he has no listed offices of preference.

He is 49 years old, married and has two daughters. He holds a Bachelor of Arts Degree from George Washington University and a Bachelor of Laws Degree from their Law School. He is a member of the Virginia State Bar, the District of Columbia Supreme Court Bar, the District Court of Appeals and the U. S. Supreme Court.

His daily average overtime for the last six-month period averaged approximately 3 hours 10 minutes per day.

6-12-50 as a Clerk and on 10-25-54 was appointed Special Agent. He is presently assigned to the Domestic Intelligence Division, Central Research Section, Monograph Unit. He is in Grade GS-13, $9890.
MEMORANDUM FOR MR. TOLSON

Today I saw Inspector Joseph A. Sisoo of the Domestic Intelligence Division. Mr. Sisoo called to express his appreciation for his recent reallocation to GS-17.

I told Mr. Sisoo I was quite happy to be able to have him reallocated to this grade because I felt the work in which he had a major responsibility was one of the most important fields in the Bureau's operation and particularly at this time in view of the international crisis requiring careful and meticulous direction.

Very truly yours,

JOHN EDGAR HOOVER
Director
Memorandum

TO: MR. MOHR  
FROM: N. P. Callahan

DATE: June 21, 1960

SUBJECT: JOSEPH A. SIZOO  
Inspector (Internal Security-Liaison Branch)  
Domestic Intelligence Division  
SERVICE AWARD LETTER  
25th Anniversary - 7-10-60

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo, Inspector (Internal Security-Liaison Branch) in the Domestic Intelligence Division, celebrates his 25th Anniversary of service with the Bureau on 7-10-60.

Mr. Sizoo celebrated his 20th Anniversary on 7-10-55. Since that time he was commended on 7 different occasions and received 16 letters of censure. During an inspection of Domestic Intelligence Division he was censured and placed on probation 9-16-58 as it was discovered some agent supervisors had been engaging in improper practices after signing attendance register; censured and continued on probation 11-12-58 as result of weaknesses noted during inspection. He was removed from probation on 3-11-59. He is presently in Grade GS-17, $15,615, and was rated Excellent on last performance rating.

The Director may desire to present Mr. Sizoo's letter and Key personally. If so, it is suggested that the presentation be made on Friday, July 8, 1960, since Mr. Sizoo's anniversary is on a Sunday. A suggested letter is attached.

Enclosure  
1 - Mr. Ingram (Direct)  
1 - Mr. Belmont (Direct)

NEM:hmc  
(4)
RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

D. C. Official Parking Permit, expires June 30, 1961

RETURNED

D. C. Official Parking Permit, expires June 30, 1960

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed.

DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

FILE

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Siggio

(PER Signature)

(Typed Signature)
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO: Mr. Callahan
FROM: C. R. Davidson

DATE: 7-5-60

SUBJECT: JOSEPH A. SIZOO

#1 Man - Inspector in Charge
Internal Security - Liaison Branch
Domestic Intelligence Division
EOD 7-10-35 Messenger
EOD 11-1-38 Special Agent; GS-17, $15,615

Mr. Sizoo will complete Twenty-five years of Bureau service

on 7-10-60. The following is a brief and concise summary of Mr. Sizoo's

record for the Director's use.

Inspector Sizoo entered on duty as an agent on 11-1-38 and

served in the Detroit, Huntington and New York Offices prior to his first

Seat of Government assignment on 6-15-42 to the National Defense Division.

On 6-1-45 he was designated ASAC at Memphis where he served until 2-1-46

when he transferred to the Laboratory Division. On 12-27-49 he transferred

to the Training and Inspection Division and was assigned inspection

duties. On 8-15-50 he transferred to Mr. Tolson's Office and while in

assignment there received the designation of Inspector on 4-15-51. In

August of that year he received the designation of Inspector in Charge

of the Records and Communications Division in which capacity he had also

served in the Training and Inspection Division. On 12-12-52 he was

transferred to the Director's Office as an Inspector. On 9-8-53 he re-

ported to the Training and Inspection Division and assumed the duties

of Inspector in Charge in which capacity he had also served in the

Domestic Intelligence Division. On 6-1-54 he was transferred to Mr. Tolson's

Office as an Inspector. Since 8-25-55 he has been in his present

assignment as Inspector in Charge in the Domestic Intelligence Division.

On 6-13-60 he was promoted to Grade GS-17, $15,615 per annum.
On 6-15-60 the Director saw him and stated Mr. Sizoo called to express
his appreciation for his recent promotion to Grade GS-17.

During the past year he has been COMMENDED on two occasions,
the latest one being on 12-18-59 for the manner in which he handled the

over-all supervision at the Seat of Government in connection with the

handling of an extremely confidential source of information of vital

significance to the Bureau in the security field. During this same

period of time he was CENSURED on one occasion, it being on 5-17-60

inasmuch as he reviewed and approved an outgoing communication dated

5-12-60 which contained an error.

On 3-31-60 Mr. Belmont rated him EXCELLENT. He is 49 years
of age, is married, and has two children.

Enclosure - Permanent Brief

FDH: mhm  (2)
Latest information submitted 3-31-60 reflected he was interested in, available for, and considered completely qualified for administrative advancement. Mr. Belmont considered his qualifications outstanding.

SA Sizoo's weight was within the desirable limits as of June, 1960.

His daily average overtime has been in excess of the office average for the last six months and was as follows: December, 1959, 2 hours 42 minutes; January, 3 hours 42 minutes; February, 3 hours 19 minutes; March, 2 hours 47 minutes; April, 2 hours 43 minutes; May, 1960, 2 hours 48 minutes.

Since February, 1960, he has listed no Offices of Preference.

[6-12-50] entered on duty 6-12-50 as a Clerk, and on 10-25-54 was appointed Special Agent. He is presently assigned to the Domestic Intelligence Division and is in Grade GS-13, $9890 per annum. His services are satisfactory. His [enter] entered on duty 6-20-60 as a File Clerk. She is presently assigned to the Files and Communications Division as a Summer Employee in Grade GS-2, $3255 per annum. Her services have been satisfactory.

In his present position Mr. Sizoo is the Inspector in Charge of the Internal Security - Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division and in the absence of Assistant Director Belmont acts in this capacity in the running of the Division.
Jul 10, 1960

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Sisco
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sisco:

As you celebrate your anniversary of twenty-five years of service in the Federal Bureau of Investigation on July 10, 1960, I wish to extend my sincere congratulations and, in addition, to present to you the enclosed Twenty-Five-Year Service Award Key.

Over the years you have had various assignments, in the field and at the Seat of Government, and in your wealth of experience you have had an opportunity to gain an insight into all the operations and functions of the FBI. In the important position you now hold in the Domestic Intelligence Division, you are charged with many responsibilities of great importance in the execution of our obligations of a security nature and you have shouldered your duties with an intelligent approach and a genuine interest in giving your very best to the job at hand. I want you to know of my deep appreciation for your many years of service during which you have contributed immeasurably to our outstanding accomplishments. It is my hope that the Bureau will continue to have the benefit of your efforts.

I sincerely trust you will take pride in wearing this Key as a token of the Bureau's deep appreciation for your contributions.

With best wishes and kindest regards,

(Signed)

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Enclosure: JUL 12 1960

Mr. Ingram (Direct)

Mr. Belmont (Personal)

Based on memo N. P. Callahan to Mr. Mohr

NEM:hmc 6-21-60
Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner

Name of Examinee (Type or print)                     A
Last       First
Domestic Intelligence

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2  62
3  65
4  67
9  68
11  69
14  72
17  76

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.
49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.
71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible.

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee  [ ] is  [ ] is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive
tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

   [ ] No  [ ] Yes  If "yes" please specify defects. ____________________________

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

   [ ] No  [ ] Yes  If "yes" please specify defects. ____________________________

If examinee has defective vision, should he wear corrective glasses while operating a motor
vehicle?  [ ] Yes  [ ] No.
## Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Small Frame</th>
<th>Medium Frame</th>
<th>Large Frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5' 4&quot;</td>
<td>117 - 125</td>
<td>123 - 135</td>
<td>131 - 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 5&quot;</td>
<td>120 - 129</td>
<td>126 - 139</td>
<td>134 - 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 6&quot;</td>
<td>124 - 133</td>
<td>130 - 143</td>
<td>138 - 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 7&quot;</td>
<td>128 - 137</td>
<td>134 - 148</td>
<td>143 - 162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 8&quot;</td>
<td>132 - 141</td>
<td>138 - 152</td>
<td>147 - 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 9&quot;</td>
<td>136 - 146</td>
<td>142 - 156</td>
<td>151 - 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 10&quot;</td>
<td>140 - 150</td>
<td>146 - 161</td>
<td>155 - 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 11&quot;</td>
<td>144 - 154</td>
<td>150 - 166</td>
<td>160 - 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6'</td>
<td>148 - 158</td>
<td>154 - 171</td>
<td>164 - 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 1&quot;</td>
<td>152 - 163</td>
<td>158 - 176</td>
<td>169 - 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 2&quot;</td>
<td>156 - 167</td>
<td>163 - 181</td>
<td>174 - 195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 3&quot;</td>
<td>160 - 171</td>
<td>168 - 186</td>
<td>178 - 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 4&quot;</td>
<td>169 - 180</td>
<td>178 - 196</td>
<td>188 - 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 5&quot;</td>
<td>174 - 185</td>
<td>182 - 202</td>
<td>192 - 216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Examinee's frame is □ small □ medium □ large

4. Considering above weight table, the examinee's frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight □ Satisfactory □ Excessive □ Deficient

5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should □ lose _____ pounds □ gain _____ pounds

Remarks: 

Height 141 3/4

(Signature of Medical Examiner)

JUL 20 1960

(Date)
**PART A**

1. NAME (LAST) (FIRST) (MIDDLE INITIAL)
   - **SIZOZ JOSEPH A.**

2. DATE OF BIRTH (MONTH DAY YEAR)
   - **MOUTH 8 DAY 28 YEAR 1910**

3. Are you now married?
   - [ ] YES  [ ] NO

**PART B**

1. I elect to enroll in a health benefits plan as shown below. I authorize deductions to be made from my salary, compensation, or annuity to cover my share of the cost of the enrollment. (Copy the information requested below from inside cover of brochure of the plan you select.)

   **NAME OF PLAN**
   - **SAMBA**

   **OPTION (HIGH OR LOW)**
   - [ ] HIGH [ ] LOW

   **ENROLLMENT CODE NUMBER**
   - [ ] 4 [ ] 4 [ ] 2

2. In space below list all eligible family members without exception. List your wife or husband first, then your unmarried children under age 19, including legally adopted children, and stepchildren and illegitimate children who live with you in a regular parent-child relationship. Include any unmarried child over 19 who became disabled before age 19 and who, because of the disability, is incapable of self-support. (Attach a doctor's certificate for a disabled child age 19 or over.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAMES OF FAMILY MEMBERS</th>
<th>DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year)</th>
<th>NAMES OF FAMILY MEMBERS</th>
<th>DATE OF BIRTH (Month, Day, Year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wife or Husband</td>
<td>Dorothy T. Sizzo 12/31/08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. If you are a female (employee or annuitant)—does the family listed above include a husband who is incapable of self-support by reason of mental or physical disability which can be expected to continue for more than one year? (If answer is "Yes," attach a doctor's certificate.)
   - [ ] YES  [ ] NO

**PART C**

1. I elect not to enroll in any plan under the Health Benefits Act.
   - [ ] YES  [ ] NO

2. I elect to cancel my present enrollment under the Health Benefits Act.
   - [ ] YES  [ ] NO

3. The reason for my election is (Place an "X" in proper box):
   - (a) I am covered by a plan under the Health Benefits Act through the enrollment of my husband, wife, or parent.
   - (b) I am covered by a health insurance plan which is not under the Health Benefits Act.
   - (c) Any other reason.

4. I elect to change my enrollment as shown by the enrollment number and other information in Part B.

   **ENROLLMENT CODE NUMBER**
   - [ ] 4 [ ] 4 [ ] 2

   **NUMBER OF EVENT WHICH PERMITS CHANGE**
   - [ ] 1

   **DATE OF EVENT WHICH PERMITS CHANGE**
   - [ ] 2

**PART D**

1. Enrollment code number of present plan.
   - [ ] 4 [ ] 4 [ ] 2

2. Number of event which permits change.
   - [ ] 1

3. Date of event which permits change.
   - [ ] 2

**PART E**

1. SIGNATURE (DO NOT PRINT)
   - [ ] John A. O. 6/21/60

2. DATE RECEIVED IN EMPLOYING OFFICE
   - [ ] 2-11-60

3. EFFECTIVE DATE OF ELECT
   - [ ] 7-10-60

4. PAYROLL OFFICE NO.
   - [ ] 15-C-0001

5. PAYROLL ACTION (INITIALS AND DATE)
   - [ ] 3-27-60

**PART F**

1. NAME AND ADDRESS OF EMPLOYING OFFICE
   - **FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
     UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
     WASHINGTON 25, D. C.**

2. DATE OF REGISTRATION
   - [ ] 3-27-60

3. ENSIGN OF AUTHORIZED AGENCY OFFICIAL
   - [ ] John A. O. 6/21/60

4. DATE OF ENROLLMENT
   - [ ] 3-27-60

5. AUTHORIZED AGENCY OFFICIAL
   - [ ] John A. O. 6/21/60

**REMARKS**

- Original sent to Vocational Rehab, May 28, 1960

- Triplicate—To Employing Office

- APRIL 1960
MEDICAL REPORTS
Personnel File of: S1200, Joseph B.
Personnel File No. 67-57045
3/16/56 RIGHT ELBOW: There is no evidence of bone or joint abnormality nor of soft tissue abnormality. ERK/hcb
Surgical Excision

Nevus of a number of years duration in the left temporal region. No history of recent change.

GROSS EX:
Specimen consists of formalin fixed ellipse of white skin and subcutaneous tissue measuring 1.5 cm. in gd. There is a small 3 mm. diameter slightly elevated plaque in the central portion of the skin.

MICRO EX: Section is of skin. The epidermis shows some vacuolation of the spiny cell layer. In the dermis there are cords and nests of cells which have a moderate amount of eosinophilic cytoplasm; in some cases the cytoplasm is vacuolated. The nuclei are round to oval with fine chromatin distribution. The nucleoli are basophilic. There is moderate vascularity to the tumor. It is somewhat encapsulated by compressed adjacent tissue. One section shows more prominent encapsulation and the stroma between the cords and nests of cells is densely eosinophilic and homogenous. In some instances the cords and cells have a slit-like lumen which is lined by eosinophilic membrane.

PATHOLOGIC DIAGNOSIS: Sweat gland adenoma, "Turban type".

10 April 1956
CONSULTATION SHEET

CLINICAL RECORD

TO: Dermatology

REQUEST

FROM: (Requesting ward, unit, or activity) Staff Clinic

DATE OF REQUEST 16 Mar. 56

REASON FOR REQUEST (Complaints and findings)

C.C. Wart on foot (2) Corn on St. Temple

1. C. corn on area on foot over nail of 4th metacarpal

2. Wart on plantar wart


Please examine and advise.

PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS

D.V.

CONSULTATION REPORT

Wart excised in March 1956. 3.31.56

Plante warts treated with salicylic acid 40% Sal A. Plantar warts be treated with 10% solution of salicylic acid. Began 10-15-56

3.27.56 wart resolved. Continued to monitor.

Patient in good health.

(Continued on reverse side)

SIGNATURE AND TITLE

DATE

IDENTIFICATION NO.

ORGANIZATION

PATIENT'S LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME

51200, Joseph, R

REGISTER NO.

WARD NO.

CONSULTATION SHEET

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1955

NAME OF HOSPITAL OR OTHER MEDICAL FACILITY

Standard Form 513
4 April 1956
removal scene (?) right forehead under pressure
1/2 local anesthetic. Wound closed with 5-0 silk.
Return 7 April 1956

9 April 1956
Suture removed. Wound healing satisfactorily.

Plants now retented

4-26-56 Retented. Wound.
Rtn. on Crown.

5-3-56 Retented.

6-21-56 Retented. Rtn. today.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLINICAL RECORD</th>
<th>CONSULTATION SHEET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REQUEST</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TO:</strong> Dermatology</td>
<td><strong>FROM:</strong> (Requesting ward, unit, or activity)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>REASON FOR REQUEST</strong> (Complaints and findings)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS |                   |
|                       |                   |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOCTOR'S SIGNATURE</th>
<th>APPROVED</th>
<th>PLACE OF CONSULTATION</th>
<th>EMERGENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BEDSIDE</td>
<td>ON CALL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONSULTATION REPORT**

Plantar Wart:

Necrosed well, Pred Zns.

Cec Arthur

(Continued on reverse side)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIGNATURE AND TITLE</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>IDENTIFICATION NO.</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PATIENT'S LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME</th>
<th>REGISTER NO.</th>
<th>WARD NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(NAME OF HOSPITAL OR OTHER MEDICAL FACILITY)

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1956—05119—4†
**CLINICAL RECORD**

TO: Dermatology

**CONSULTATION SHEET**

REQUEST

FROM: (Requesting word, unit, or agency) Staff Clinic

DATE OF REQUEST 1 Apr. 57

REASON FOR REQUEST (Complaints and findings)

C. C. Rash on feet and legs.

This 58 yo neg. atpt. complained of dry, scaly rash on forefeet. Comes & goes, probably worse in winter, usually improves with exposure to sun.

PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS Dermatitis

CONSULTATION REPORT

Reexamined—Seborrheic Dermatitis still present. No fever.

- 0 Selenium Carbonate once a week.
- 0 Hair lotions.
- Relaxed feet.

5/23/57 Appears to be improved.

Pet. prn. Continue selenium 7x month.

(Continued on reverse side)

SIGNATURE AND TITLE

DATE

IDENTIFICATION NO.

ORGANIZATION

PATIENT'S IDENTIFICATION (For typed or written entries give: Name—last, first, middle; grade, date, hospital or medical facility)

S1200, Joseph K

REGISTER NO. WARD NO.

STAFF CLINIC

CONSULTATION SHEET

Standard Form 513
During annual physical examination 3-10-58, this F.B.I. S.A. was reported to have T-wave changes, a repeat ECG 3-14-58 reported the same T-wave changes and recommended cardiac evaluation. Enclosure has some tracings 1952-1957.

This 47 year old man has had no symptoms referable to his cardiovascular system. He has been normally active, swimming every summer without any symptoms. His past and family history is non-contributory. He is referred in because of abnormal T-wave changes found on routine annual electrocardiogram.

Physical Examination reveals a well-developed, well-nourished, healthy appearing male of 47 who appears much younger. The optic fundi revealed slight arteriolar narrowing, slight increase in arteriolar reflex strip and equivocal A-V crossing changes. The blood pressure in the right arm is 160/90 and slightly higher in the right leg. Peripheral pulses are all equal and of good volume without abnormality. There is slight neck vein pulsation and slightly positive hepato- jugular reflux. There was no edema or hepatic enlargement, and the lungs were clear. The heart was not enlarged clinically. The heart sounds were normal. No gallops or murmurs were heard. The 2nd sound was of normal intensity and split.

Fluoroscopic examination disclosed the heart shadows to be within normal limits. There was no great vessel abnormality. There was 1/2 posterior displacement of the esophagus, by the left atrium, and the left ventricle appeared minimally enlarged posteriorly.

His electrocardiograms on file from 1948 to the present have shown slight decrease in voltage over the antero-lateral cardiac surface. In the tracing of 1957 there was definite inversion of the T-wave in lead I, AVL and V5 and 6 which is slightly more prominent on the 2 tracings taken in 1958 (3-5-58 and 4-4-58).
Statistically coronary artery disease is the most likely cause of the T-wave abnormality in this 47 year old male. In the absence of symptoms or other objective findings of heart disease, no therapy or change in mode of living appears indicated. He was advised to keep his weight down and reduce his weight intake. Moderate physical activity was encouraged. His blood pressure was borderline. It was suggested that this be rechecked in 6 months. If the levels rise, some mild hypotensive agent should probably be instituted.

Impression: Abnormal electrocardiogram without other definite evidence of cardiovascular disease.
9-18-59 Paresthesia. Cantharidin applied for 10 days - return in 6 months.

9-21-59 Cantharidin reapplied. Return in 4 days.

9-25-59 Blister still present. 2 weeks.


10-15-59 Cantharidin. 1 week.

11-16-59 No change. Ultrasound 1 week.

11-20-59 Has had 3 ultrasound treatments. No change. 1 week.

1-4-60 After 40% SA plaster, TCA 5.

1-12-60 Repeat. TCA Q. 1 week.

1-19-60 Bistinate 1 tablet t.i.d.

40% Salicylic Acid Plaster. 3 weeks.

2-9-60 Pared + Dry Ice. On plaster. 3 weeks.

3-1-60 Pared + Dry Ice. On plaster + bistinate. 3 weeks.

(Continue on reverse side)

PATIENT'S IDENTIFICATION (For typed or written entries give: Name—last, first, middle; grade; date; hospital or medical facility)

REGISTER NO.

WARD NO.

DOCTOR'S PROGRESS NOTES

Standard Form 509
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-21-60</td>
<td>Pared. Continue 15 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-8-60</td>
<td>Cortisporin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-11-60</td>
<td>Large blister removed. Reexamine next week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-18-60</td>
<td>Pared. En selcyle. acid pads. Return Friday for repeat cortisporin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-22-60</td>
<td>Repeat cortisporin. 3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-25-60</td>
<td>Large blisters. Wear doughnut. Pads in 2 days. 2 ml.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-9-60</td>
<td>Wart appears nearly gone. Keramin solution daily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-24-60</td>
<td>Skin soft. Still has small area possible center of action. However, entire area becoming good. Continue zwiks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-14-60</td>
<td>Warts appear gone. No fl. Return if necessary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**CLINICAL RECORD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO:</th>
<th>FROM: (Requesting ward, unit, or activity)</th>
<th>DATE OF REQUEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dermatology</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-29-59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REASON FOR REQUEST** (Complaints and findings)

**CONSULTATION SHEET**

**REQUEST**

**DATE OF REQUEST**

1-29-59

**PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS**

**CONSULTATION REPORT**

First visit 1-20-59. Repeated 1-20-59.
3-2-59 Dry ice for 12 seconds.

(Continued on reverse side)

**SIGNATURE AND TITLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>IDENTIFICATION NO.</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PATIENT'S IDENTIFICATION**

Name: Sizoo, JA

**REGISTER NO.**

30-003

**WARD NO.**

S

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1957-O-437819 10-50119-61
3-16-59 Day ice Continue 2 weeks
3-31-59 Flatter Day ice Continue small
4-14-59 Day ice to wait for 2 1/2

4-22-59 Top of blister removed
Relief of pain B III 7 No scab

4-29-59 Resealed I wait covered treated with small applicator for 15 sec

5-14-59 Retreated percutaneous no wait remains 2 weeks
5-29-59 No warty elements

7-6-59 Small area remains Dry ice
15% Sulphuric Acid in Collodion bid 3 weeks

7-21-59 Wait possibly return 7 days

7-31-59 Wait 3 days no scab return 3 1/2 weeks
No other Rx Return 3 weeks

8/21/59 Possibly 3 tiny warts Dry ice
Bepanthen Tid 3 weeks

9-11-59 I wait covered PNs 1 week
Cortisone next
**Physiotherapy**

**Reason for Request** (Complaint and findings)

Patient has mosaic wart on sole of right foot. Would you please give ultrasound treatment? Thank you.

**Provisional Diagnosis**

Plantar wart

---

**Consultation Report**

11-17-59 Ultrasound to wart on sole of rt. foot 1.5wtts/cm² for 5 minutes.

12/21/59 No change in ultrasound. GTU 4.50% SA, paired, touched to TCA, continue 40% SA, RV 2-3 who. (Unreadable)

11/7/60 Better on TCA 3d. ago. Now 1 location. 3 mm. warts, flat warts. Rx: TCA 6 capillary tube.

(Continued on reverse side)

---

**Signature and Title**

SIZOO, J. A.  FBI
Physiotherapy

Dermatology

11-16-59

Patient has mosaic wart of sole of right foot. Would you please give ultrasound treatment? Thank you.

11-17-59 ultrasound to wart on sole of rt. foot 1.5watts/cm2 for 5'.

12-4-59 He had a series of 9 x's to be observed for a few wk.

12/21/59 No change in ultrasound. 80/40% SA; paid, touched to TCA, continue 40% SA.

RV 2-3 wks. (Monosol?).

1/7/60 Better on TCA 3d. ago. Now 1 cm. roughly.

3 small, flat events. Rx: TCA x capillary tube.

(Continued on reverse side)

1200, J. A. FBI
Examination of the paranasal sinuses shows all these structures to be clear.
CLINICAL RECORD

TO: S M T

CONSULTATION SHEET

FROM: (Requesting ward or unit)

DATE OF REQUEST: 4/14/51

REASON FOR REQUEST (Complaint and findings)

W.M. - 40 yr. - F39

Hepatomegaly.

Provisional Diagnosis

4/17/51

History of previous laceration of abdomen.

Examined 4/17/51 - complaint of sore throat.

Lungs: Breath sounds normal.

No Rhonchi.

Sore Throat.

Sore Throat.

PROVISIONAL DIAGNOSIS

(Continued on reverse side)

SIGNATURE AND TITLE

DATE

IDENTIFICATION NO.

ORGANIZATION

PATIENT'S

NAME

NAME

F69

REGISTER NO.

WARD NO.

(Continued on reverse side)

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 19-06119-F

CONSULTATION SHEET

Standard Form 413

9/31/51

7/10/51
**Clinical Record**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO:</th>
<th>FROM: (Requesting ward or unit)</th>
<th>DATE OF REQUEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Y.</td>
<td>Staff of Act Rx</td>
<td>4/24/51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for Request (Complete and findings):**

- W.M., 40 yrs, Fb.
- Neurology (Ehlers-Danlos syndrome)
- Femoral fracture (Extremity fracture)

**Provisional Diagnosis:**

- Cervical spine: L2/L3
- Refraction: OD glasses - 50 x 55
- OS: -0.37 sph.
- No glasses indicated

(Continued on reverse side)

---

**Consultation Sheet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROVED</th>
<th>PLACE OF CONSULTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ON CALL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SIG:**

- Dr. Jones

---

**Organization:**

- U.S. Government Printing Office 10-06119-1

---

- Date: 3/17/51
- Identification No.: 10-1

---

- Patient's Last Name: Y.
- First Name: M.
- Middle Name: J.
- Register No.: 51
- Ward No.: 10-1

---

**Name of Hospital or Other Medical Facility:**

- St. Joseph's Hospital
In Reply, Please Refer to

File No.

Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.

RE: SA JOSEPH A. SIZOO

Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of $10, payable to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of $10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Tutt Sizoo</td>
<td>Wife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400 Peary St. North, Arlington, Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing $1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Tutt Sizoo</td>
<td>Wife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400 Peary St. North, Arlington, Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Sizoo

Special Agent
RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

November 1, 1960

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

SOG INSPECTORS' MANUAL # 72

FILE

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Sizzo
Assistant Director A. H. Belmont:

Since August, 1955, Mr. Sizoo has served as Inspector in charge of the Internal Security-Liaison Branch, of the Domestic Intelligence Division. Prior to that time he was assigned to the Laboratory, the Training and Inspection Division, and the Records Branch, as well as having experience as assistant to Mr. Tolson.

Due to his more than 25 years' service in the Bureau, including 15 years at the seat of government in various official capacities, Mr. Sizoo has an outstanding knowledge of Bureau policies and procedures. He has shown real executive ability, and a constant and intense interest in the work of the Bureau as a whole.

In supervising the work of his Branch, he has excelled in looking ahead and planning in advance. His attitude has always been most commendable, and he seeks out responsibility, rather than attempting to avoid it. His judgment is definitely above average, and he has met the problems arising in the Division with resourcefulness and an aggressive approach. His excellent personal appearance, coupled with his fine personality, make him a highly effective representative of the Bureau in making contacts.

In the absence of the Assistant Director he has taken over the running of the Division, and has proven himself to be highly competent in this respect. He has been commended on numerous occasions for his excellent handling of Bureau matters, the most recent being on 12/18/59. During the period since the last recheck inspection he has been censured on only one occasion, on 5/17/60, for approving a communication containing an error.

He is an exceptionally well qualified and loyal executive of the Bureau, who can be counted on to consistently produce work of high quality. His position carries heavy responsibilities, and his aggressive, imaginative approach has been highly beneficial to the important and essential work performed by the Bureau, particularly in the security field.

Rating: EXCELLENT
INSPECTOR WILLIAMS

Inspector concurs with comments of Mr. Belmont. Mr. Sizoo presents a very neat, businesslike appearance, has an excellent, aggressive, but friendly personality and functions very capably. Mr. Sizoo very capably handles himself before groups of persons and is highly effective in contact work. His years of experience, his knowledge of security operations and his native enthusiasm have made him an excellent administrator. He is interested in and completely available for administrative advancement and his potential is considered very good. He is within satisfactory weight limits and has equally shared the work load in the division.
December 12, 1960

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo

Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Sizoo:

I am indeed pleased to commend you for the outstanding attitude you exhibited in reporting for duty today despite the extremely hazardous travel conditions.

You demonstrated a most exemplary devotion to the work of the FBI in considering your services so essential that, in spite of an announcement that all Federal Government agencies would be closed, you reported for duty. I certainly appreciate your dedicated efforts and I want you to know I have instructed that a copy of this letter be placed in your personnel file.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

[Adress]

[Names]
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Mohr
FROM: J. F. Malone

DATE: November 30, 1960

SUBJECT: INSPECTION - DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION
E. C. WILLIAMS, INSPECTION STAFF
OCTOBER 26 - NOVEMBER 18, 1960

SYNOPSIS

Officials: A. H. Belmont, Assistant Director since 9/30/51; Inspector J. A. Sizoo, No. 1 Man and Branch Chief (Internal Security - Liaison Branch) since 9/15/55; Inspector D. E. Moore, Branch Chief (Espionage - Central Research Branch) since 10/14/56. Last inspection 7/23/58 to 10/31/58, recheck inspection 2/16/59 to 3/6/59.

Physical Condition and Maintenance - Very Good. Space maintained in orderly fashion and generally neat and clean. Immediate corrective attention afforded minor housekeeping delinquencies, none of which affected safety or of substantive nature. Operations of night and weekend duty supervisor desk moved from Assistant Director's Office to outer office.

Investigative Operations - Very Good. Work load equitably distributed and adequate. Mail flow smooth. Field delinquency increasing and above over-all Bureau delinquency. Instructed to reduce. Emphasis on espionage and intelligence operations with positive aggressive approach has resulted in identification of two "sleeper type" espionage agents through Bureau investigations as well as development of timely intelligence information a identity of foreign intelligence agents. Instructed to continue forward approach. Security informant coverage and double agents increased with informant coverage of Communist Party 7.86%. Foreign liaison added in 9 countries and cryptographic material obtained through aggressive effort which is valued at $960,000.

Administrative Operations - Very Good. Supervisory responsibility fixed for effective operations and policy guidance to field adequate and in conformity with Bureau regulations. Division instructed to insure assignment of citizen letters clearly identified with substantive matters to substantive desks. Purge of administrative and form-type mail in "JUNE" file (Division record of highly confidential techniques), concurred in by Division, expected to result in excess of 50% records space saving. Division alert to economy.

Personnel Matters - Very Good. Personnel adequate, not excessive. Morale high. Employee interest and enthusiasm high with solid teamwork operation. All Agent person within desirable weight limits. Overtime equitable and productive. Division average 3 months - 2'34".

Enclosure
1 - Mr. Callahan (Attn: Mr. C. R. Davidson) (sent separately)
ECW: dh

[Handwritten date: DEC 21 1960]
Memo to Mr. Mohr
Re: Inspection - Domestic Intelligence Division

Contacts - Very Good. Division alert to importance of contacts and maintains widespread liaison function. Speech program effective.

RECOMMENDATIONS:
1. Assistant Director Alan H. Belmont, EOD 11/30/36; GS-18 @ $18,500; Domestic Intelligence Division since 2/27/50; Assistant Director since 9/30/51; age 53. Mr. Belmont has developed a solid team effort through aggressive leadership and with a firm but fair approach. With a thorough knowledge of security operations he commands complete respect for his decisions and ability to put them into effect. Current efficient operations warrant his retention and the attached letter, if approved, will set forth findings of the Inspection.

2. Inspector Joseph A. Sizoo, No. 1 Man; EOD 7/10/35 (clerk) 11/1/38, (SA) since 9/15/53 in division; age 49; GS-17 @ $16,790. A capable and loyal Bureau executive who has demonstrated ability to run Division in absence of Mr. Belmont. Being throughly conversant with policies and problems inherent in security work he affords effective leadership in this field. Mr. Belmont advises Sizoo is most competent in handling his responsibilities and Inspector concurs. Recommend he be retained in his assignment consistent with the needs of the service.

3. Inspector Donald E. Moore, EOD 3/10/41; GS-17 @ $16,530, in present position since 10/14/56; age 42. Mr. Moore is responsible for intelligence operations of the division and has proven himself to be a strong administrator with thorough knowledge of operations and ability to take charge of complicated investigative problems. He makes an excellent appearance and affords aggressive leadership within Division. Mr. Belmont advises Moore has excelled in handling his responsibilities and Inspector concurs. Recommend he be retained in present assignment and be considered for additional responsibilities consistent with the needs of the service.

4. If approved, the attached letter should be sent to Mr. Belmont summarizing the inspection findings.
Memo to Mr. Mohr
Re: Inspection - Domestic Intelligence Division

DETAILS

PHYSICAL CONDITION AND MAINTENANCE . . . . . . . . . . . . . . VERY GOOD

Division occupies space first floor (Front Office, Internal Security Section, Subversive Control Section, Nationalities Intelligence Section), second floor (Espionage Section) and seventh floor (Liaison Section and Central Research Section) of Justice Building and sixth floor Identification Building (IB) (Name Check Section). Making maximum use of space available. All space found to be maintained in orderly fashion, generally neat and clean. Night and weekend supervisors desk installed in office of Inspector D. E. Moore to remove these operations from Assistant Director's Office reducing traffic. Units within sections contiguously located and sections suitably located consistent with available space. Name Check Section properly located in IB near files in view of large file review operation.

Minor housekeeping delinquencies ordered corrected on spot or arrangements made to have corrected at earliest date. None affecting safety or of substantive nature. General Services Administration schedule controls repainting, this being followed by Division. Repair orders being handled individually, on current basis.

INVESTIGATIVE OPERATIONS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . VERY GOOD

Work load on section by section basis determined to be equitably distributed and adequate. Pending origin matters in field as of 10/1/60 was 18,808 decrease of 8.1% compared to over-all Bureau drop of 10.5% since recheck inspection. Principal decrease in general communist matters. Increase of 44% (1522 cases) in matters involving nationalistic tendencies, particularly in area of Cuba and Latin America. Delinquency in matters supervised by division increased during period July - September, 1960, from 8.9% to 11.2% while Bureau delinquency for same period ranged from 6% to 6.8%. Emphasis placed with Division to reduce over-all delinquency. Buffalo Division consistently over 12% during period checked with high of 17.9% in September, 1960, being followed for steps initiated to reduce. Division to follow offices with continuing high delinquency and instructed to reduce.

Study of mail flow both incoming and outgoing noted no areas of delay. Signature mail being received steadily at all executive levels. Six week analysis of mail delinquency discloses aggressive steps taken to control and no imbalance with regard to personnel and work assignments. Mail count determined all personnel sharing in work load on equitable productive basis. Review of 186 cases, including ten most important cases in each section developed no substantive or form errors.
Memo to Mr. Mohr
Re: Inspection - Domestic Intelligence Division

Division has placed emphasis on espionage and intelligence operations directed towards penetration of Soviet Intelligence with noteworthy accomplishments. Since February 18, 1959, considerable increase in development of "Double Agents" (26) and potential (36). During same period 41 foreign intelligence agents identified and information developed resulted in Soviet-Bloc officials being declared persona non grata. Division has taken positive approach to identify illegal Agents as exemplified by program to review new Social Security applications which has turned up two illegal Agents. One being developed as double agent and other being checked out for possible development. Division successful in developing intelligence of national and international importance, dissemination of which has resulted in highly commendatory statements regarding Bureau's work. Division alert to responsibility to develop an information of intelligence value. During the period since the recheck inspection the Division has

This has been evaluated at $960,000 in time and labor saved.

Ceiling of 90 technical surveillances being closely observed. As of 10/31/60, 86 were in operation, all closely supervised and adequately justified. Technical instructions furnished improving handling of remote control installation.

Two informants in top echelon of Communist Party have made seven missions to Soviet and other Communist countries developing extensive international intelligence including summary of Soviet document dealing with ideological dispute between Soviet and Red China. This data extremely important to White House, State Department and other government agencies.

Central Research Section continues excellent work in preparing articles which have had dramatic impact on public, such as "Communist Target-Youth," "One Nations Response to Communism," and "Communist Illusion and Democratic Reality." In addition, continues research into criminal matters (Crindel) and intelligence analysis (CINAL).

Defense plans manual revised and detailed field revisions being reviewed on current basis with target date for completion 12/15/60. Security informant coverage increased to 1507 (1439 as of 3/1/59). Live informants in Communist Party (CP) increased from 412 last inspection to 433 or 7.86% of estimated party membership (5531). Division survey of live informant coverage and emphasis on field offices needing improvement continues. Series of five field conferences on development and handling of Security Informants successful in promoting positive recommendations. Communist Index program in current status.
Memo to Mr. Mohr
Re: Inspection - Domestic Intelligence Division

Review of work of Name Check Unit which services requests of other government agencies emphasizes broad assistance Bureau affords (1,040,209 requests in 1960 fiscal year). Four month comparable period July - October, 1959 - 1960, discloses work load constant and being handled with reduced personnel in prompt and efficient manner.

Effective work of foreign liaison noted with coverage extended to sources in 9 additional countries. Domestic liaison maintained at high level assuring Bureau of access to all levels of government.

Division programs reviewed and found to be justified on continuing basis, generally productive and sound. Close evaluation afforded those in existence substantial period of time. Frequency of reporting procedures on potential clandestine radio operators placed on annual basis instead of semiannual with no reduction in efficiency of coverage. Division directed to maintain record of "Double Agents" developed as result of program to place selected persons in touch with intelligence Agents as factor evaluating results. Program to penetrate Soviet Intelligence Courier System in operation three years to be closely followed and termination considered if continues to be unproductive. Emphasis placed on constant review of programs in order to assure unproductive are dropped in favor of concentrating efforts on productive.

ADMINISTRATIVE OPERATIONS ............... VERY GOOD

Supervisory responsibility clearly fixed with number one men, Section Chiefs and supervisors, with smooth flow of policy instructions and effective teamwork between officials at all levels. Supervision and policy guidance to field adequate and in conformity to Bureau regulations. Survey of correspondence determined citizen inquiry on general security matters handled centrally in Correspondence Unit to maintain consistent policy in reply to public. Inspector instructed all such letters clearly indicating substantive responsibility be assigned substantive desks. Security-type Fraud Against the Government cases handled on one desk in Subversive Control Section. Inspector instructed Division continually evaluate centralized handling for future consideration of realignment if warranted.

Errors in outgoing correspondence for 9 week period total 3 in 1759 pieces of mail for a percentage of .001%. Division directed to stress need for accuracy at all levels of operations. Minor bookkeeping errors noted and corrected in time and attendance records, none affecting fringe benefits. Full utilization of #1 Registers in Front Office instituted by allowing entries for more than one day. Resultant saving of space and feasibility of extending to other areas of Bureau to be discussed at Monthly Personnel Conference in 90 days. Odd-hour shifts fully justified and limited to necessary around-the-clock coverage of investigative responsibilities.
Memo to Mr. Mohr  
Re: Inspection - Domestic Intelligence Division  

No discrepancies noted in division inventory, however, inconsistency of clerks and Agents' handling this assignment in various sections observed and corrected. System of clerk being assigned this duty in each section under supervision of #1 Man installed as well as maintenance of records in uniform manner. Division alert to economy in operations as demonstrated by approved acquisition of Xerox machine which should save $8,000 a year in necessary copying of records.  

Constant evaluation of Defense Plans demonstrated, recommendation made and placed into effect that will insure the keeping of "chain of command" up to date by arranging for Movement Unit to advise immediately of personnel changes.  

Inspector examined "JUNE" files (Division record of highly confidential techniques) and recommended purge of administrative and form-type serials after review by Division to determine serials falling in this category. Mr. Belmont agreed and present purge plan estimates in excess of 50% records space saving. Division also alerted to possibility of microfilming.  

Tight administrative controls on mail covers justified and insure compliance with existing regulations and policy. Inspector recommended and Division agreed to extend re-authorization period to every six months in "sleeper type" cases rather than every 30-day basis to reduce paper work without affecting control. Recommendation adopted to destroy mail cover index cards for inactive files 2 or more years old.  

Instruction folder for extra duty supervisor reviewed and recommendation for administrative change adopted to fix responsibility for up-to-date instructions.  

Combined production of stenographers and typists 2.21 pages per hour, considered satisfactory in view of high percentage inexperienced personnel. Division instructed to continue intensive training program to maintain satisfactory level of production and reduce error factor. Retypes due to Agent error or change 5.29% (February, 1959 - 4.4%). Inspector instructed need for better preparation and correction of faulty dictation practices be continually stressed to reduce error.  

PERSONNEL MATTERS . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . VERY GOOD  

Training of Agent personnel in all sections, primarily on-the-job type, is adequate and effective. Agent and clerical conferences monitored, covered timely topics and considered constructive. Through observation and interview of numerous employees every indication of solid teamwork operation and high employee interest and enthusiasm. No indication of factions, cliques or favoritism. Morale found to be high. Personnel considered adequate but not excessive consistent with evaluation.
Memo to Mr. Mohr
Re: Inspection - Domestic Intelligence Division

of work in each unit and section as well as Division-wide basis. Personnel needs are matter of continued evaluation within Division.

Voluntary overtime for period August, September, October, 1960, averaged 2 hours 34 minutes and determined to be adequate and productive with work load equitably shared. FBIRA membership 99% with division continuing effort for 100%. Need for improvement in number of contributions to Investigator stressed. All Agents within desirable weight limits. All Agents available during availability check. Three Agents on limited duty followed on 60-day basis by Administrative Division considered justified.

Qualified personnel are considered and advanced to positions of greater responsibility consistent with Bureau’s need. Incentive award and commendation programs considered satisfactory, with 38 incentive awards and 415 commendations for Agents and clerks since recheck inspection. Inspector suggested commendation letters to clerical personnel generally be presented by section chief with oral congratulations - Division agreed. July - October, 1960, figures reveal Division with 5.9% of personnel at Seat of Government has contributed 23.1% of total suggestions. Promotional availability list maintained and discussed at clerical conference yearly.

Exit interviews of clerical employees spot checked and handled satisfactorily. Inspector instructed Assistant Director or person acting in his absence conduct Agent exit interviews. No abuses noted in spot checks of employee rest periods. Division funds audited and found to be kept in accordance with Bureau regulations. Spot checks of work boxes and desks located, no notes over 90 days. Sick leave properly taken and no indication privilege abused. One supervisor instructed to execute certification of past safe driving record (FD-289) bringing up to date all records relating to personnel qualified to drive Bureau car. Check made of available Agents credentials, badges, revolvers, and identification tags. One Agent instructed to obtain new credentials with up-to-date picture and one Agent to have credentials cleaned. Other property examined considered satisfactory.

CONTACTS ............................................. VERY GOOD

During the period 10/59 - 10/60, the Division has had an aggressive speech program totaling 113 speaking engagements before quality groups, particularly at the Government and university level. Assistant Director Belmont has made seven speeches including a National Strategy Seminar in California. Chief Inspector W. L. Sullivan has gained material acceptance for his speeches in the security field and during the period spoke on 76 occasions. These have all been well received as evidenced by the commendatory response. Three supervisors in Central Research being assigned speeches...
Memo to Mr. Mohr
Re: Inspection - Domestic Intelligence Division

on selective basis because of increased demand and to augment this important program.

Liaison functions of this division are widespread due to the very nature of security work. Mr. Belmont keenly aware of importance of liaison. The Division maintains contacts with all civilian and military Government agencies on a regular basis as well as membership on key strategy committees in the area of national defense. This extends to key international groups such as NATO and through our foreign liaison with key security and police forces of all friendly nations. A review of this program discloses that at all levels, executive, legislative and judicial, liaison representation is effective and timely.

Relations with the Department of Justice were found to be controlled by the Front Office in accordance with Bureau regulations. No areas noted of individual supervisors maintaining unauthorized liaison with Department representatives.
**REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION**

**REPORT**

**E.B.I.**

**GRADE**

**NOTES.**

**MICR**

**1961**

**LAST**

**NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME**

**SIZEO JOSEPH ALEXANDER**

**BRACE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION**

**INSP**

**IDENTIFICATION NO.**

**PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION**

**ANNUAL EXAM**

**DATE OF EXAMINATION**

2/3/61

**SEX**

**M**

**ACE**

**W**

**9. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE**

**MILITARY**

**CIVILIAN**

**12. DATE OF BIRTH**

8/28/10

**13. PLACE OF BIRTH**

Woodstock, Minn.

**14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN**

**15. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS**

**16. OTHER INFORMATION**

**17. RATING OR SPECIALTY**

**CLINICAL EVALUATION**

**NORMAL**

(Enter number of each abnormality in item 34.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>NOSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>SINUSES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>MOUTH AND THROAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>EARS—GENERAL (Auditory acuity under items 70 and 71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>DRUMS (Perforation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>EYES—GENERAL (Visual acuity and refraction under items 67, 68 and 69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>OPHTHALMOSCOPIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>PUPILS (Equality and reaction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, cycloidism)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>LUNGS AND CHEST (Include breasts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicities, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernias)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>ANUS AND RECTUM (Hemorrhoids, abscesses, fissure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>ENDOCRINE SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>G-U SYSTEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>FEET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>LOWER EXTREMITIES (Skeletal deformities, range of motion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>IDENTIFYING BODY MARKS, SCARS, TATTOOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>SKIN, LYMPHATICS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>PSYCHIATRIC (Specify any personality deviation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>PELVIC (Females only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>DENTAL (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.</td>
<td>CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.</td>
<td>SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48.</td>
<td>EKG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.</td>
<td>BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.</td>
<td>OTHER TEST</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51. HEIGHT</td>
<td>52. WEIGHT</td>
<td>53. COLOR HAIR</td>
<td>54. COLOR EYES</td>
<td>55. BUILD</td>
<td>56. TEMPERATURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td></td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)</td>
<td>58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. SITTING</td>
<td>B. RECLINING</td>
<td>C. STANDING</td>
<td>A. SITTING</td>
<td>B. AFTER EXERCISE</td>
<td>C. 2 MIN. AFTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYS. 130</td>
<td>DIAS. 82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>59. DISTANT VISION</td>
<td>60. REFRACTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT 30</td>
<td>CORR. TO 20</td>
<td>B.Y.</td>
<td>OX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEFT 20</td>
<td>CORR. TO 20</td>
<td>B.Y.</td>
<td>OX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61. NEAR VISION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT</td>
<td>CORR. TO 20/15</td>
<td>B.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEFT</td>
<td>CORR. TO 20/10</td>
<td>B.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES</td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>R. H.</td>
<td>L. H.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63. ACCOMMODATION</td>
<td>64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)</td>
<td>65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT</td>
<td>LEFT</td>
<td>AOC 1406</td>
<td>18/18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66. FIELD OF VISION</td>
<td>67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)</td>
<td>68. RED LENS TEST</td>
<td>69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70. HEARING</td>
<td>71. AUDIOMETER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT WV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEFT WV</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR (Tests used and score)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NOTES (Continued AND SIGNIFICANT OR INTERVAL HISTORY)

Ms. Smith 20 EENT last year, when vision for some, recommended against U.S. work. Correction, unless vision gets worse. 2/21/61.

### 74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

### 75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

Cardiac consult requested

### 76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PUL</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 77. EXAMINEE (Check)

A. □ IS QUALIFIED FOR
B. □ IS NOT QUALIFIED FOR

### 78. IF NOT QUALIFIED, LIST DISQUALIFYING DEFECTS BY ITEM NUMBER

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>79. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 79. AUTHORITY

[Signature]

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(5) 26/1/59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 80. NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS

[Signature]

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1957 o-432989
REPORT OF MEDICAL HISTORY

This information is for official use only and will not be released to unauthorized persons.

F.B.I.

1. FAMILY HISTORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RELATION</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>STATE OF HEALTH</th>
<th>IF DEAD, CAUSE OF DEATH</th>
<th>AGE AT DEATH</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>(CHECK EACH ITEM)</th>
<th>RELATION(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FATHER</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Stomach ulcer</td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD TUBERCULOSIS</td>
<td>FATHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOTHER</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD Cancers</td>
<td>MOTHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD DIABETES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROTHERS</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD CANCER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AND</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD KIDNEY TROUBLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SISTERS</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD HEART TROUBLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHILDREN</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD STOMACH TROUBLE</td>
<td>FATHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD RHEUMATISM (Arthritis)</td>
<td>MOTHER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WIFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD ASTHMA, HAY FEVER, HIVES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD EPILEPSY (Fits)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>COMMITTED SUICIDE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BEEN INSANE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. HAVE YOU EVER HAD OR HAVE YOU NOW (Place check at left of each item)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES NO</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES NO</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES NO</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES NO</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCARLET FEVER, Erysipelas</td>
<td></td>
<td>GOTTER</td>
<td></td>
<td>TUMOR, GROWTH, CYST, CANCER</td>
<td></td>
<td>TRICK or LOCKED KNEE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DIPHTHERIA</td>
<td></td>
<td>TUBERCULOSIS</td>
<td></td>
<td>RUPTURE</td>
<td></td>
<td>APPENDICITIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RHEUMATIC FEVER</td>
<td></td>
<td>SOAKING SWEATS (Night sweats)</td>
<td></td>
<td>PILES or RECTAL DISEASE</td>
<td></td>
<td>NEURITIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SWOLLEN OR PAINFUL JOINTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>ASTHMA</td>
<td></td>
<td>FREQUENT or PAINFUL URINATION</td>
<td></td>
<td>EPILEPSY or FITS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MUMPS</td>
<td></td>
<td>SHORTNESS of BREATH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WOEPHOG COUGH</td>
<td></td>
<td>PAIN or PRESSURE in CHEST</td>
<td></td>
<td>KIDNEY STONE or BLOOD IN URINE</td>
<td></td>
<td>CAR, TRAIN, SEA, or AIR SICKNESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FREQUENT or SEVERE HEADACHE</td>
<td></td>
<td>CHRONIC COUGH</td>
<td></td>
<td>SUGAR or ALBUMIN IN URINE</td>
<td></td>
<td>FREQUENT TROUBLE SLEEPING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DIZZINESS or PAINTING SPELLS</td>
<td></td>
<td>PALPITATION or POUNDING HEART</td>
<td></td>
<td>BOILS</td>
<td></td>
<td>FREQUENT or TERRIFYING NIGHTMARES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EYE TROUBLE</td>
<td></td>
<td>HIGH or LOW BLOOD PRESSURE</td>
<td></td>
<td>VENEREAL DISEASE</td>
<td></td>
<td>DEPRESSION or EXCESSIVE WORRY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAR, NOSE or THROAT TROUBLE</td>
<td></td>
<td>CRAMPS in YOUR LEGS</td>
<td></td>
<td>RECENT GAIN or LOSS of WEIGHT</td>
<td></td>
<td>LOSS of MEMORY or Amnesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RUNNING EARS</td>
<td></td>
<td>FREQUENT INDIGESTION</td>
<td></td>
<td>ARTHRITIS or RHEUMATISM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CHRONIC or FREQUENT Colds</td>
<td></td>
<td>STOMACH, LIVER or INTESTINAL TROUBLE</td>
<td></td>
<td>BONE, JOINT, or OTHER DEFORMITY</td>
<td></td>
<td>ANY DRUG or NARCOTIC HABIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SEVERE TOOTH or GUM TROUBLE</td>
<td></td>
<td>GALL BLADDER TROUBLE or GALL STONES</td>
<td></td>
<td>LAMENESS</td>
<td></td>
<td>ANY EXCESSIVE or ADDICTIVE HABIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SINUITIS</td>
<td></td>
<td>JAUNICE</td>
<td></td>
<td>LOSS of ARM, LEG, FINGER, or TOE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HAY FEVER</td>
<td></td>
<td>ANY REACTION to SERUM, DRUG or MEDICINE</td>
<td></td>
<td>PAINFUL or &quot;TRICK&quot; SHOULDER or ELBOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. HAVE YOU EVER (Check each item)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES NO</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES NO</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
<th>YES NO</th>
<th>(Check each item)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WORN GLASSES</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATTEMPTED SUICIDE</td>
<td></td>
<td>BEEN PREGNANT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WORN AN ARTIFICIAL EYE</td>
<td></td>
<td>BEEN a SLEEP WALKER</td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD a VAGINAL DISCHARGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WORN HEARING AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td>LIVED with ANYONE who HAS TUBERCULOSIS</td>
<td></td>
<td>BEEN TREATED for a FEMALE DISORDER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STUTTERED or STAMMERED</td>
<td></td>
<td>COUGHED UP BLOOD</td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD PAINFUL MENSTRUATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WORN A BRACE or BACK SUPPORT</td>
<td></td>
<td>BLEED EXCESSIVELY after INJURY or TOOTH EXTRACTION</td>
<td></td>
<td>HAD IRREGULAR MENSTRUATION</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23. HOW MANY JOBS have you had in the PAST THREE YEARS?

24. WHAT is the LONGEST PERIOD you held ANY of THESE JOBS? MONTHS

25. WHAT is your USUAL OCCUPATION?

26. ARE YOU (Check one)

[ ] Right Handed  [ ] Left Handed

ENCLOSURE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>CHECK EACH ITEM YES OR NO. EVERY ITEM CHECKED &quot;YES&quot; MUST BE FULLY EXPLAINED IN BLANK SPACE ON RIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑️</td>
<td></td>
<td>27. HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A. SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B. INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C. INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D. OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (If yes, give reasons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28. HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCES?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29. DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH SCHOOL STUDIES OR TEACHERS? (If yes, give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REFUSED EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE OF YOUR HEALTH? (If yes, state reason and give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DENIED LIFE INSURANCE? (If yes, state reason and give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32. HAVE YOU HAD, OR HAVE YOU BEEN ADVISED TO HAVE, ANY OPERATIONS? (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A PATIENT (committed or voluntary) IN A MENTAL HOSPITAL OR SANITORIUM? (If yes, specify when, where, why, and name of doctor, and complete address of hospital or clinic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34. HAVE YOU EVER HAD ANY ILLNESS OR INJURY OTHER THAN THOSE ALREADY NOTED? (If yes, specify when, where, and give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35. HAVE YOU CONSULTED OR BEEN TREATED BY CLINICS, PHYSICIANS, HEALERS, OR OTHER PRACTITIONERS WITHIN THE PAST 5 YEARS? (If yes, give complete address of doctor, hospital, clinic, and details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36. HAVE YOU TREATED YOURSELF FOR ILLNESSES OTHER THAN MINOR Colds? (If yes, which illnesses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REJECTED FOR MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date and reason for rejection)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38. HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DISCHARGED FROM MILITARY SERVICE BECAUSE OF PHYSICAL, MENTAL, OR OTHER REASONS? (If yes, give date, reason, and type of discharge: whether honorable, other than honorable, for unfitness or unsuitability)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39. HAVE YOU EVER RECEIVED, IS THERE PENDING, HAVE YOU APPLIED FOR, OR DO YOU INTEND TO APPLY FOR PENSION OR COMPENSATION FOR EXISTING DISABILITY? (If yes, specify what kind, granted by whom, and what amount, when)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Routine medical contact with family physician.

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE REVIEWED THE FOREGOING INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY ME AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE.

I AUTHORIZE ANY OF THE DOCTORS, HOSPITALS, OR CLINICS MENTIONED ABOVE TO FURNISH THE GOVERNMENT A COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF MY MEDICAL RECORD FOR PURPOSES OF PROCESSING MY APPLICATION FOR THIS EMPLOYMENT OR SERVICE.

TYPE OR PRINTED NAME OF EXAMINEE

JOHNSTON, CAPT. MC

SIGNATURE

40. PHYSICIAN'S SUMMARY AND ELABORATION OF ALL PERTINENT DATA (Physician shall comment on all positive answers in Items 19 thru 38)

No present complaint for symptoms.
This 50 year old Caucasian male was again seen in this clinic because of a change in his electrocardiogram.

He was first seen in 1958 in this clinic again because of electrocardiographic abnormality. At that time a review of his electrocardiograms from 1948 to 1958 showed a decrease in voltage over the anterolateral surface. In 1957 there was definite inversion of the T wave in lead I, AVL, V5 and V6 which was again present on tracings taken on 3/5/58 and 1/14/58. He was then advised to lose weight, maintain physical fitness, and eat a low animal fat diet which he has done well. His electrocardiogram taken this year again showed the same changes that were seen in 1957 and 1958 and he was asked to come back to the clinic.

A careful review of his symptoms has failed to reveal any positive symptomatology. He specifically denied shortness of breath, angina, crural angina, paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnea, orthopnea, or edema. He leads a moderately active life but with some limitations away from severe strenuous activity. His job in the FBI is basically that of desk work and he is not actively involved in field work.

A review of his family history reveals that his father died at the age of 65 of peptic ulcer, and his mother is 76 alive and well. He has 3 brothers all slightly younger than him who are alive and well. He denied any family history of heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, or gout. The remainder of the historical data was not contributory.

(Continued on reverse side)
Physical examination revealed a well-developed, well-nourished, Caucasian male who was in no distress. He appeared 15 years younger than his stated age. The pulse was 80 and regular and the blood pressures were 140/86 in both arms and were higher in the legs. There was no clubbing, edema or cyanosis. The thyroid was normal and the lungs were clear. Examination of the heart was entirely normal. It was not clinically enlarged and the R wave was in the normal position and of normal intensity. The rhythm was normal sinus. The second sound in the aortic area was greater in intensity than the second sound in the pulmonic area which was normally split. There were no murmurs, rubs, lifts, thrusts, or thrills. No gallop was heard. The second pulmonic sound was physiologically split. There was no clubbing, edema or cyanosis. The peripheral pulses were of good intensity bilaterally and were without bruits. No other vascular bruits were heard. Palpation of the temporal arteries revealed that they were normal.

Electrocardiogram shows a right ventricular conduction delay and T wave changes that are biphasic in I, inverted in AVL, and diphasic in V4 and inverted in V5 and V6. It is again emphasized that these changes have been present since 1956 and are basically unchanged though some tracings in the interim have reverted more toward normal.

Chest x-ray examination was normal.

Impression:
Arteriosclerotic heart disease that is asymptomatic.

Discussion: Certainly Mr. Sizoo is entirely asymptomatic. His only abnormality is one of an electrocardiographic abnormality from the clinical point of view. He has led a normal life and is without any severe symptoms. He has, however, avoided extremes of exertion. It is felt that he has a very mature and intelligent approach to this disease process and that he need do nothing more. We must again discuss the necessity of physical fitness, maintaining one’s weight, and the low fat diet was again emphasized to him. It is felt that certainly his level of activity in his job is not detrimental and the fact that the electrocardiographic changes have been present for so long without any symptoms speaks for the relative benignity of the process in Mr. Sizoo’s case. Careful attention was paid historically to times of electrocardiograms being taken in relation to meals or the fasting state, however, this was unproductive of any positive definite information that one could explain the T wave changes on that basis.

VNH/hob
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHECK (X) EXAM. REQUESTED</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
<th>CHECK (x) EXAM. REQUESTED</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
<th>CHECK (x) EXAM. REQUESTED</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. P. N.</td>
<td></td>
<td>UREA, N.</td>
<td></td>
<td>GLUCOSE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>URIC ACID</td>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL CHOLESTEROL</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHOLESTEROL ESTER</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OTHER TESTS OR EXAMINATIONS (Specify)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REMARKS:**

**DATE OF REQUEST:** Feb 61

**FEB 7**

**REPORT MADE BY:** [Initials]

**NAME OF HOSPITAL OR OTHER MEDICAL FACILITY:**

**BLOOD CHEMISTRY**

**ENCLOSURE**
Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner

Name of Examinee

Sizoo Joseph Alexander

(Type or print)

Last First Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2 62
3 65
4 67
9 68
11 69
14 72
17 76

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.

48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.

49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.

71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible.

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee [✓] is [ ] is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

[✓] No [ ] Yes If "yes" please specify defects.

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

[✓] No [ ] Yes If "yes" please specify defects.

If examinee has defective vision, should he wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle? [ ] Yes [✓] No
Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Small Frame</th>
<th>Medium Frame</th>
<th>Large Frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5' 4&quot;</td>
<td>117 - 125</td>
<td>123 - 135</td>
<td>131 - 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 5&quot;</td>
<td>120 - 129</td>
<td>126 - 139</td>
<td>134 - 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 6&quot;</td>
<td>124 - 133</td>
<td>130 - 143</td>
<td>138 - 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 7&quot;</td>
<td>128 - 137</td>
<td>134 - 148</td>
<td>143 - 162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 8&quot;</td>
<td>132 - 141</td>
<td>138 - 152</td>
<td>147 - 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 9&quot;</td>
<td>136 - 146</td>
<td>142 - 156</td>
<td>151 - 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 10&quot;</td>
<td>140 - 150</td>
<td>146 - 161</td>
<td>155 - 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 11&quot;</td>
<td>144 - 154</td>
<td>150 - 166</td>
<td>160 - 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6'</td>
<td>148 - 158</td>
<td>154 - 171</td>
<td>164 - 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 1&quot;</td>
<td>152 - 163</td>
<td>158 - 176</td>
<td>169 - 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 2&quot;</td>
<td>156 - 167</td>
<td>163 - 181</td>
<td>174 - 195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 3&quot;</td>
<td>160 - 171</td>
<td>168 - 186</td>
<td>178 - 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 4&quot;</td>
<td>169 - 180</td>
<td>178 - 196</td>
<td>188 - 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 5&quot;</td>
<td>174 - 185</td>
<td>182 - 202</td>
<td>192 - 216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Examinee’s frame is □ small    □ medium    □ large

4. Considering above weight table, the examinee’s frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight □ Satisfactory    □ Excessive    □ Deficient

5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should □ lose ______ pounds
   □ gain ______ pounds

Remarks: ____________________________________________________________

(Signature of Medical Examiner)

FEB 15 1961
(Date)
Memorandum

TO: Mr. A. H. Belmont
FROM: Mr. F. J. Baumgardner
SUBJECT: SOLO
INTERNAL SECURITY - C

This memorandum is to recommend incentive awards in connection with Solo Mission Number 8.

SYNOPSIS:

Solo Mission Number 8 was an unusual and outstanding success. CG 5824-S* was in Moscow, Russia, for almost three months during which time he surreptitiously obtained an extremely valuable, highly secret document issued by the Soviet Union dated 11-5-60 which sets out point-by-point the deep-seated ideological differences existing between Russia and Red China. Previously unknown conflicts on matters of state between Red China and Russia are also contained in this document. The Secretary of State and the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, in a total of four recent letters to the Director, have praised highly the valuable intelligence information developed by our informant on this mission.

CG 5824-S*, as a representative of the Communist Party, USA (CPUSA), attended secret meetings convened in the Kremlin by the Communist Party of the Soviet Union (CPSU) along with representatives of all communist parties of the world. The proceedings at these meetings in plotting world domination were covered completely by our informant. As a result, we possess voluminous detailed accounts of daily occurrences for the three months these meetings were held. Other unusual accomplishments of this mission include: private remarks of Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev on 10-22 and 12-4-60; consultations with top Soviet officials which determined that Soviet troops were used to drive Chinese communists from Russian territory; and the discovery of the existence of an international cadre training school currently being held in Moscow.

This Solo operation has provided the United States Government with extensive valuable detailed intelligence information and our ability to expeditiously disseminate information of this type to high-level Government officials keeps the Bureau in the forefront as the outstanding intelligence agency in the world.

Enc.

100-428091
JWL:kmd
(7)
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RE: SOLO
100-428091

The SAC, Chicago, by letter dated 2-6-61, recommends:
incentive awards of $1,000 each for Special Agents Carl N. Freyman
(field supervisor) and John E. Keating (Agent handling informant) for
sustained above-average performance and for performance which has
involved the overcoming of unusual difficulties in connection with
this mission: incentive awards of $125 each for stenographers [ ]
and [ ] for sustained above-average performance
in taking unusually complicated dictation on material furnished by this
informant which was accurately and rapidly transcribed; a personal
letter of commendation for Special Agent Richard W. Hansen (understudy
of Special Agent Keating who is now handling the informant) for his
excellent assistance in connection with this mission; and personal
letters of commendation for stenographers [ ] and [ ]
for their excellent over-all assistance in handling the high
volume of material produced by this operation. In addition, he recom-
mended an award of $1,000 for CG 5824-S* for extraordinary services and
accomplishments on Solo Mission 8 along with a letter of appreciation
from the Director to the informant. This letter is to be delivered to
the informant by the SAC, Chicago, for perusal and then returned to the
Chicago Office and retained in the safe. It was also recommended that
consideration be given for inclusion of NY 694-S* in the over-all
incentive awards due to the unit operation of NY 694-S* with CG 5824-S*.
(We do not agree with this last recommendation.)

OBSERVATIONS:

1. The outstanding information developed as a result of Solo
Mission 8 is due in large extent to the excellent and careful planning
of this operation both in the field and at the Seat of Government.
Prior to the informant's departure on this mission, the Seat of Govern-
ment prepared specific targets for the informant to accomplish which
were instrumental in our obtaining this outstanding and detailed
information.

2. This information is of inestimable value to the Bureau
and to the Government not only from an intelligence standpoint, but also
in assisting the President and the Secretary of State in determining
what our country's current and future relationships will be with the
Soviet Union, Red China, Latin-American nations and satellite countries.
This high volume of detailed information was not available through any
other sources, it has not been obtained to this extent by any other
intelligence agency, and represents a most outstanding achievement by
the FBI.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
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3. Our informant has been invited to attend the 22nd Congress of the CPSU in Moscow during the latter part of 1961. This fact, plus contacts of our informant with representatives of communist parties of the entire world, assures our continual receipt of up-to-date high-level intelligence data.

4. All information developed from this operation has been disseminated on a top secret basis to top officials of the Government and to appropriate Government intelligence agencies. A recent news release indicates that all United States relations with the Soviet Union and Red China, including the possible meeting between President Kennedy and Soviet Premier Khrushchev, will be against the background of the schism between the Soviets and the Red Chinese. The documents obtained by this operation have supplied them with the intelligence data to properly evaluate the Sino-Soviet dispute.

RECOMMENDATIONS OF DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION:

With regard to the foregoing recommendations of the SAC, Chicago, the Domestic Intelligence Division recommends:

1. That Special Agents Carl N. Freyman and John E. Keating be afforded recognition in the form of incentive awards. It is felt, however, that the recommendation of the SAC, Chicago, in the amount of $1,000 each is rather high and that a more appropriate figure would be $250 each.

2. That stenographers and be afforded incentive awards in the amount of $125 each as recommended by the SAC, Chicago.

3. That personal letters of commendation be afforded Special Agent Richard W. Hansen and stenographers and as recommended by the SAC, Chicago.
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4. That a personal letter of commendation over the Director's signature be directed to CG 5824-S*, this letter to be delivered to the informant by the SAC, Chicago, for perusal and then returned to the Chicago Office and retained in the safe.

5. That CG 5824-S* be afforded an award in the amount of $1,000. This recommendation, above and beyond his usual remuneration, is warranted due to unusual danger to the informant's life while he was in the Kremlin for an extended period of time. It is noted that on this mission the informant suffered an injury to his hand. He accepted limited treatment from Russian doctors but refused an operation because he feared he would talk under an anesthetic and reveal his connection with the FBI. This medical neglect resulted in an infection which has required considerable treatment since the informant's return to this country.

6. That NY 694-S* not receive an incentive award at this time as he did not participate directly in Solo Mission Number 8. He received an award as a result of the fourth Solo mission, which he made. In connection with the unit operation of NY 694-S* and CG 5824-S*, NY 694-S* recently was afforded an increase of $100 a month in his regular monthly remuneration.

ACTION:

1. Attached for your approval is an appropriate communication to the SAC, Chicago, which encloses a personal letter of commendation from the Director to CG 5824-S*. Appropriate instructions are
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont  
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included regarding the manner in which this communication should be made available to the informant. Instructions are also included to afford the informant a cash award of $1,000.

2. This over-all memorandum should be forwarded to the Administrative Division for handling relative to the above recommendations for incentive awards and personal commendations.

ADDENDUM:  
February 15, 1961  

SEAT OF GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL  

Supervisory Personnel:  

This case is supervised in the Communist Party, USA (CPUSA) Unit of the Internal Security Section. SA presently supervises this case in the unit. The eighth Solo mission, lasting almost three months, resulted in the obtaining of voluminous and exceedingly valuable information of vital importance to the Bureau and other agencies of the Federal Government. SA also made major contributions to the outstanding success of this mission by analyzing, digesting, evaluating, synopsizing and disseminating pertinent data obtained to high-ranking officials of the Government under a "Top Secret" classification. These concise, comprehensive, accurate and informative dissemination memoranda have provided the Bureau and top officials of the Government with a succinct analysis and a clear-cut picture of the Sino-Soviet dispute. Without such a presentation of this material, the whole operation would not have been such an outstanding success. CIA Director Allen W. Dulles in his letter of 1/25/61 commended those "special agents who handled in such an excellent manner the debriefing and processing of the intelligence produced by this source." SA excellent judgment in the handling of this eighth Solo mission, both at the Seat of Government and in his instructions to the field, assured the complete success of this operation. In view
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RE: SOLO
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ADDENDUM (continued)

of Agent __________ meritorious contribution to the outstanding success of this eighth Solo trip, it is recommended that he be granted an incentive award in the amount of $125.

SA Frederick F. Fox is the Supervisor in Charge of the CPUSA Unit and this case was assigned to him up until 12/9/60. He personally supervised the innumerable details which preceded Solo Operation 8 which commenced 9/22/60. SA Fox coordinated the preparation of specific targets for the informant on this Solo trip which, to a large extent, resulted in the successful completion of the mission. He has followed this case closely on a day-to-day basis and has exercised outstanding judgment to insure that proper action was taken at each stage. During Solo Mission 8, SA Fox, as Supervisor in Charge of the Unit, gave direction and guidance to this case which culminated in a very successful operation. He conferred and collaborated with SA ________ in the handling of current problems as they arose in connection with this eighth Solo trip, as well as assisting in the preparation and dissemination of material in conjunction therewith. His knowledge of Marxism-Leninism and his knowledge of the activities of the CPUSA on a national and international level are necessary attributes to the successful completion of a major operation of this kind. Because of such knowledge, SA Fox has very ably discharged his duties, not only in conjunction with this Solo operation, but in the correlation of material received concerning the activities of communist parties throughout the world with other sections of the Bureau handling the particular country involved. In view of the alert, aggressive over-all supervision afforded this case by SA Fox, it is recommended he be granted an incentive award of $125.

Clerical Personnel:

In addition, ____________ who serves in the capacity of Secretary to the CPUSA Unit, has contributed outstanding services which in no small way have been responsible for the ultimate success of Solo Mission 8. She has handled all stenographic operations incidental to the mission in a very capable manner and has been responsible for preparing the high-level dissemination made in connection therewith. All information disseminated to top officials of the Government had to be letter-perfect. She has consistently displayed an outstanding cooperative attitude and on several occasions voluntarily worked long hours beyond her regular workday in order to handle the volume of dictation. ____________ has shown expert ability
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
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ADDENDUM (continued)

in taking the necessary complicated dictation and her work has been completely accurate. This is specifically noteworthy in view of the difficult names and difficult Marxist terms which were necessarily used in the material. It is recommended, therefore, that outstanding services be recognized and she be afforded an incentive award in the amount of $100.

who serves in a clerical capacity to the CPUSA Unit, has handled the Bureau special Solo file for the past four Solo missions. She has carried out her duties in an excellent manner by assisting in the preparation of an index after the conclusion of each mission. These indexes provide a ready reference to the names and type of information contained in the voluminous material that is received after the termination of each trip. also digests material contained in the file and furnishes it orally to other sections of the Bureau when a request is made by personnel of another section for material contained therein. She has willingly offered her services and has voluntarily worked overtime to assist the unit in carrying out its responsibilities during times of peak volume. Her attitude and interest in her work are commendatory. In view of excellent services in connection with the Solo operation, it is recommended that she be given a letter of commendation.

ADDENDUM February 15, 1961

In addition, I recommend that Inspector Joseph A. Sizoo and Section Chief Fred J. Baumgardner be afforded individual letters of commendation. Baumgardner, as the Section Chief, had the over-all responsibility for this operation. Throughout the entire eight Solo missions, he performed with a high degree of efficiency and continually made suggestions and took action at the appropriate time which insured the success of the informant's mission.

Inspector Joseph A. Sizoo kept close check on this operation from the start to the finish. When the occasion demanded, he discussed this matter with the SAC at Chicago over the telephone and issued instructions and ironed out problems in connection with the over-all operation. He likewise issued telephonic instructions to our New York Office and performed with a high degree of efficiency throughout the operation in such a manner as to contribute in no small measure to its over-all success.

- 5b -
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DETAILS:
The SAC, Chicago, by letter dated 2-6-61, recommends: incentive awards of $1,000 each for Special Agents Carl N. Freyman (field supervisor) and John E. Keating (Agent handling informant) for sustained above-average performance and for performance which has involved the overcoming of unusual difficulties in connection with this mission: incentive awards of $125 each for stenographers and for sustained above-average performance in taking unusually complicated dictation on material furnished by this informant which was accurately and rapidly transcribed; a personal letter of commendation for Special Agent Richard W. Hansen (understudy of Special Agent Keating who is now handling the informant) for his excellent assistance in connection with this mission: and personal letters of commendation for stenographers and for their excellent over-all assistance in handling the high volume of material produced by this operation. In addition, he recommended an award of $1,000 for CG 5824-S* for extraordinary services and accomplishments on Solo Mission 8 along with a letter of appreciation from the Director to the informant. This letter is to be delivered to the informant by the SAC, Chicago, for perusal and then returned to the Chicago Office and retained in the safe. It was also recommended that consideration be given for inclusion of NY 694-S* in the over-all incentive awards due to the unit operation of NY 694-S* with CG 5824-S*.
(We do not agree with this last recommendation.)

The Solo operation pertains to liaison activities of CG 5824-S* between the CPUSA and the CP's of the Soviet Union, Red China and other Iron Curtain nations.

Solo Mission 8 was unusual and most outstanding in successful achievement. CG 5824-S* departed for Moscow on 9-22-60 with credentials issued by Gus Hall, General Secretary of the CPUSA, identifying the informant as the Chairman of the CPUSA delegation to the November meeting of communist parties in Moscow. He returned to the United States on 12-17-60. The November meeting was preceded by a four-week meeting of an Editorial Commission of representatives of 26 communist and workers' parties and during this meeting the informant was the keeper of the records. Through planning, it was possible for the informant to prepare for this trip by inserting secret pockets in his clothing. This later proved of great assistance when the informant was successful in surreptitiously obtaining a 121-page secret document issued by the Soviet Union dated 11-5-60. This document sets out point-by-point the deep-seated ideological differences existing between the Soviet Union and Communist China. It also contains extensive information on previously unknown conflicts regarding matters of state between Red China and Russia. The informant, by working long hours at night, meticulously
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wrote out in longhand the essence and in many cases exact verbiage of this document. It is believed that this memorandum is the only one in existence in the United States on this highly important subject matter. Among the other items of valuable information obtained on this trip were the following:

1. List of names and positions of representatives of 26 communist parties of the world represented at the October, 1960, meeting and a list of the names and positions of representatives of the 81 communist parties at the November, 1960, meeting. In most cases the informant met with heads of delegations at these conferences and discussed matters of interest in the intelligence field.

2. Furnished details of 21 out of 78 speeches which were made during the three-month period.

3. Furnished the essence of remarks made by Nikita Khrushchev on 10-22-60 and remarks made on another occasion on 12-4-60.

4. Furnished details of an extremely important five-day meeting in Moscow of leaders of the communist parties of Latin-American countries dealing with such items as assistance to Cuba in the case of invasion of Cuba by the United States.

5. Furnished results of contacts in Moscow with representatives from nearly all the trouble spots in the world, including China, Cuba, West Berlin, Korea, Japan and India.

6. Obtained information concerning the existence of an international cadre training school currently being held in Moscow.

7. Through personal consultations with top Soviet officials, determined that Soviet troops were used to drive Chinese communists from Russian territory sometime prior to August, 1960.

8. Furnished details concerning arrangements of financial support by the CPSU for the CPUSA.

9. Arranged future meetings with Vladimir Barkovsky, Counsel, Soviet Delegation to the United Nations in the United States, for the purpose of passage of information and transmittal of Soviet funds to the CPUSA. On 2-12-61 $88,000 was passed to the CPUSA by Barkovsky as a result of this arrangement.

The above examples certainly indicate the extraordinary value of the information developed by our informant during this eighth Solo mission. It is impossible to put a money value on the information received as this type of information is not available through any other source. This information, which has been disseminated on a high level, will certainly enhance the Bureau's reputation as the world's leading intelligence agency.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont  
RE: SOLO  
100-428091

Role of Special Agent Keating

Special Agent Keating, by continued sustained outstanding performance, guidance, encouragement and ingenious preparation of CG 5824-S* on a day-to-day basis as the Agent handling the informant, has contributed immensely to the outstanding results derived from Solo Mission 8. Preparation for this mission began in July, 1960, when the informant returned to this country from Moscow upon instructions from the Russians. The informant's mission that month was cut short since the Russians desired that the informant immediately solicit the support of the CPUSA in behalf of the CPSU in its ideological differences with the CPC. This mission was concluded at the end of January, 1961, after the informant had been exhaustively interviewed to record the highly valuable intelligence information. Outstanding performance by Special Agent Keating was also evidenced by his analysis and channelization of the information. Successful guidance of this informant on a daily basis seven days a week is extremely taxing on the ingenuity and capabilities of the handling Agent. This is due to the top positions this informant occupies in the CPUSA which include being a member of the National Committee, Secretary of the International Affairs Committee and Chairman of the Control Commission. The outstanding and continued high productivity of this informant is possible to a large extent because of daily encouragement which is necessary for him to continue his dual role in life. The unusual strained conditions under which the informant operates are recognized, shared and effectively coped with by the handling Agent in order to assure that this operation remains successful.

Unusual problems have developed in the operation of CG 5824-S*; however, by Special Agent Keating's being intimately involved in this operation, the problems have been overcome. These problems include obtaining documents, credentials, transportation, special clothing with secret pockets and setting up a communication apparatus. Special Agent Keating was able to keep up the informant's morale and furnish him with good advice on personal problems due to the death of his wife. There has been a constant daily problem of keeping the over-all operation of CG 5824-S* going during the past year because the informant is getting older and because his health has not improved. Special Agent Keating has made numerous personal sacrifices during this period by performing day and night services to the informant and to the Bureau to assure that maximum benefit from this operation was derived for the Bureau. Extended trips to New York City and other cities requiring extended stays at expensive hotels caused Special Agent Keating to incur expenses in excess of per diem allowed by a considerable amount.
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Role of Special Agent Freyman

CG 5824-S* was originally developed by Special Agent Freyman. Since he became a field supervisor, he has continued to supervise the over-all operation of this informant. He has continued with contacts of the informant, guiding the informant and over-all supervision of CG 5824-S*. On a daily basis he has conferred and collaborated with Special Agent Keating in connection with problems which arose in the handling and guiding of the informant and his activities.

Supervisor Freyman has made a major contribution to giving the over-all operation the continuity which is necessary for such a major operation. He has developed an outstanding interest and knowledge of Marxism and Leninism which was essential to the continuous program of advancing and operating this informant at the highest possible national and international level.

During this mission the initiative and physical resources of Special Agent Freyman were taxed to an even greater degree than in previous periods due to the more complex nature of the operation and to the many new problems which arose. The supervision of an operation of this intensity, magnitude and duration requires constant attention and planning.

With the return of the informant from the eighth Solo mission, Supervisor Freyman had to handle a large volume of difficult information which required seven days a week work. The material brought back by the informant necessitated a broad knowledge of the identity, position and spelling of the names of practically each international communist leader in the world. Supervisor Freyman has performed his responsibilities in an exemplary manner and at a personal sacrifice.

Role of was one of the two stenographers to handle material derived from this Solo operation and from regular information furnished by CG 5824-S*. On most occasions during this period she was working under pressure as time was of the essence in getting out voluminous difficult stenographic material involving a multitude of difficult foreign names, including Chinese, Russian and Slavic, and accurately transcribing this information. During this Solo mission this stenographer and another, over a period of 30 days, accurately and outstandingly handled the bulk of the typing and preparation of material in excess of 400 pages.
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Much of the material obtained and dictation taken was in the evening and on weekends. [name] has demonstrated an outstanding willingness to work long hours in order that the project which she was responsible for during this period would be completed in the shortest possible time. She was required to take dictation away from the office from the informant directly for as much as ten hours at a time.

Role of [name]

[name], during this Solo mission, has handled a tremendous volume of material in addition to regular material received from CG 5824-S*. She has been one of the two stenographers who shared the assignment for the handling of the information obtained by this informant. She has taken the majority of the dictation relating to this mission. Tremendous demands were made on this stenographer, including full-time assignment, irregular hours, expeditious handling of information received, absolute accuracy and the necessity for transcribing extensive and difficult foreign names.

[name] volunteered for this assignment and during the entire time has been faithful and has worked hard to meet the responsibilities of the job. She has worked evenings on many occasions during the period. On the recent Solo mission she prepared her fair share of some 400 pages of perfect copy material. Her work was of a quality which lends credit to the Bureau's over-all work. It is noted that [name] voluntarily gave up an extended Christmas holiday vacation in order to be available and fulfill her commitments to handle the December, 1960, and January, 1961, Solo operation material.

Role of Special Agent Hansen

During this last operation, Special Agent Hansen was the understudy for Special Agent Keating, who was under transfer to the Bureau. He devoted a great deal of his personal time, including weekends and nights, in order to learn what would be expected of him in the future handling of this informant. In addition, he made a number of valuable suggestions and his over-all contributions to the operation merit a letter of commendation. He has intelligently and enthusiastically tackled his new assignment and has been making an excellent effort to learn all the many ramifications.

Role of [name] and [name]

[name] and [name] during the past Solo mission, has taken dictation from Special Agent Keating on many occasions when all of the stenographers were occupied with other work or when Special Agent Keating
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had to get out numerous expedite materials either late in the afternoon or early in the evening. She has always been considered one of the expert stenographers in the Chicago Office in the handling of Solo material and has always been most cooperative. She has continued to be solely responsible for the maintenance and the handling of the Solo files which are maintained by the SAC. During Solo Mission 8, ________ spent a weekend taking dictation from CG 5824-S* in a hotel in order to expedite dissemination.

________ has only recently undertaken responsibility for dictation on Solo matters and for other dictation from CG 5824-S*. During the past six weeks when other stenographers were engaged in handling Solo dictation, ________ willingly gave up her time to take extensive dictation from CG 5824-S* relating to day-to-day local and national matters afforded by this informant. She has volunteered for this new assignment and has shown an excellent attitude in her acceptance of this responsibility. Her work has been neat and accurate.

The performance of ________ and the performance of ________ warrant a personal letter of commendation for each.
TO: Mr. Callahan
FROM: C. R. Davidson
DATE: February 20, 1961

SUBJECT: SOLO INTERNAL SECURITY - C INCENTIVE AWARD AND COMMEMORATION MATTER

The attached detailed memorandum from Mr. F. J. Baumgardner to Mr. Belmont sets forth background, development and accomplishments of the SOLO case with emphasis on SOLO mission #8. In connection therewith, Domestic Intelligence Division recommended the following: For Chicago personnel; $250 incentive awards for SA Keating and Supervisor Freyman, $125 incentive awards for stenographers and individual commendations for SA Hansen and stenographers and For SOG personnel; $125 incentive awards for Supervisors Fox and $100 incentive award for Secretary individual commendations for Clerk Section Chief Baumgardner and Inspector Sizoo. For informant, personal note from the Director and $1000 bonus.

The SOLO operation pertains to liaison activities of CG 5824-S* between Communist Party-USA and Communist Parties of Soviet Union, Red China, Cuba and Satellite nations. SOLO mission #8 covers informant's trip to Moscow from 9-22-60 to 12-17-60. Information obtained by him is considered unusually outstanding to Bureau and other Government agencies and has brought high praise from Secretary of State and Director of Central Intelligence Agency. This operation has provided extensive highly valuable intelligence information which has been expeditiously disseminated and serves to keep Bureau in forefront as the outstanding intelligence agency of the world.

Based on information submitted it is apparent that many unusual difficulties were overcome and exemplary performances of personnel involved warrant special recognition. SA Keating personally guided and directed informant in making all preparation for trip and debriefing him on return to United States. Supervisor Freyman supervised operation from field level and personally assisted in guiding and directing informant during preparation for and debriefing after trip. Stenographers and performed in sustained above-average manner in taking unusually complicated dictation from agents and directly from informant including duty at night and on weekends. Stenographers and provided excellent assistance in handling high volume of complicated material produced during operation. SA Hansen was most effective as understudy for SA Keating who has been transferred to the Seat of Government and is now considered qualified to handle informant alone. SOG Supervisor Fox handled case assignment at Bureau until 12-9-60 and gave direction and guidance to field during preparation of informant for trip. After case reassigned, he supervised preparation and

Enclosures
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dissemination of information to other agencies. Supervisor handled case assignment since 12-9-60 and on subject's return to the United States made major contributions to outstanding success of the operation through analyzing, digesting, evaluating and disseminating data to other Government agencies. Secretary Osborne handled all stenographic duties incidental to mission in a most capable manner and on several occasions worked many hours beyond regular work day in order to handle the volume of complicated dictation. Clerk assisted in preparation of index of all material obtained by informant in excellent manner which has contributed to success of entire operation. Section Chief Baumgardner had over-all responsibility for SOLO operations and performed with high degree of efficiency and made many suggestions and took action at appropriate times which insured success of informant's mission. Inspector Sizoo kept close check on operation and handled telephonic instructions with Chicago and New York Offices with high degree of efficiency which contributed to over-all success.

BUREAU RECORDS:

SA Keating EOD as SA 9-20-48, Grade GS 13, $11,415. During past 3 years services satisfactory with no censures, two commendations and two commendations through his SAC. Received $500 cash awards on 9-12-58 and 12-18-59 for his handling of above informant. Rated Excellent 1960 annual performance rating. Completely available, weight within desirable limits and overtime above office average five of past six months. Transferred to the Seat of Government and reported for assignment in Domestic Intelligence Division 2-6-61.

SA Freyman EOD 2-2-42, Grade GS 13, $11,935. During past three years services satisfactory with no censures, five commendations and one commendation through SAC. Received $500 cash awards 9-12-58 and 12-18-59 for development, handling and supervision of above informant and on 10-5-59 received $300 cash award for the development of another informant. Rated Excellent 1960 annual performance rating. Not available for general assignment at present time in view of personal problem concerning his mother. Weight within desirable limits. Overtime above office average each of past six months.

EOD 4-11-55, Grade GS 5, $4345. Services satisfactory with no censures or commendations. Rated Excellent 1960 annual performance rating.

EOD 2-17-58, Grade GS 5, $4345. Services satisfactory with no censures or commendations. Rated Excellent 1960 annual performance rating.

SA Fox EOD as SA 6-30-41, Grade GS 13, $11,935. During past three years services satisfactory; however, censured 8-10-60 as incorrect statement appeared in training document he prepared in 2-59 while assigned to Training and Inspection Division. Commended on six occasions and once through SAC. Rated Excellent 1960 annual performance rating. Weight within desirable limits. Overtime above division average five of past six months.

EOD 5-7-51, Grade GS 13, $10,635. During past three years services satisfactory with no censures and one commendation through SAC. Received $150 incentive award 10-6-58 for handling of two confidential sources of information. Rated Excellent 1960 annual performance rating. Weight within desirable limits. Overtime above division average four of past six months.
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Re: Incentive Award and Commendation Matter

EOD 8-17-59, Grade GS 5, $4345. Services satisfactory with no censures and two commendations. Rated Excellent 1960 annual performance rating.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) That SA John E. Keating and Supervisor Carl N. Freyman each be approved for incentive awards of $250 for their personal guidance and supervision of this informant. (Amount recommended is in line with previous awards granted for similar performances.)

(2) That Chicago Stenographers and and SOG Secretary each be approved for incentive award of $125 for their substantial contributions through stenographic duties. (Amount recommended is in line with previous awards granted for similar performances.)

(3) That SOG Supervisors Fox and not be granted incentive awards but that they be commended for excellent guidance afforded field and preparation of dissemination memoranda.

(4) That Chicago Stenographers and each be commended for excellent stenographic assistance rendered by them.

(5) That SA Richard W. Hansen be commended for his assistance as SA Keating's understudy in guiding and handling informant.

(6) That SOG Clerk be commended for excellent assistance in preparation and handling of special index.

(7) That Section Chief Fred J. Baumgardner and Inspector Joseph A. Sizoo be individually commended for their over-all supervision and direction of SOLO operations at the Seat of Government.
Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
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RECOMMENDATIONS: (Continued)

(8) That CG 5824-S* be afforded bonus payment of $1000 for his outstanding services and personally commended by the Director as recommended by the Domestic Intelligence Division. (If you approve, appropriate communications to SAC, Chicago, and informant as prepared by the Domestic Intelligence Division are attached.)

PERMANENT BRIEFS OF PERSONNEL FILES OF SAS KEATING, FREYMAN, FOX AND [STENOGRAPHERS] AND [AND SECRETARY] ARE ATTACHED.
February 28, 1961

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Cisso
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Cisso:

I am especially pleased to commend you for the excellent manner in which you discharged your responsibilities in connection with a highly confidential operation of vital importance to the Bureau in the security field.

The results achieved recently in this delicate matter are indeed outstanding and certainly a credit to you and to the FBI. I am aware of the superior leadership and efficiency you demonstrated in insuring the success of this undertaking and I want you to know I appreciate your devoted performance.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

NOTE: Special Salutation per file.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

REPORT OF PERFORMANCE RATING

Name of Employee: JOSEPH A. SIZOO

Where Assigned: Domestic Intelligence Division, Front Office
(Division) (Section, Unit)

Official Position Title: Inspector GS-17

Rating Period: from 4/1/60 to 3/31/61

ADJECTIVE RATING: EXCELLENT
Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

Employee's Initials:

Rated by: Assistant Director
Signature Title Date

Reviewed by: Signature Title Date

Rating Approved by: Assistant Director
Signature Title Date

TYPE OF REPORT

Official Annual

APR 27 1961

APR 17 1961
FD 185a (Rev. 4-14-58)

PERFORMANCE RATING GUIDE
FOR INVESTIGATIVE PERSONNEL
(For use as attachment to Performance Rating Form No. FD-185)

Name of Employee: JOSEPH A. SIZOO  Title: Inspector

Rating Period: from 4/1/60 to 3/31/61

RATING GUIDE AND CHECK-LIST

Note: Only those items having pertinent bearing on employee's performance should be rated. All employees in same salary grade should be compared.

Rate items as follows:

+ Outstanding (exceeding excellent and deserving of special commendation).
+ Excellent.
+ Satisfactory (good or very good).
- Unsatisfactory.
O No opportunity to appraise performance during rating period.

Guide for determining adjective rating:

1. "Outstanding" adjective rating requires (A) that all ratee elements be "+/" and (B) that each and every rated element be factually justified by narrative detail on reverse of Form FD-185.

2. "Excellent," "Satisfactory" or "Unsatisfactory" adjective ratings will depend upon the composite result of evaluating all rated elements rather than following any mechanical formulas; however, for an employee to be rated "Excellent" he must not be rated unsatisfactory on any performance evaluation factors on the rating guide and check-list and must be rated "Excellent" or "Outstanding" on the majority of such rating factors. Good judgment must be exercised to insure that adjective rating is reasonable in the light of elements rated.

A. Any element rated "Unsatisfactory" must be supported by narrative comments.
B. An "official" adjective rating of "Unsatisfactory" must comply with the requirements described on the reverse of form FD-185.

- (1) Personal appearance.
- (2) Personality and effectiveness of his personal contacts.
- (3) Attitude (including dependability, cooperativeness, loyalty, enthusiasm, amenable and willingness to equitably share work load).
- (4) Physical fitness (including health, energy, stamina).
- (5) Resourcefulness and ingenuity.
- (6) Forcefulness and aggressiveness as required.
- (7) Judgment, including common sense, ability to arrive at proper conclusions, ability to define objectives.
- (8) Initiative and the taking of appropriate action on own responsibility.
- (9) Planning ability and its application to the work.
- (10) Accuracy and attention to pertinent detail.
- (11) Industry, including energetic, consistent application to duties.
- (12) Productivity, including amount of acceptable work produced and rate of progress on completion of assignments. Also consider adherence to deadlines unless failure to meet is attributable to causes beyond employee's control.
- (13) Knowledge of duties, instructions, rules and regulations, including readiness of comprehension and "know how" of application.
- (14) Technical or mechanical skills.
- (15) Investigative ability and results:
  (a) Internal security cases
  (b) Criminal or general investigatory cases
  (c) Fugitive cases
  (d) Applicant cases
  (e) Accounting cases
O (16) Physical surveillance ability.

A. Specify general nature of assignment during most of rating period (such as security, criminal, applicant squad, or as Resident Agent, supervisor, instructor, etc.): Security - Administrator

B. Specify employee's most noteworthy special talents (such as investigator, desk man, research, instructor, speaker):

Desk man - Inspector - Executive

C. (1) Is employee available for general assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)
(2) Is employee available for special assignment wherever needs of service require? Yes (If answer is not "yes," explain in narrative comments.)

D. 1. Has employee had an abnormal sick leave record during rating period? No 2. Has employee used more sick leave (including annual leave or LWOP for illness) during rating period than the amount of sick leave earned during such period? No (If answer to either question is "Yes," explain in narrative comments.)

E. Is employee qualified to operate a motor vehicle incidental to his official duties? Yes No
If answer is "yes," personnel file must reflect the following: (a) Has valid State or local operator's license for type vehicle he is to use. (b) Is physically fit to drive. (c) Pass safe driving record OK or has passed Bureau road test.

ADJECTIVE RATING: Outstanding, Excellent, Satisfactory, Unsatisfactory

EMPLOYEE'S INITIALS

Capability for additional responsibility
RE: INSPECTOR JOSEPH A. SIZOO

NARRATIVE COMMENTS

Mr. Sizoo has been in charge of the Internal Security-Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division during the entire rating period. In the absence of the Assistant Director, he has been in charge of the Division, and has shown himself to be extremely competent in this respect.

Mr. Sizoo has an outstanding knowledge of Bureau policies and procedures, due to his wide experience in both the field and the seat of government. He has shown real executive ability and constant intense interest in the work of the Bureau as a whole.

He has excelled in looking ahead and planning in advance. His judgment is definitely above average, and he has met the problems arising in the Division with resourcefulness and an aggressive approach. He seeks out responsibility, rather than attempting to avoid it, and his attitude has been most commendable.

Mr. Sizoo makes an excellent Bureau representative in outside contacts, due to his fine appearance and personality and his ability to handle himself in association with others.

On 12/12/60 he was commended for his outstanding attitude in reporting for duty in spite of hazardous driving conditions. On 2/28/61 he was commended by the Director for superior leadership and outstanding efficiency, resulting in the successful handling of a highly confidential operation of vital importance to the Bureau in the security field. On 5/17/60 he was censured for failure to note an error in an outgoing communication.

Mr. Sizoo is an exceptionally well qualified and loyal executive of the Bureau, who can be counted on to consistently produce work of high quality. His position carries heavy responsibilities and his aggressive, imaginative approach has been highly beneficial to the important and essential work performed by the Bureau, particularly in the security field. He is rated as EXCELLENT.

Employee's initials

Ms.
PART II - SPECIFIC COMMENTS

1. **Justification for any Minus Ratings Given:**
   N.A.

2. **Experience and Ability as Inspector's Aide:**
   Mr. Sizoo is an Inspector.

3. **Participation in Informant Programs:**
   In an administrative capacity, he has participated in the development and handling of informants, with excellent results.

4. **Testifying Experience and Ability:**
   N.A.

5. **Disciplinary Action:**
   Mr. Sizoo received a letter of censure on 5/17/60, for failure to note a serious error in an outgoing communication approved by him.

6. **Accounting Information:**
   N.A.

7. **Police Instruction:**
   N.A.

8. **Sound Training:**
   N.A.

9. **Resident Agents:**
   N.A.

10. **Foreign Language Ability:**
    None

Employee's initials
11. Administrative Advancement:

a. Agent is interested in administrative advancement - Yes XXX
   No __

b. Agent is completely available for administrative advancement -
   Yes XXX
   No __

c. Agent is considered completely qualified at present for administrative advancement including experience, ability, personality and appearance-
   Yes XXX
   No __

d. His qualifications for administrative advancement are considered to be (answered only if answer to "c" is "Yes") -
   Very Good
   Excellent
   Outstanding
   XXX

e. Agent has potential for future administrative advancement (answered only if answer to "c" is "No") -
   Yes __
   No __
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TO: MR. PARSONS  DATE: 5/10/61

FROM: A. H. Belmont  cc Mr. Parsons

SUBJECT: INFORMATION CONCERNING (INTERNAL SECURITY)

This memorandum is to fix responsibility as to why the daily airtels from San Francisco Office were withheld from the Director and there was not a summary of their contents sent to the Director.

These airtels were too lengthy to send to the Director. In view of the importance of this case, we should have kept the Director advised by summary memoranda on a daily basis. The failure to do this, or to see that it was done, rests with several individuals.

The Supervisor, J. F. Morley, Internal Security Section, who was processing the trial information from San Francisco, prepared a memorandum 4/20/61 setting forth background information and the fact that the trial had started. This was based on an airtel from San Francisco dated 4/18, received 4/20/61. He next prepared a memorandum, dated 4/27 (dictated 4/26), briefing information in San Francisco airtels received 4/21 to 26/61, pointing out that the prosecution's case was weak and that [redacted]'s acquittal was anticipated. I did not send this memorandum to the Director because we had received information that the Bureau's pamphlet was under attack at the trial, and I felt this should be specifically set out.

Supervisor C. D. Brennan, Central Research Section, then prepared a memorandum dated 4/28/61, setting this fact out in detail, with accompanying newspaper clippings.

An additional memorandum was prepared on 5/1/61, by Supervisor Morley, setting forth additional developments at the trial, and he subsequently materially assisted in the preparation of 15-page and 4-page memoranda dated 5/3/61, setting forth the over-all picture of the trial, with a resume of the testimony of all defense witnesses.

There was a delay in getting the necessary information to the Director. Knowing the importance of this case, it was certainly my responsibility to see that a daily summary went to the Director.
and that he was kept advised of pertinent developments. Morley should have prepared such daily summaries.

Even when it became apparent, as the trial progressed, that the Bureau's pamphlet was subject to comment, we moved too slowly in advising the Director. Besides myself, Inspector J. A. Sizoo, to whom I gave instructions, and Section Chief F. J. Baumgardner, to whom Sizoo gave instructions, are chargeable with delay. Supervisor C. D. Brennan is chargeable with delay in the preparation of the 4/28/61 memorandum.

The fact that we were misled by information originally furnished by San Francisco to the effect that the prosecution had five witnesses, plus a newspaper reporter's tape, which appeared to make a good case for the prosecution, cannot be used as an excuse. Some of these alleged witnesses did not materialize. We were on notice as to this after the first few days of the trial, after the prosecution rested.

RECOMMENDATION:
It is recommended that the following individuals be censured for failure to keep the Director sufficiently advised of developments in this matter: Assistant Director A. H. Belmont; Inspector J. A. Sizoo; Section Chief F. J. Baumgardner; Supervisor J. F. Morley; and Supervisor C. D. Brennan.
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TO : Mr. A. H. Belmont
FROM : Mr. W. C. Sullivan

DATE: June 21, 1961

1 - Mr. Belmont
1 - Mr. Mohr
1 - Mr. Callahan
1 - Mr. Sullivan

SUBJECT: SABOTAGE

BACKGROUND:

Early on Sunday morning, 5-23-61, an explosion occurred at a microwave relay station, Wendover, Nevada. Within ten minutes explosions occurred also at microwave relay station Cedar Mountain, Utah, and underground cable relay station at Knowles, Utah. All these stations which were the property of the American Telegraph and Telephone were destroyed. Damage was estimated at $1,250,000. Since these relay stations are part of the chain which carries vital defense communications, their deliberate destruction brought the matter within the Bureau's jurisdiction as a possible sabotage violation.

These explosions caused extreme concern on the part of the military and the press on a nationwide basis. The Bureau, both at the Seat of Government and in the field, were besieged with inquiries concerning these acts of sabotage.

A nationwide alert was placed by the Air Defense Command for fear there would be other acts of sabotage which would adversely affect the national security. Numerous states called out their National Guards to protect similar facilities located within their boundaries. The War Room of the Pentagon made anxious inquiries regarding this situation.

We immediately instituted an investigation with the Salt Lake City Division acting as Office of Origin. This investigation quickly spread over most of the domestic offices and there were also leads for our Legal Attaches in foreign countries.

After an exhaustive investigation we were successful in identifying and apprehending the subjects in this investigation.

WCS: blw
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See Addendum Administrative Division page 7a.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont

RE: COMMENDATORY ACTION

SEAT OF GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL:

In view of the excellent work performed by Bureau personnel both in the field and at the Seat of Government there is set out herein recommendations for incentive awards and letters of commendation for the deserving personnel.

Domestic Intelligence Division:

Section Chief Fred J. Baumgardner:

It is recommended that Section Chief Baumgardner be granted an incentive award in the amount of $200 for the consistent outstanding overall direction he afforded to this investigation from its inception. He was in frequent contact with the field by telephone and on a daily basis consulted with the Seat of Government Supervisor and made helpful suggestions throughout the investigation, especially on the afternoon of 6-17-61 when the subjects were located in Ensenada, Mexico. He worked out with SAC Blaylock the overall plan which resulted in the arrest of the subjects in Mexico. In addition, on the night of 6-17-61 he consulted at length with Departmental attorneys in order to obtain from them the complaint which was subsequently used before the United States Commissioner in Nevada in obtaining warrants for the arrests of the subjects. Also, throughout the night on 6/17-18/61 he coordinated our negotiations with the Mexicans by telephone with our Salt Lake City and San Diego Offices. Mr. Baumgardner made an outstanding contribution to the successful conclusion of this investigation. It is therefore recommended that he be afforded an incentive award in the amount of $200.

supervised this case at the Seat of Government from its inception. The investigation covered the majority of our offices, including leads abroad. cut through tremendous volumes of paper and kept the field headed in the right direction at all times. He used initiative in deciding what investigative steps would be most productive and sent numerous instructions by teletype to the field. He also furnished the field helpful information obtained from file reviews. His work was instrumental in breaking this case. In view of his outstanding performance it is recommended be afforded an incentive award in the amount of $200.

- 2 -
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Joseph A. Sizoo

As No. 1 Man of the Domestic Intelligence Division, Mr. Sizoo made helpful suggestions of a policy nature throughout the investigation. He answered telephonic questions from the field and contributed materially to the success of the case. He should be commended.

Thomas H. Farrow

Mr. Farrow, a Seat of Government supervisor, has worked closely with on this case. He has assisted in making dissemination and in numerous other ways since the inception of the case. He has worked a number of nights and weekends and has contributed materially to the over-all success of the investigation. Therefore, it is recommended he be afforded a letter of commendation for his contributions in this matter.

Andrew J. Decker

Mr. Decker is the night supervisor for the Domestic Intelligence Division. During the early morning hours of 6-19-61 Mr. Decker handled several telephone calls from the field in connection with this matter and promptly prepared a memorandum which was available for the Director and Bureau officials at the opening of business on 6-19-61. In addition, during the course of the investigation, Mr. Decker reviewed incoming teletypes during the night and in the event any immediate action was necessary, consulted with the supervisor handling the case and then took appropriate action. Mr. Decker handled his assignment in connection with this matter in an intelligent and vigorous manner. It is recommended, in view of his over-all excellent performance in connection with this case, he be afforded a letter of commendation.

is the Stenographer who has handled most of the dictation in connection with this case. She also acted as Secretary to Supervisor Farr, to whom this case is assigned, since the beginning of the case. She has handled much of the routine administrative matters incidental to a big case of this type on her own initiative. She has worked long hours without regard for her own convenience. In view of her over-all excellent attitude and the excellent manner in which she has performed, it is recommended she be afforded a letter of commendation.

is the Clerk assigned to During this entire investigation she has assisted in file reviews
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and handled the many clerical functions incidental to a case of this magnitude on her own initiative. She has proved of invaluable assistance to Mr. Farr and her alert and enthusiastic approach to her duties are worthy of special mention.

Therefore, it is recommended that she be afforded a letter of commendation in view of her over-all excellent performance.

Laboratory Division (Recommended by Briggs White No. 1 Man of Division)

Special Agents GeorgeBerley and _______________ of the Laboratory were sent to the scenes of the three explosions. After flying nearly all night, they arrived at Salt Lake City at 7:15 a.m., 5-29-61. They immediately went to the scenes of the three explosions and did an excellent job in supervising the technical phases of the crime scene searches, collection of evidence and preliminary evaluation of the specimens recovered. Evidence from the scenes were brought to the Laboratory by Berley and _______________ and they correlated and supervised the technical aspects of the examinations relating to the explosives phase of the case. They handled dangerous explosives at the scenes and their over-all handling of the technical phases of the case was outstanding and important to the successful outcome.

Special Agent James V. Blaine of the Laboratory handled literally hundreds of document examinations and comparisons all on an extremely expedite basis and made highly significant findings identifying subject Brous with threatening type letters that play an important role in the case. Much of the work was done after regular working hours and on weekends. Special Agent Blaine worked on this case Tuesday (holiday) 5-30, Saturday 6-3, Saturday 6-10, Sunday 6-11 and Saturday 6-17 contributing many hours of his personal time on a VOT basis. Therefore, it is recommended that Special Agents George A. Berley, _______________ and James V. Blaine be afforded letters of commendation for their superior contribution and performance in this case.

Identification Division (Recommended by Assistant Director Trotter)

A large amount of evidence was forwarded to the Identification Division for processing for latent fingerprints in this case. Among the many latents developed were two on a cement bag found at the rear of one of the buildings destroyed. These latents were compared with the prints of all named suspects.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont

RE: TELSAB (98-45626)

On 6-17-61 Latent Fingerprint Examiner [name redacted] compared the prints of suspect [name redacted] FBI with all the latents developed in this case. He identified the two latents appearing on the cement bag with the fingerprints of [name redacted]. This identification definitely placed [name redacted] at the scene of the crime. The examination which was conducted by [name redacted] late Saturday afternoon, 6-17-61, was handled in a most commendable manner. The identification was made from fragmentary fingerprint impressions and [name redacted] exercised unusual skill and ingenuity in making this identification. It is, therefore, recommended that [name redacted] be commended by letter for his work in this case.

Crime Records Division (Recommended by Assistant Director DeLoach)

Mr. DeLoach advised that Robert E. Wick, No. 1 Man of the Division, and Supervisors Charles E. Moore, Jr., and Harold P. Leinbaugh prepared the press release in connection with this case. These men prepared an excellent release, as a result of which nationwide publicity favorable to the Bureau was received. They approached their task enthusiastically and all three performed in an above-average manner. In view of this, Mr. DeLoach recommended these men be afforded letters of commendation.

FIELD PERSONNEL:

Salt Lake City Division:

SAC Leonard Blaylock:

As soon as this case broke SAC Blaylock of our Salt Lake City Office took personal charge of this investigation. As Office of Origin he organized the investigation in his Division so that the most would be gained from the man power at his disposal. The investigation spread rapidly and SAC Blaylock demonstrated he possessed the necessary scope to cope with an investigation of this magnitude. He saw to it that all leads were handled by teletype or telephone and organized his office administratively so that reports and other communications were submitted to the Bureau promptly. He was on top of the situation at all times and in the very beginning arranged to have Agents flown to the scene of the explosions by helicopter. He maintained this pace throughout the investigation. During the course of the investigation
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont

RE: 

he also handled inquiries from the local military people as well as top officials of American Telegraph and Telephone who flew to Salt Lake City from New York City to supervise reinstallation of the damaged relay stations. SAC Blaylock handled this case in an aggressive, enthusiastic manner and performed in an above average fashion. Therefore, it is recommended he be afforded an incentive award of $250.

San Diego Division:

SAC Frank L. Price:

Upon receiving information from the Los Angeles Office that the subjects had possibly left Wilmington, California, aboard the ship "Monsoon" took personal charge of the investigation to locate the boat and the subjects. He dispatched an Agent to Ensenada, Mexico, to locate the boat and when it was located proceeded there and personally supervised the arrangements to have Mexican officials arrest the subjects and search the boat. An arsenal of arms and ammunition was found aboard the boat and he insisted in the evaluation of the evidence. SAC Price participated in the interviews in Mexico of the subjects and established liaison with Mexican authorities to protect the Bureau's interests. He thereafter took personal command of the arrests of the subjects when they were deported by Mexican authorities and arranged for their arraignment before the United States Commissioner at San Diego, California. SAC Price afforded alert, aggressive and sound leadership in the highly delicate negotiations with Mexican authorities and was able to protect the Bureau's interests at all times. In view of his outstanding performance it is recommended he be afforded an incentive award in the amount of $250.

In addition to the incentive awards for SACs Blaylock and Price mentioned above, a number of field offices whose Agents performed outstanding work on this case submitted recommendations for their personnel by teletype. The Administrative Division is handling these recommendations separately based on the information set forth in the teletypes.

In order that a brief picture of the recommendations made by the field may be had, there is set out below by office the number of incentive awards and commendations recommended.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RE: TELSAB 98-45626

Salt Lake City Division

1 incentive award
9 individual letters of commendation
1 general letter to the office

San Diego Division

1 incentive award
13 individual letters of commendation
1 general letter to the office

Los Angeles Division

2 incentive awards
5 individual letters of commendation
1 general letter to the office

El Paso Division

2 letters of commendation (individual)

New Orleans Division

1 individual letter of commendation

Las Vegas Division

1 individual letter of commendation

Houston Division

1 general letter to the office

New York City Division

1 general letter to the office

ACTION:

If you approve, this memorandum should be forwarded to the Administrative Division for appropriate consideration.

W.E.D.
Based on information submitted by Domestic Intelligence Division, the Laboratory, Identification Division and the Crime Records Division, in addition to that furnished by a number of SACs, it is obvious that this important Sabotage case was handled in an exemplary manner and special recognition is warranted. The following are deserving of incentive awards:

SAC Blaylock, Salt Lake City, for the outstanding manner in which he personally took charge of the investigation in his division and was on top of the situation at all times. He handled many inquiries from local military people, as well as officials of American Telegraph and Telephone who were in Salt Lake City.

SAC Price, San Diego, who directed an alert, aggressive and highly delicate investigation with Mexican authorities which included location, arrest and extradition of subjects from Mexico and their arraignment and incarceration in San Diego.

SOG Supervisor who supervised case at SOG from inception handling a tremendous volume of expedite communications to and from field offices with helpful instructions which were instrumental in breaking this case.

SOG Section Chief Baumgardner for the outstanding direction afforded this investigation from the Bureau. He coordinated all contacts with SACs, Departmental attorneys and Mexican authorities and made many helpful suggestions throughout the investigation.

BUREAU RECORDS:

SAC Blaylock EOD 10-14-40, Grade GS 15, $15,030. During the past three years services satisfactory with three censures and six commendations. Rated Satisfactory on 1961 annual performance rating. Completely available, weight within desirable limits and overtime above the office average five of past six months.

SAC Price EOD 2-3-41, Grade GS 16, $15,255. During the past three years services satisfactory with two censures, 13 commendations and two incentive awards, the last on 4-20-61 in recognition of Outstanding 1961 annual performance rating. Completely available and weight within desirable limits. Overtime exceeded the office average each of past six months.
ADDENDUM: (Continued)

Section Chief Baumgardner EOD 12-4-39, Grade GS 16, $15,515. During the past three years services satisfactory although on 9-16-58 he was censured and placed on probation for derelictions noted during inspection. Removed from probation 3-11-59. Commended on ten occasions and received two incentive awards. Rated Excellent on 1961 annual performance rating. Completely available, weight within desirable limits and overtime exceeded division average each of past six months.

SOG Supervisor EOD 1-11-43, Grade GS 14, $13,250. During the past three years services satisfactory with no censures or commendations. Rated Excellent on 1961 annual performance rating. Completely available, weight within desirable limits and overtime above the division average four of past six months.

Based on approved tables for determining the amounts of incentive awards the performances of these men are considered to be of moderate value and broad application to the work of the Bureau entitling them to awards of from $150 to $300.

As outlined in the foregoing by Domestic Intelligence Division a number of other SOG personnel are deserving of individual commendations.

Included in the recommendation for commendations is SOG Supervisor Harold P. Leinbaugh, #3 Man of the Crime Records Division who was censured and placed on probation 4-27-61 for failure to exercise good judgment in approving an outgoing communication transmitting a large volume of literature to an individual outside the Bureau. However, in view of his splendid performance in the preparation of the press release in connection with this case it is felt that he should be commended.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(1) That SACs Leonard Blaylock and Frank L. Price each be approved for an incentive award in the amount of $250.00 (Amount recommended is in line with previous awards granted for similar performances.)

(2) That Section Chief Fred J. Baumgardner and SOG Supervisor each be approved for an incentive award in the amount of $200.00 (Amount recommended is in line with previous awards granted for similar performances.)
ADDENDUM: (Continued)

RECOMMENDATIONS: (Continued)

(3) That individual letters of commendation be directed to #1 Man Joseph A. Sizoo, Supervisor Thomas H. Farrow, Night Supervisor Andrew R. Deckery, Stenographer [ ], and Clerk [ ] of the Domestic Intelligence Division; SAs George A. Berley, [ ] and James V. Blaine of the Laboratory; Latent Fingerprint Examiner Dan A. Bailey of the Identification Division; and, #1 Man Robert E. Wick and Supervisors Charles E. Moore, Jr., and Harold P. Leinbaugh of the Crime Records Division.

(4) It is also felt that Assistant to the Director Alan H. Belmont should be commended for the major contributions he made to the over-all direction of this case.

If approved, appropriate letters are attached.
June 21, 1961

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Sizoo:

It is a pleasure to commend you for your excellent supervision in connection with the investigation of the Sabotage case involving and

You made a splendid contribution to the success achieved in this difficult case through your ability, judgment and leadership in making many helpful suggestions of a policy nature throughout the investigation. I was very impressed with your performance and I want you to know of my appreciation.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

1 - Mr. Sullivan (Personal Attention)

1 - Mr. M. A. Jones

1 - Miss (Sent Direct)

AF: rd (e)
67-57045

NOTE: Salutation per file.

Based on memo W. C. Sullivan to Mr. Belmont, WSC:blw, 6-21-61 and addendum Administrative Division, NEM:crn, 6-21-61.
Early on Sunday morning, May 23, 1961, when the three explosions occurred in instant case, there was extreme concern on the part of the military, American Telephone and Telegraph Co. (AT&T) and the press on a nationwide basis. We were besieged with inquiries about these acts of sabotage. A nationwide alert was placed by the Air Defense Command for fear there would be other acts of sabotage that would adversely affect the national security. Inquiries were also received from the War Room of the Pentagon.

Immediate arrangements were then made by ASAC HEBBD and me to handle this investigation as a major case on a special basis, utilizing a special indices. Agents were dispatched to the scenes of the three explosions by helicopter with other Agents following with equipment in automobiles. All leads of an urgent nature were handled via Long Distance telephone call and teletype by the ASAC and myself. The local military was kept informed of any significant developments of interest to them. AT&T top officials from New York City flew to Salt Lake City to supervise the reinstallation of those vital relay stations and to arrange for guards for all of their stations previously unmanned.

As the Bureau is aware, we encountered extreme difficulty in identifying who had deliberately avoided recording any background data under that name. Then, after [redacted] was identified as Subject the investigation was directed toward connecting him with the offenses and establishing his whereabouts. There was extreme pressure on locating him and putting a stop to his activities, and at the same time establishing sufficient evidence to cause his apprehension. He had made threats in letters to AT&T, President KENNEDY and numerous other public officials, including newspapers, that the next attacks would be launched against the company's property with poisonous gas. This created great concern and tension among AT&T...
officials as well as the military. I mention the above so the Bureau will understand the extreme urgency under which we were operating in directing investigation by this and all other offices, since we suspected [ ] as being the true offender but at the same time had to take cognizance and action against any other suspects to make certain we were not overlooking any phase of this investigation. This made it necessary for the ASAC and me to devote full time day and night to this case from its beginning to the present time.

Since important leads were being set forth telephonically and by teletype, it was necessary to confer with the Bureau in several instances. I had occasion to confer with the following Bureau officials:

- Mr. ALAN E. BELMONT
- Mr. JOSEPH A. SIZCO
- Mr. FRED J. BAUMGARDNER
- Mr. ROBERT H. WICK

I wish to point out to the Director the splendid cooperation and quick responses made by each of the above officials in helping us to resolve an urgent problem. Their spirit and sense of urgency were most helpful to us in keeping this case moving at a rapid pace. Especially, I wish to point out the close coordination with Mr. BAUMGARDNER Saturday night, June 17, and early Sunday morning, June 18, 1961, when we were in constant telephonic communication concerning process and instructions of the Department.

Also, I wish to mention the press release prepared at the Bureau and the splendid effect it had on radio, television and newspapers in this area. The Bureau received full credit for solving this case and locating the Subjects. The press announcement was read in its entirety by radio and television commentators and quoted by newspapers. I had occasion to confer with Mr. WICK prior to this release, and I feel that the work which he and possibly others performed in this preparation is certainly worthy of special mention.

In view of the tremendous importance of this investigation and the successful solution of this case, it is recommended ASAC HENRY H. CLEGG receive an incentive award of $500.
The Bureau is aware of the numerous items of evidence collected by Agents at the three scenes of the explosions. I feel that the two Laboratory representatives and Agents of this office who performed all the work at the scenes acquitted themselves in excellent fashion and are certainly worthy of commendation by the Director for their thoroughness, alertness, sense of urgency, effectiveness and determination to establish the identity of the offenders, in addition to the long hours spent on this work. The installations were completely demolished, making it extremely difficult to find all items of evidence that might be pertinent. These Agents are:

Laboratory
- Laboratory
- Salt Lake City

DELMAR L. LARSON

WILLIAM R. STRINGER

It is felt that each of the above Agents should receive a letter from the Director thanking him for his performance.

In addition, it is felt that SA DELMAR L. LARSON should be commended not only for his work at the scene of the explosions, but also for his fine work in preparation of the reports based upon their findings.

In addition, it is pointed out that every Agent, Secretary, Stenographer and Clerk in this office performed work in this case; many remained after hours to complete their assignments and even during the weekend. It is felt that a letter from the Director to the office as a whole thanking all personnel who participated in this case, both investigative and non-investigative, would be appropriate and would certainly be an incentive to all employees.
This case is continuing as a special investigation until we are certain that Subjects __________ and __________ had no accomplices in the execution of these explosions who could be prosecuted.

The Bureau is aware of the fine work performed by several other offices in assisting to uncover the identity of __________, the first important suspect developed. Also, fine work was performed by several offices assisting in locating __________ after he was identified as __________ and connecting him with these offenses. I specifically mention the following offices:

El Paso
Houston
Las Vegas
Los Angeles
New Orleans
New York City
San Diego

The Bureau might wish to check with these offices concerning any recommendations for their own personnel, since most of my information is based upon telephone calls and teletypes not specifically identifying investigative personnel and the techniques they might have employed.

When the Bureau made its press announcements Sunday, June 10, 1961, I received telephone calls from AT&T officials in New York City, San Francisco, Denver and Salt Lake City thanking the FBI for its fine work and emphasizing how much the solution of this case means to their company and personnel. We also received congratulations for the FBI from the local, military, and many prominent citizens in this area. An editorial which appeared June 20, 1961, in THE SALT LAKE TRIBUNE, the leading newspaper in this area, began with, "The Federal Bureau of Investigation did an excellent job in solving the May 28 sabotage of radio-telephone facilities in Utah and Nevada." This editorial is being submitted by separate communication.
July 10, 1961

PERSONAL

Dear Sizoo:

It gives me a great deal of pleasure to extend my congratulations to you on your Twenty-sixth Anniversary with the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Throughout the years you have demonstrated your loyalty and devotion to duty and it is hoped that the Bureau will have the benefit of your services for many years to come.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph A. Sizoo
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D.C.
I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

key to Room 800, Riddell Building

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed.

DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY

JUL 26 1961

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Sizoo
Dear Sir:

For inclusion in the fund to be paid to the designated beneficiary of any Special Agent of the FBI who has previously contributed to this fund and who dies from any cause except self-destruction while employed as a Special Agent, I am forwarding herewith (by CHECK - MONEY ORDER) the sum of $10, payble to the Assistant Director, Administrative Division, FBI, to be included in said fund. Payment will be made for death by self-destruction after the Agent has been a member of the fund for a continuous period of two years. It is understood and agreed that the sum tendered herewith is a voluntary, gratuitous contribution to said fund which I understand is to be administered in the following manner.

The Director of the FBI will appoint a committee which shall consider all matters pertaining to the acquisition, safe keeping and expending of said fund, which committee will recommend appropriate action to the Director in pertinent matters. The Assistant Director of the Administrative Division of the FBI shall receive all contributions and account for same to the Director. Upon the death of any Special Agent who is a member of said fund the appointed committee will consider the case and submit a recommendation to the Director as to its conclusions. Appropriate instructions will then be issued to the Assistant Director of the Administrative Division, directing him to pay to the designated beneficiary the sum of $10,000. The liability of the fund shall not under any circumstances exceed the amount of monies in the fund at the time any liability shall occur. The following person is designated as my beneficiary for FBI Agents' Insurance Fund:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy T. Sizoo</td>
<td>Wife</td>
<td>8/9/61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400 Peary Street North, Arlington, Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following person is designated as my beneficiary under the Chas. S. Ross Fund providing $1500 death benefit to beneficiary of agents killed in the line of duty, other than travel accidents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy T. Sizoo</td>
<td>Wife</td>
<td>8/9/61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3400 Peary Street North, Arlington, Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Special Agent
Memorandum

TO: Mr. A. H. Belmont

FROM: Mr. W. C. Sullivan

DATE: August 17, 1961

SUBJECT: RELOCATION OF DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, 1730 K STREET, NORTHWEST

COMMENDATION MATTERS

The Domestic Intelligence Division moved from the Justice Building to its new location at 1730 K Street Northwest over the weekend of August 11-13, 1961. The move was satisfactorily accomplished although there were some inconveniences and delays occasioned by the mover, Kane's Transfer and Storage Company. On the whole, the move was handled in a satisfactory manner and the Division was operating in its normal capacity by Monday morning, August 14.

All of the personnel of the Domestic Intelligence Division cooperated wholeheartedly in meeting the problems occasioned by the move; however, certain personnel took leading parts in the operation and it is believed that they should be commended for their efforts. Key individuals were designated by each Section to coordinate the move with respect to each particular Section. In addition, one individual was designated to be responsible for handling the furniture and equipment for the Front Office. The following individuals handled responsibilities for their particular Sections as indicated:

Central Research - SA Russell Garner
Nationalities - SA W. Raymond Mannall, Jr.
Intelligence
Espionage - SA Otho A. Eyzell and
SA
Subversive - SA J. Harold Glascock
Control
Internal Security - SA Roy D. Simpson
Liaison - SA William M. Mooney
Front Office - SA Edward J. Krupinsky

Intelligence Analyst, GS-9, assigned to the Nationalities Intelligence Section, played a key part for his Section in effecting the move.

1-Mr. Belmont
1-Mr. Sullivan
1-Mr. Callahan
1-Mr. Bland
1-Mr. Smith
1-Mr. Baumgardner
1-Mr. Branigan
1-Mr. Donahoe C-133
1-Mr. L'Allier
1-Mr. Jones

JAS: skw
(11)
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RE: RELOCATION OF DOMESTIC INTELLIGENCE
DIVISION, 1730 K STREET, NORTHWEST
COMMENDATION MATTERS

all day Saturday, August 12, from 7:40 a.m. to 6 p.m. without
requesting compensatory leave for the time and devoted his time
to handling a number of administrative functions instrumental to
the move, such as checking the proper placement of equipment,
accounting for items transported, et cetera.

SA William P. Jones, Subversive Control Section, had
the over-all responsibility for coordinating the move and did
an outstanding job. He was present during the entire move and
spent over 30 hours at work over the weekend.

RECOMMENDATION:

That letters of commendation be directed to the above-
named Special Agents and to

ADDITIONUM:

I recommend that Inspector Joseph A. Sizoo also receive a letter
of commendation. Mr. Sizoo, more than anyone else, was responsible for
organizing and directing this move, and he displayed a remarkable amount of
initiative and good judgment, without which the movement of personnel and
equipment to the new location would have been much more difficult.

Mr. W. C. Sullivan
August 18, 1961
August 25, 1961

PERSONAL

Mr. Joseph A. Biscoe
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Biscoe:

I am pleased to commend you for the excellent manner in which you discharged your heavy responsibilities in connection with the relocation of the Domestic Intelligence Division. Your performance in organizing and directing this move reflected splendid initiative and judgment and was a credit to you. I want you to know of my appreciation.

Sincerely,

J. Edgar Hoover

1 - Mr. Sullivan (Personal Attention)
1 - Mr. M. A. Jones (Sent Direct)
1 - Miss [Sent Direct]
AFH spec
(6)
67-57045

Salutation per file.

MAILED 25
AUG 2 5 1961
COMM-FBI
RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

8-30-61

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

1 ea. key to 6th and 8th floor entrance

[Signature]

FILE
3-M

READ

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

[Signature]

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
RECEIPT FOR GOVERNMENT PROPERTY
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

10/18/61

I certify that I have received the following Government property for official use:

1 Key - for East door on 6th floor of Riddell Building,
1730 K Street, N. W.,
Washington, D. C.
(Domestic Intelligence Div. space)

The Government property which you hereby acknowledge is charged to you and you are responsible for taking care of it and returning it when its use has been completed. DO NOT MARK OR WRITE ON IT OR MUTILATE IT IN ANY WAY.

Key was replaced with a Master Key

Very truly yours,

Joseph A. Sizoo

(Written Signature)

(Joseph A. Sizoo)

(Typed Signature)
1. Agency and organizational designations

FBI, U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE

2. Payroll period

3. Block No.

4. Slip No.

5. Employee’s name (and social security account number when appropriate)

MRS. JOSEPH A. ZIECO INSPECTOR

6. Grade and salary

GS 17

$17,950

7. Previous normal

8. New normal

9. Pay this period

10. Remarks:

11. Appropriation(s)

12. Prepared by

13. Audited by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BASE PAY</th>
<th>OVERTIME</th>
<th>GROSS PAY</th>
<th>RET.</th>
<th>FEDERAL TAX</th>
<th>BOND</th>
<th>F.I.C.A.</th>
<th>STATE TAX</th>
<th>GROUP LIFE INS.</th>
<th>NET PAY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Effective date 15. Date last equivalent increase 16. Old salary rate 17. New salary rate 18. Performance rating is satisfactory or better.

12-24-61 6-12-60 $16,780 $17,950

19. LWOP dated: (Fill in appropriate spaces covering LWOP during any year
Period)

☐ No excess LWOP. Total excess LWOP.

☐ In LWOP status at end of waiting period.

☐ In LWOP status at end of waiting period.

(Signature or other authentication)

Payroll Change Slip - Personnel Copy

Initials of Clerk

STANDARD FORM NO. 1126d 6 GAO 8000 1126-007
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR SULLIVAN:

Since August, 1955, Inspector Sizoo has served as the Inspector in charge of the Internal Security-Liaison Branch of the Domestic Intelligence Division. His prior experience in the Bureau included 15 years at the seat of government in various official capacities, and as a result of this long experience he has an outstanding knowledge of Bureau policies and procedures.

Mr. Sizoo has shown real executive ability, and has a constant and intense interest in the work of the Bureau as a whole. He seeks responsibility, rather than avoiding it, and has always displayed a most commendable attitude. His excellent personal appearance and fine personality stand him in good stead in making contacts with other officials, and his judgment in meeting the problems arising in the Division has been definitely above average.

In the absence of the Assistant Director he has taken over the running of the entire Division, and has discharged his duties in a commendable manner on these occasions. Since the last inspection he was orally censured on one occasion for failure to keep the Director advised of developments in a case. In addition, however, he during the same period received four letters of commendation for excellent over-all supervision of work under his jurisdiction.

Mr. Sizoo's position carries heavy responsibilities, and his aggressive, imaginative approach, as well as his knowledge of Bureau procedures and policies, makes him exceptionally well qualified to handle all duties assigned to him. He is a loyal executive who can be counted on to consistently produce work of high quality, particularly in the security field.

Rating: EXCELLENT
INSPECTOR J. T. HAVERTY: (JTH: spd 12-26-61)
For Inspector's comment reference is made to Memorandum from J. F. Malone to Mr. Mohr re Inspection of Domestic Intelligence Division dated 12-21-61.
REPORT OF MEDICAL EXAMINATION

1. LAST NAME—FIRST NAME—MIDDLE NAME
   Sizoo Joseph Alexander

2. GRADE AND COMPONENT OR POSITION
   Special Agent

3. PURPOSE OF EXAMINATION
   Annual

4. HOME ADDRESS (Number, street or R.F.D., city or town, zone and State)

5. TOTAL YEARS GOVERNMENT SERVICE
   Military

6. SEX
   Male

7. RACE
   White

8. DATE OF BIRTH
   8/28/10

9. PLACE OF BIRTH
   Woodstock, N.Y.

10. AGENCY
    N/A

11. ORGANIZATION UNIT
    N/A

12. DATE OF EXAMINATION
    1/23/62

13. EXAMINING FACILITY OR EXAMINER, AND ADDRESS

14. NAME, RELATIONSHIP, AND ADDRESS OF NEXT OF KIN

15. OTHER INFORMATION

16. TIME IN THIS CAPACITY (Total)
   N/A

17. LAST SIX MONTHS

CLINICAL EVALUATION

18. HEAD, FACE, NECK, AND SCALP
   Normal

19. NOSE
   Normal

20. SINUSES
   Normal

21. MOUTH AND THROAT
   Normal

22. EARS—GENERAL
   Normal

23. DRUMS (Perforation)
   Normal

24. EYES—GENERAL
   Normal

25. OPHTHALMOSCOPIC
   Normal

26. PUPILS (Equality and reaction)
   Normal

27. OCULAR MOTILITY (Associated parallel movements, conjugate)
   Normal

28. LUNGS AND CHEST (Include bronch)
   Normal

29. HEART (Thrust, size, rhythm, sounds)
   Normal

30. VASCULAR SYSTEM (Varicose veins, etc.)
   Normal

31. ABDOMEN AND VISCERA (Include hernia)
   Normal

32. ANUS AND RECTUM (Proctoscopy, if indicated)
   Normal

33. ENDOCRINE SYSTEM
   Normal

34. G-U SYSTEM
   Normal

35. UPPER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)
   Normal

36. FEET
   Normal

37. LOWER EXTREMITIES (Strength, range of motion)
   Normal

38. SPINE, OTHER MUSCULOSKELETAL
   Normal

39. Identifying Body Marks, Scars, Tattoos
   X

40. SKIN, LYMPHATICS
   Normal

41. NEUROLOGIC (Equilibrium tests under item 72)
   Normal

42. PSYCHIATRIC (Specify personal and personality disorders)
   Normal

43. PELVIC (Females only) (Check how done)
   X

44. DENTAL
   (Place appropriate symbols above or below number of upper and lower teeth, respectively)
   X—Restorable teeth
   X—Nonrestorable teeth
   X—Missing teeth
   XXX—Replaced by dentures
   (6 X 8)—Fixed bridge, brackets to include abutments

45. URINALYSIS: A. SPECIFIC GRAVITY
   1.015

46. CHEST X-RAY (Place, date, film number and result)

47. SEROLOGY (Specify test used and result)
   Neg.

48. EKG
   Neg.

49. BLOOD TYPE AND RH FACTOR
   O

50. OTHER TESTS
   N/A

LABORATORY FINDINGS

ENCLOSURE

6757045365
Search
Numbered
2-12-62
WEC-144
8 FEB 18 1962

Small black movable nodule central position prostate on right.
### MEASUREMENTS AND OTHER FINDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>51. HEIGHT</th>
<th>52. WEIGHT</th>
<th>53. COLOR HAIR</th>
<th>54. COLOR EYES</th>
<th>55. BUILD:</th>
<th>56. TEMPERATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67 1/2</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>☑️ SLENDER</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>57. BLOOD PRESSURE (Arm at heart level)</th>
<th>58. PULSE (Arm at heart level)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. SITTING</strong></td>
<td><strong>B. AFTER EXERCISE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYS. / 136 DIA.</td>
<td>C. 2 MIN. AFTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECUMBENT</td>
<td>D. RECUMBENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STANDING (3 min.)</td>
<td>E. AFTER STANDING - 3 MIN.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>59. DISTANT VISION</th>
<th>60. REFRACITION</th>
<th>61. NEAR VISION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT 20/30 CORR. TO 20/</td>
<td>BY S. OK</td>
<td>20/12 CORR. TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEFT 20/60 CORR. TO 20/</td>
<td>BY S. OK</td>
<td>20/12 CORR. TO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

62. HETEROPHORIA (Specify distance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ES</th>
<th>EX</th>
<th>R. H.</th>
<th>L. H.</th>
<th>PRISM DIV.</th>
<th>PRISM CONV.</th>
<th>PC</th>
<th>PD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

63. ACCOMMODATION

64. COLOR VISION (Test used and result)

65. DEPTH PERCEPTION (Test used and score)

66. FIELD OF VISION

67. NIGHT VISION (Test used and score)

68. RED LENS TEST

69. INTRAOCULAR TENSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>70. HEARING</th>
<th>71. AUDIOMETER</th>
<th>72. PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PSYCHOMOTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT WV</td>
<td>LEFT WV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/15 SV</td>
<td>15/15 SV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

73. NOTES (Continued) and significant or interval history

(Use additional sheets if necessary)

74. SUMMARY OF DEFECTS AND DIAGNOSES (List diagnoses with item numbers)

75. RECOMMENDATIONS—FURTHER SPECIALIST EXAMINATIONS INDICATED (Specify)

76. A. PHYSICAL PROFILE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

B. PHYSICAL CATEGORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

77. EXAMINEE (Check)

A. ☑️ IS QUALIFIED FOR

80. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF PHYSICIAN

SIGNATURE

81. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF DENTIST OR PHYSICIAN (Indicate which)

SIGNATURE

82. TYPED OR PRINTED NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER OR APPROVING AUTHORITY

SIGNATURE

NUMBER OF ATTACHED SHEETS
# Report of Medical History

**Last Name—First Name—Middle Name**

**SIZOO, JOSEPH A.**

**4. Home Address (Number, street or RFD, city or town, zone and State)**

Woodstock, Minnesota

**6. Date of Examination**

1/23/62

---

### 18. Family History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relation</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>State of Health</th>
<th>IF Dead, Cause of Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brothers</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siblings</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**19. Has any blood relation (Parent, brother, sister, other) ever had or have you now (place check at left of each item)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Yes No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scarlet Fever, Erysipelas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphtheria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatic Fever</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen or Painful Joints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whooping Cough</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent or Severe Headache</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness or Fainting Spells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear Trouble</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running Ears</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic or Frequent Colds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Tooth or Gum Trouble</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nginusis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hay Fever</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**21. Have you ever (Check each item)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Yes No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Worn glasses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worn an artificial eye</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worn hearing aids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stuttered or Stammered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worn a brace or back support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**23. How many jobs have you had in the past three years?**

---

**24. What is the longest period you held any of these jobs?**

---

**25. What is your usual occupation?**

---

**26. Are you (Check one)**

- [ ] Right handed
- [ ] Left handed
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>CHECK EACH ITEM YES OR NO. EVERY ITEM CHECKED &quot;YES&quot; MUST BE FULLY EXPLAINED IN BLANK SPACE ON RIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>22. HAVE YOU BEEN UNABLE TO HOLD A JOB BECAUSE OF:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>A. SENSITIVITY TO CHEMICALS, DUST, SUNLIGHT, ETC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>B. INABILITY TO PERFORM CERTAIN MOCIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>C. INABILITY TO ASSUME CERTAIN POSITIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>D. OTHER MEDICAL REASONS (IF YES, GIVE REASONS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>23. HAVE YOU EVER WORKED WITH RADIOACTIVE SUBSTANCE?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>24. Did you have difficulty with school studies or teachers? (If yes, give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>25. Have you ever been refused employment because of your health? (If yes, state reason and give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>26. Have you ever been denied life insurance? (If yes, state reason and give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>27. Have you had, or have you been advised to have, any operation? (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>28. Have you ever been a patient (committed or voluntary) in a mental hospital or sanatorium? (If yes, specify when, where, why, and name of doctor, and complete address of hospital or clinic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>29. Have you ever had any illness or injury other than those already noted? (If yes, specify when, where, and give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>30. Have you ever been consulted or been treated by clinics, physicians, healers, or other practitioners within the past 5 years? (If yes, give complete address of doctor, hospital, clinic, and details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>31. Have you ever been discharged from military service because of physical, mental, or other reasons? (If yes, give date and reason for discharge)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>32. Have you ever been rejected for military service because of physical, mental, or other reasons? (If yes, give date and reason for rejection)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>33. Have you ever been a patient (committed or voluntary) in a mental hospital or sanatorium? (If yes, state reason and give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>34. Have you ever been refused employment because of your health? (If yes, state reason and give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>35. Have you ever been denied life insurance? (If yes, state reason and give details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>36. Have you ever treated yourself for illnesses other than minor colds? (If yes, which illnesses)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>37. Have you ever been advised to have, any operation? (If yes, describe and give age at which occurred)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>38. Have you ever been consulted or been treated by clinics, physicians, healers, or other practitioners within the past 5 years? (If yes, give complete address of doctor, hospital, clinic, and details)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>39. Have you ever received, is there pending, have you applied for, or do you intend to apply for pension or compensation for existing disability? (If yes, specify what kind, granted by whom, and what amount, when, why)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I certify that I have reviewed the foregoing information supplied by me and that it is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.
I authorize any of the doctors, hospitals, or clinics mentioned above to furnish the government a complete transcript of my medical record for purposes of processing my application for this employment or service.

Joseph A. Sizoo
Signature

Dr. E.W. Nicklas, family physician, Washington
general consultation.

Physician's Summary and Elaboration of All Pertinent Data (Physician shall comment on all pertinent entries in items 27 thru 39)

 Typed or Printed Name of Physician or Examiner

Date

Number of Attached Pages
Attachment to Standard Form 88, Report of Medical Examination
For Information and Guidance of Medical Examiner

Name of Examinee
(Type or print)

Sizoo

Joseph

Alexander

Last

First

Middle

The following portions of the attached examination report form need not be completed:

2  
3  
4  
9  
11  
14  
17

62

65

67

68

69

72

76

46. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.

48. Not required unless examinee is over 35 years of age or examination indicates such is desirable.

49. Is necessary unless facilities for affording same are not readily available.

71. Audiometer examinations should be afforded whenever possible.

For All Examinees, Whether Clerical or Special Agent Applicants or Employees:

The medical examiner should answer the following question:

Examinee ☒ is ☐ is not qualified for strenuous physical exertion.

To be Answered in the Case of All Male Employees and Male Applicants:

1. Does examinee have any defects restricting or prohibiting his participation in defensive tactics and dangerous assignments which might entail the practical use of firearms?

☒ No  ☐ Yes  If "yes" please specify defects.

2. Does examinee have any defects prohibiting safe operation of motor vehicles?

☒ No  ☐ Yes  If "yes" please specify defects.

If examinee has defective vision, should he wear corrective glasses while operating a motor vehicle?  ☐ Yes  ☐ No
Desirable Weight Ranges for Males

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Small Frame</th>
<th>Medium Frame</th>
<th>Large Frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5' 4&quot;</td>
<td>117 - 125</td>
<td>123 - 135</td>
<td>131 - 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 5&quot;</td>
<td>120 - 129</td>
<td>126 - 139</td>
<td>134 - 152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 6&quot;</td>
<td>124 - 133</td>
<td>130 - 143</td>
<td>138 - 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 7&quot;</td>
<td>128 - 137</td>
<td>134 - 148</td>
<td>143 - 162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 8&quot;</td>
<td>132 - 141</td>
<td>138 - 152</td>
<td>147 - 166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 9&quot;</td>
<td>136 - 146</td>
<td>142 - 156</td>
<td>151 - 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 10&quot;</td>
<td>140 - 150</td>
<td>146 - 161</td>
<td>155 - 175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5' 11&quot;</td>
<td>144 - 154</td>
<td>150 - 166</td>
<td>160 - 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6'</td>
<td>148 - 158</td>
<td>154 - 171</td>
<td>164 - 185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 1&quot;</td>
<td>152 - 163</td>
<td>158 - 176</td>
<td>169 - 190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 2&quot;</td>
<td>156 - 167</td>
<td>163 - 181</td>
<td>174 - 195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 3&quot;</td>
<td>160 - 171</td>
<td>168 - 186</td>
<td>178 - 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 4&quot;</td>
<td>169 - 180</td>
<td>178 - 196</td>
<td>188 - 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6' 5&quot;</td>
<td>174 - 185</td>
<td>182 - 202</td>
<td>192 - 216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Examinee’s frame is □ small         ☑ medium         □ large

4. Considering above weight table, the examinee’s frame, and other individual physical characteristics, I consider his present weight ☑ Satisfactory    □ Excessive    □ Deficient

5. Under proper medical supervision, examinee should □ lose _____ pounds

Remarks: ____________________________________________________________

(Signature of Medical Examiner) ________________________________

Jan 23, 1962

(Date)
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO: Mr. Belmont

FROM: Mr. W. C. Sullivan

DATE: February 9, 1962

SUBJECT: STENNIS SUBCOMMITTEE OF SENATE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF FOR INTELLIGENCE (ACSI) DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY INQUIRY CONCERNING FBI CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

In answer to the Director's questions concerning the handling of this matter, there is set forth an analysis as to responsibilities both in the field and at the Seat of Government (SOG) together with suggestions for action designed to prevent a recurrence.

The attached memorandum dated 2/7/62 in this matter sets forth the background and details as to the handling of the communication from Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence (ACSI) and answers certain of the Director's questions. Instant memorandum deals with the remaining questions raised by the Director along with recommendations for disciplinary action.

Field Responsibility

The Director has asked if former Special Agent in Charge (SAC) Richard D. Auerbach received approval from the Bureau before he disseminated his analysis of the film "Operation Abolition." The answer is a definite no. The San Francisco Office has made it clear that Auerbach formulated his decision to disseminate this information to the military intelligence agencies despite the fact that the Bureau had sent instructions to the field in SAC Letter #60-57 dated 12/13/60 entitled "HCUA Movie 'Operation Abolition" These instructions explained that in view of the controversial nature of the film the field should be most circumspect in the event inquiries were received concerning this movie. Additionally, the SAC Letter instructed that any comments on the movie be confined to the statement that the film was sponsored and distributed by the House Committee on Un-American Activities (HCUA) and the FBI had no connection with its preparation. Although this SAC Letter was dated 12/13/60, less than three months later SAC Auerbach deliberately ordered, prepared and approved for dissemination the letterhead memorandum in question of 3/7/61. It is most difficult in view of the explicit instructions given to understand how he could in any way misinterpret the statements so as to give any justification for taking the action he did.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont

RE: STENOIS SUBCOMMITTEE OF
SENATE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE
ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF FOR INTELLIGENCE
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
INQUIRY CONCERNING FBI CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

A second question relates to whether or not SAC Auerbach
sent to the Bureau a copy of the memorandum in question after he
had disseminated it to the intelligence agencies. Again the answer
is no. Mr. Auerbach did not send the Bureau a copy or in any way
indicate that such a memorandum was in existence.

A third question is how our information which was disseminated
to the Sixth Army under the date of 3/7/61 could appear in a domestic
intelligence summary of the Sixth Army for February, 1961. The answer
is the domestic intelligence summary for February, 1961, was not
prepared by the Sixth Army until 3/15/61. The Sixth Army included
in this February summary the information which was disseminated to
them by Mr. Auerbach on 3/7/61. Should we not copy
whether internal security matters are covered.

A fourth question is did we receive a copy of the domestic
intelligence summary prepared by the Sixth Army? The answer is we
did not receive a copy at the SOG. San Francisco has advised by
telephone 2/7/62 that it does not have a copy of the summary in question.
Nor is there any indication that this office ever received a copy.
It is to be noted that steps are being taken to secure from the Army
a copy of this summary.

A fifth question is what is the reason for our San Francisco
Office sending to the Bureau on 12/9/60 an airtel listing the inaccuracies in the film "Operation Abolition." (The San Francisco airtel dated
12/9/60 was prepared for the Bureau's information only and contained
no statements indicating further dissemination was contemplated.) The answer is that following an editorial appearing in the 11/26/60 issue
of the "Washington Post" which was critical of the film the Bureau
inquired of our San Francisco Office whether or not the criticism by
the "Post" was justified. It is to be noted at this point that follow-
the receipt of the San Francisco airtel of 12/9/60 which
contained a list of the inaccuracies in the film the Bureau then
sent instructions to the field (SAC Letter 60-57 to which reference
has already been made) requesting all offices not to comment about
the film other than to say that it was sponsored and distributed by
the HCUA and the FBI had no connection with its preparation.

Preventative Action

This Division has given this most unfortunate matter very
careful and exacting thoughts. The following preventative steps have
already been or are being taken.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
Re: STENNIS SUBCOMMITTEE OF SENATE ARMY SERVICES COMMITTEE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF FOR INTELLIGENCE DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY INQUIRY CONCERNING FBI CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

1. A letter to all field offices has been prepared, approved and sent reminding the field again that it must carefully evaluate information disseminated to intelligence or other Government agencies at the local level to insure that the material can be sent without prior Bureau approval and that it is of such a nature as not to prove embarrassing to the Bureau and that Bureau approval must be sought in the event the dissemination involves matters which are the subject of nationwide newspaper controversies or are of major importance.

2. I am going to make a special point to take up with all SACs and Assistant SACs when they come to the SOG their responsibility for handling local dissemination to intelligence and other Government agencies. I will remind them clearly of what happened in this regrettable incident and outline to them the preventative steps which they must keep in mind. First and foremost, of course, will be to insist they follow Bureau instructions already issued. These instructions will be also included in my conferences with newly designated SACs and Assistant SACs.

3. I am arranging to hold conferences with and again pass on instructions to all Supervisors, Unit Chiefs and Section Chiefs in this Division for the purpose of communicating to them the great need to be alert daily to material which of its very nature should be called to the attention of the Director immediately when it arrives in this Division. As you know this is a constantly recurring problem and faces our supervisors on a daily basis. They must make a judgment on every piece of mail they receive in order to determine whether it should be brought immediately to the Director's attention if he has not already seen it.

4. Another question is why wasn't the Army letter time stamped into the Bureau. The piece of mail in question was received by the Liaison supervisor in the offices of ACSI and he immediately entered the date and time received, the name of the officer from whom it was received and his initials in order to record its actual receipt. In view of the nature of the Army request, he proceeded immediately to the Bureau to get the piece of mail handled. Explicit instructions have been given that in the future all mail regardless of any handwritten receipt notations will also be time stamped in when such mail is received in the Bureau.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RE: STENNI3 SUBCOMMITTEE OF
SENATE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE
ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF FOR INTELLIGENCE
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
INQUIRY CONCERNING CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

Disciplinary Action

Relating to the Field

1. San Francisco Office advises that Security Supervisor Harry Clifford was in attendance at the weekly intelligence conference when SAC Auerbach passed on to the members of this conference the unauthorized material which has caused this trouble. In connection with the part he played at this conference Security Supervisor Clifford states as follows:

"When SAC Auerbach ordered me to prepare a memorandum for dissemination to the military concerning the inaccuracies in the film "Operation Abolition," I vigorously and continuously pointed out to him that this was an extremely delicate matter and that my advice was to receive Bureau permission before making this local dissemination. I continued my objections; however, he instructed that the memorandum be prepared which was done. At the time the request was made for this information, when ordered by former SAC Auerbach to prepare this memorandum and at the time of delivery, I strongly urged and recommended that he clear the matter with the Bureau but he did not do so."

In view of the above, it is evident that Security Supervisor Clifford is not culpable and no disciplinary action is being recommended in his case. Mr. Clifford's SAC, Frank Price, has recommended against any disciplinary action for Clifford.

2. It is clearly obvious that Mr. Richard D. Auerbach, former SAC, is deliberately guilty of a most flagrant violation of Bureau instructions, the result of which has caused this Bureau great embarrassment and severe difficulties in various ways. In view of this it is recommended that a copy of this memorandum be placed in the file of former SAC Auerbach for future reference.
Memorandum to Mr. Belmont
RE: STENNIS SUBCOMMITTEE OF
SENATE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE
ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF FOR INTELLIGENCE
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
INQUIRY CONCERNING FBI CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

As we know, expedite action was taken when this material was received at the Bureau but the developments which followed clearly proved that expedite action is not sufficient by itself to bring about the desirable results. Also needed is alertness to all the implications of the problem and the proper coordination among all those involved. This Division recognizes the fact that it failed the Director in this particular instance and it regrets this keenly and as indicated in this memorandum every step available to us has been and is being taken to prevent a recurrence of such a situation. Despite this the following recommendations are made.

1. It is recommended that Supervisor William G. Shaw be given a letter of censure for failure to prepare a cogent and adequate memorandum and for not highlighting in the memorandum the deadline of February 6 which had been set.

2. It is recommended that a letter of censure be given to Section Chief Fred J. Baumgardner, the Section Chief responsible for the handling of this matter and directing the performance of Supervisor Shaw.

3. It is recommended that a letter of censure be given to Inspector Joseph A. Sizoo in view of his overall supervisory responsibilities of the Section handling this particular memorandum.

4. It is recommended that I be given a letter of censure because I have the responsibility for the overall proper functioning of this Division and in this instance it was found to be wanting.
Memorandum

TO: Mr. Callahan
FROM: C. R. Davidson
DATE: February 13, 1962

SUBJECT: STENNIS SUBCOMMITTEE OF SENATE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE
ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF FOR INTELLIGENCE (ACSI)
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
INQUIRY CONCERNING FBI CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL

Memorandum of 2-9-62 from W. C. Sullivan to Mr. Belmont captioned as above recommended that SA Supervisor William G. Shaw, Section Chief Fred J. Baumgardner and Inspector Joseph A. Sizoo, all of Domestic Intelligence Division, be censured for the mishandling of a matter regarding the film, "Operation Abolition. These recommendations were approved by the Director.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the attached letters of censure to the above-named individuals be approved.